AFRICAN CUSTOMARY LAW

"Customary Law" / "Indigenous Law" =

Customs + usages traditionally observed among the indigenous African peoples of SA + which form part of the culture of those peoples

Emphasis on duties rather than rights

The maintenance of order is supported by means of approving and disapproving legal sanctions Sanction means:

Approval or confirmation of an act; and/or

Punishment for noncompliance with, i.e. statutes or behavioral prescriptions

Divisions of customary law:

Indigenous national law (tribal law)

Some kingdoms consist of several tribes + remnants (bits + pieces) of tribes, ruled by a king / paramount chief – i.e. Zulu

Indigenous International law (Law of different tribes)

Tribes entered into agmts to help one another against communal enemies

Customary public Law

Governs relations btw:

- Traditional authorities and subjects; and
- Traditional authorities within the tribe
 - a. <u>Indigenous constitutional + administrative law</u>
 Composition, powers + functions of the public organs of authority
 - b. <u>Indigenous adjudicatory organs + law of procedure</u>

Composition + jurisdiction of the various indigenous / customary courts

Procedures

Evidence that may be lead in court to reach a decision

c. Indigenous criminal law

Public action to punish a subject who transgresses a rule that is punishable by law

Customary Private law

Legal relations between individuals and groups in capacity as private persons

- Law of property / persons / family / things / succession
- Law of personality (i.e. honour; good name; privacy)
- Law of immaterial property (i.e. copyright + patents) is unknown
- Law of obligations:
 - Contractual: arise btw a patient + a medicine specialist / traditional healer
 - Quasi-contractual: arise btw a guardian + a person supplying his ward with the necessities of life w/o his consent
 - o <u>Delictual</u>: arise btw an owner + another person who has willfully damaged his property

Customary law comprises not only of norms, but also fixed rules of procedure, and as such, may also be subdivided into:

Substantive Law

Prescribes norms / requirements + attaches sanctions to these

Adjective Law (aka law of procedure + evidence)

Prescribes the manner in which norms are to be enforced + sanctions applied

Rights that fall within the vestee's estate:

Violation of these entails patrimonial (determined in economic terms) loss + is the basis of an action for damages

Right	Object	Examples
Real right	Corporeal thing apart from the person	Ownership
Obligatory right	Performance	Right to a fee for
	(If vested in a group –duty to perform is also that of a group – i.e.	professional services
	bride's family's right to have marriage goods delivered to them	
	by bridegroom's family)	
Right of	Productivity + freedom of group member	Guardianship
authority		
Group right of	Freedom of members + entitles group concerned to productivity	
guardianship	of its members	

Rights that do not fall within the vestee's estate:

Violation of these rights result in an action for satisfaction

Occasionally, violation results in patrimonial loss – i.e. violation of right to a good name which results in aggrieved losing her job – violator is a "thief" + aggrieved may claim loss of income

Right	Object	Examples
Right of	Corporeal /	Right to one's body
personality	incorporeal part of	Right to one's honor
	vestee's personality	
	Where the vestee is a group	Southern Nguni ritual to remove defilement (adultery): beast is taken from wrongdoer's residence by women belonging to seduced girls group + is ritually slaughtered as an act of cleansing + to obtain satisfaction If girl is pregnant: guardian (as the rep of her group) is considered to have suffered patrimonial loss + may recover damages (which means that this action may lead to the infringement of various rights)

Various indigenous legal systems

Each tribe / kingdom has its own legal system that, in varying degrees, differs from the legal systems of the other tribes / kingdoms – however, there's substantial familiarity in the underlying principles + values of the diff local legal systems

Division + features of the Indigenous African people of SA

Main groups + their characteristics:

Languages Originated from:

Zulu KZN

Xhosa Eastern Cape (esp. Ciskei + Transkei)

Swazi Swaziland + Mpumalanga

Ndebele Mpumalanga + North east Pretoria

NB Characteristics

Composite household divided into two or three sections -

- Each section has a senior wife with affiliated wives subordinate to her
- Each wife in a section formed a 'house' with its own rank, property and successor
- Mostly applicable in rural areas In urban areas the wives live in separate houses / government areas

Sotho:

Languages Originated from:

Tswana Botswana, North West + Northern Cape

Northern Sotho Northern Province
Southern Sotho Lesotho + Free State

NB Characteristics:

Household is not divided into sections

Each married woman has a certain rank, and her house has its own identity, property + successor

Shangana-Tsonga:

(aka "Tsonga" or "Shangana")

Originally settled in Northern Province and Mpumalanga in areas adjacent to Mozambique

Venda:

Originally settled in the north-eastern part of the Northern Province

Language is called "venda"

Have historical links with the Shona-speaking people of Zimbabwe

CHARACTERISTICS OF AFRICAN CUSTOMARY LAW

Unwritten:

Their law was not recorded in written legal sources (i.e. statutes / law reports / textbooks)

Court procedures were conducted orally

Law was transmitted orally from one generation to the next

Important legal principles were expressed by means of legal maxims –

- Group orientation + humaneness: "a person is a person in relation to other people",
- Marital relationship btw husband + wife: "you hold him or her with both hands"
- Ruler reigns through his councils + acts in acc with the will of his people: "a ruler is a ruler through his people + the subjects are subjects through their ruler"
- <u>Subjects who left the area will be welcomed back by the tribe</u>: "there is no return to the womb; to the ruins is the return

Customary:

Most indigenous legal systems resulted from age-old traditions and customs that came to be classified as "law" Direct orders + instructions from leaders resulted in laws which were circulated by chiefs + which had to be followed

Formal administration of justice limited the court's function to the application, and not the creation, of law Indigenous courts had no system of precedent

An expression of community values:

Public participation in the adjudication process resulted in law giving expression to the values / gen moral behavioral code of the community = as values changed, so did the law + conflict btw legal + moral values was unknown

Disputes affected the wider community – decisions had to take into account future relations between parties within the community = as a result, admin of justice did not concern legal justice as such (i.e. who was right and who was wrong), but the reconciliation of people ("human" justice)

Community's interest so NB that an individual had no special part to play in the law – rather – his role remained within the group (family on the one hand + community on the other)

The role of magico-religious conceptions:

Belief in ancestral spirits

After death, a person continues to live in a spiritual world – almost the same as when on earth The law is derived from + protected by ancestral spirits

Deviation from the rules may lead to punishment by ancestral spirits (misfortune such as illness, drought, hail etc are seen to be forms of supernatural punishment)

Effect of this belief on the law is 2-fold:

- The law has a supernatural origin and is therefore seldom questioned; and
- The law appears static and unchangeable change would be against the wishes of ancestral spirits Belief in sorcery

Supernatural powers in the universe may be used by a person for his own ends either to the advantage / disadvantage of people / their own interests

Cases of sorcey involve a person ("sorcerer") who uses supernatural powers to do harm It is in the interest of the community that the sorcerer is identified + removed from the community

The observance of rules:

People voluntarily observe legal rules + rules for living because of other factors that are more NB than law enforcing organs (i.e. police / courts) – such factors include:

- The religious or sacral (holy) elements of the law
- Public opinion, esp what other people may think + say about one's behavior
- If a person is harmed he will endeavour to get compensation / take measures to protect himself (i.e. medicines / sorcery)
- General participation in the legal process ensures that the law is handed down from generation to generation – everyone has the opp to find out how the law operates in their community
- Fear of punishment (especially that of supernatural origin)
- Influence of indigenous leaders who are regarded as the living representatives of the ancestors who are responsible for observance of the law
- > Recognized leaders played NB role in daily life of communities w/ having to refer to their judicial authority i.e. allocation of land, admission of strangers
- > Leaders are the bearers of traditions + must ensure such traditions are observed + have the authority to pronounce on what is allowed + what is not
- Local heads of families and kinship groups were consulted before important decisions were taken which ensured that the proposed action would not be opposed and interests of others would not be unfairly / illegally harmed + that they knew about the matter in the event of a legal dispute arising

NATURE OF CUSTOMARY LAW

The indigenous legal system is "unspecialized" if compared with Western legal systems (note: no legal system is totally unspecialized)

The larger the population, the larger the possibility of specialization

Specialised legal systems **Unspecialised legal systems**

Similarities:

- Relations governed by law (organs of authority + subjects on the one hand; and groups + individuals on the other hand)
- Law is transferred from 1 generation to another = starts with education re family + develops in the wider context of the community
- Transgression of the law / legal rules have specific consequences for transgressors

Differences:		
Specialised legal systems	Unspecialised legal systems	
<u>Division</u> between criminal and civil cases	Criminal and civil cases are heard by a single hearing	
Each division has its own court i.e. Criminal action is	No clear division between case and procedure, and case and	
held in a criminal court, civil action is held in a civil	court	
court		
<u>Distinction</u> between criminal and civil cases	No distinction	
Distinction between courts and procedures		
<u>Classification</u> : criminal cases, criminal courts and	No classification	
criminal procedure		
Civil cases, civil courts and civil procedures		
<u>Delimitation</u> between criminal and civil cases	No delimitation	
<u>Time</u> – action must be instituted before a certain time,	Time is unimportant, as prescription is unknown	
otherwise the action may expire (prescription)	Precise moment when an event occurred is not nearly as NB as	
Exact time NB to determine when rights + duties come	the fact that the event did actually occur	
into existence (i.e. birth, marriage, start of contract)		
Emphasis on individual – individual may uphold his	Emphasis on group rather than individual – individual functions	
rights even when against interests of community:	entirely within the context of the group:	
Education systems stress the person's individuality +	Education systems encourage a person to adapt to + become	
achievements	subordinate to the interests of the group	
Individualization of rights – i.e. one individual (and not	Ruler does not rule as an individual, but as a <u>rep of members</u> of	
a group) is the owner / creditor + emphasizes the	the ruling family who were the true rulers	
powers of the individual official		
2 spouses are the only interested parties in a <u>marriage</u>	Both family groups participate in the <u>marriage</u>	
Contracts are concluded btw individuals	Contracts are concluded between agnatic groups	
Criminal is liable as an individual for his actions	Whole family group can be punished for crime committed by a	
	member (i.e. sorcery: whole family killed / parents resp for	
	crimes of their kids)	
Appointed officials with exclusive power administer	Admin of justice is informal + public partakes actively in	
justice – public's role is limited to being an audience +	proceedings in the open + negotiations for settlement outside	
settlement outside the court takes place btw	the court takes place btw family groups	
individuals	Views of people present in court interpret the public sense of	
Judge is expected to find the law + decide accordingly	justice + lead to a judgment Aim of the court is to effect reconciliation rather than	
	punishment / formal action – effort is made to resolve disputes	
	by reconciliation	
	Court decisions often based on consensus of opinions of court	
	councilors who participate throughout proceedings by	
	questioning, giving info + viewpoints	
Governmental functions: judicial, executive +	Governmental functions not clearly distinguished –i.e. tribal chief	
legislative powers clearly distinguished	= law-maker + executive official + judge-in-chief	
Abstract approach	<u>Concrete</u> , real and visible approach - apparent observable, visible	
Abstract consent + expression of intent – i.e.:	acts from which consent becomes obvious in a very concrete way	
Transfer of land: registration in a deeds office	-i.e.:	
_	Transfer of land: acquired by demarcating + indicating an area +	
	by actually using the land + bringing it under cultivation	
	marriage: actual visible transfer of bride + marriage goods takes	
	place	
	evidence: if a woman spent a night with another man = evidence	
	of adultery	

Religious element

Law originates with the ancestors who consider it disrespectful + negligent to disobey it + enforce punishment If important juristic acts are planned, the blessing of the ancestors is obtained by special means Reconciliation btw community + ancestors accomplished by slaughtering an animal + having a communal meal

Categorisation

No distinction between categories, institutions + concepts

Difficult to know if authority in a family group with many members concerns private / public law Categories of transgressions is sometimes vague – difficult to distinguish if transgression is harmful to interests of community / family groups (i.e. whether it's a delict / a crime)

Theft of another's property is a delict, whereas stock theft is a crime

Kinship

Family group has extensive authority over its members + this authority is firm, but compassionate + protective Position of women compares unfavorably with that of men

Polygamy

One man can be married to more than one woman at the same time

CUSTOMARY LAW & THE CONSTITUTION

BEFORE '94 CONSTITUTION:

Black Administration Act - indigenous law:

- Enjoyed only limited recognition had to be readily ascertainable with sufficient certainty in order for it to be applied
- Could be applied by all courts (although they weren't obliged to do so) judges / magistrates did not need any formal / practical knowledge / training in indigenous law
- Onus was on the party to prove indigenous law in court, which placed a financial burden on the litigant because he had to obtain the services of an expert witness
- Could be amended / repealed by legislation
- Could not conflict with the principles of public policy + natural justice however, a court could not declare *lobolo* + other similar to be in conflict with such principles
- Rules for proceedings btw Blacks who didn't belong to the same tribe:
 - Court must apply indigenous law in operation at place where defendant / respondent reside / carry on business / employed; if two / more different systems are in operation at that place and are not within a tribal area –
 - Court must apply the law of the tribe to which defendant / respondent belongs

'96 CONSTITUTION GIVES CLEAR + UNAMBIGUOUS RECOGNITION TO CUST LAW AS A SYSTEM OF LAW

<u>Provisions of the Const which govern</u> the recognition + application of customary law:

S211: Courts must apply + recognize customary law, subject to:

- <u>BOR</u> (principles of public pol + nat justice are n/a Bennet= part of colonial past + of historical significance only)
- Legislation which is aimed at amending customary law (and not legislation in general)
- If it's <u>applicable</u> + in acc with gen principles of choice of law –courts obliged to protect rights derived from cust law if both parties reasonably expect to be subject to cust law; and/or right of 1 person creates responsibility (duty) for another

S30 and **S31** convert a freedom into a constitutional right (rights demand a specific conduct, while freedoms allow choices) –

<u>S30</u>: <u>Individuals have the **right** to take part in the culture of their own choice</u> – they may demand access to a cultural group + take part in the activities of that group

<u>S31</u>: Persons belonging to a cultural, religious / linguistic community may not be denied the **right**, with other members of that community to –

- Enjoy their culture, practice their religion and use their language; and
- Form, join + maintain cultural, religious + linguistic institutions / associations

(This means that the state may not interfere with the rights of the individual and must allow institutions to exists which are necessary to maintain the culture concerned)

The cultural group / community must be recognized by the state before the individual may enforce his right

CUSTOMARY LAW & THE BOR

BOR recognizes customary law on the one hand + prohibits discrimination on the other – This gave rise to conflict btw 2 opposing principles:

- 1. Right of individual to equal treatment; and
- 2. **Right of group** to adhere to culture of its choice

Some examples:

Burial rights: Mahala case –in acc with customary principle of primogeniture, eldest son of family head is heir + when family head died, son claimed he had the right to bury deceased = held: principle offends const right to equality (discriminates against women) – ito wishes of deceased + practical considerations, wife of deceased entitled to bury him

Patrimonial consequences of customary marriages: President of RSA: court not prepared to uphold cust law position because it was discriminatory to women - marriage deemed to be entered into ito CL (in comm of prop) Cust Law emphasizes the **group** + **individual** in context of the community = BOR emphasizes individual rights Cust Law emphasizes **duties** = BOR emphasizes **rights**

FR have priority over cust law – however, cust law is a const right (not only a freedom) + is equal in status to any of the other FR – FR in conflict must be balanced against one another so as not to lead to oppression of / discrimination against, certain categories of people

Other ways outside of Const to be considered to resolve conflicts –

- Considering social implications of enforcing FR upon a certain cultural group
- Examining the origin of certain legal conflicts to decide which matters are more NB + urgent

JUDICIAL REVISION OF CUSTOMARY LAW

CC: Decides on interpretation, protection + enforcement of Const + has specific authority to remedy alleged / threatening violation of FR + adjudicate on const validity of legislation

HC: Has jurisdiction over any alleged / threatening violation of FR + to investigate validity of any legislation

A party to an action may query const validity of any rule of const law on grounds that it has a harmful effect on that particular party –

Cust law is presumed valid until decided otherwise by competent court – voidness must be proven on a preponderance of probabilities + everything done ito a right is valid until later declared invalid (i.e. rights + duties which arise from a cust marriage are valid, even if later found to conflict FR)

AMENDMENT OF CUSTOMARY LAW BY STATUTE

The Human Rights Commission may advise Parliament on leg affecting the rights of a person (i.e. Gender Equality + women) + Parliament may then amend cust law by statute in order to further FR Provincial legislatures have concurrent jurisdiction with national Parliament in matters re cust law

INDIGENOUS LAW OF PERSONS

"Legal Subject"

Before Black Administration Act (original)	After Black Administration Act (modern)
Individual who bears rights, powers + duties which he shares with his agnatic group depending on his status within the group	Individual who bears rights, powers + duties independent from the other members of the group – but, individual should not be separated from the group as this would lead to injustice since emphasizing one individual within the group undermines the rights + privileges of other group members
Head could only be a man + was groups spokesman He held the highest rank + had certain powers distinguishing him significantly from other group members, but did not form a separate entity from the group + had no authority over life + death of members	Head was vested with group's rights to the exclusion of other members (i.e. owner of group's assets + had power to dispose of these – his successors were also regarded as heirs of group's property)
Juristic person was unknown — although agnatic group was an association of people, individual members did not have rights, powers + duties independent from the agnatic group and likewise, agnatic group did not have rights, powers + duties independent from the group members	

Status

Status	Rank
Determines powers derived from objective law	One factor which may influence a person's status

Factors that influence a person's status:

Age:

Before Black Administration Act	After Black Administration Act
Idea of a fixed age at which a person attained majority	Majority is attained at a fixed age of 21 (male /
was unknown – age did not have significant legal	female) + when members become majors they can
significance + newborn babies shared in rights,	obtain rights, powers + duties independent from
powers + duties of their agnatic groups	those of their agnatic group
Greater importance attached to physical	
development: Puberty: emphasized by initiation	
ceremonies where after person considered	
marriageable + an adult	

Sex:

Before Black Administration Act	After Black Administration Act

Males:

- Could succeed to position of family head and general / house property
- Not allowed to deal arbitrarily with house property had to consult his wife in the house concerned (however, this was not legally enforceable)

(however, this was not legally enforceable) **Females: Females:** Inferior position compared with males Position influenced by majority – unmarried women compare favourably with males Could not succeed to position of family head Cannot succeed to position of family head and/or general / house property (regardless of whether reached majority / married) - this is in dire need of reconsideration esp re • Not without status – had a reasonable degree of Promotion of Equality + Prevention of Unfair freedom re everyday use of house property + could Discrimination Act + ito the Const (state may not call upon wider family group if husband dealt discriminate unfairly against a person on the irresponsibly with house property grounds of sex)

Rank

Family rank	House rank
Hierarchy of family members within	Hierarchy of the various houses that make up a household
the family group	
Relates to a person's legal status within	Relates to a person's legal status within a nuclear family (husband,
the household	wife + children)
Family group: a number of related	Polygamy: marriage with +1 spouse –
households / agnatic groups – the most	
snr male exercises powers according to	Polygyny: man is married to +1 woman at the same time = each
his rank + members of the family group	marriage establishes a separate family, husband is the common
often come together as a family council	spouse to all the families
in order to discuss matters of common	
interest (i.e. conclusion of a marriage /	Household: (aka: agnatic group): unit of all the families headed by
disputes btw members)	one husband – each household has a particular rank – the basis on
	which this ranking rests differs among the various indigenous African
Principle of primogeniture:	people – i.e.:
 Eldest son has higher rank than his 	Wife man married 1 st ("main wife") + her children hold highest rank
younger brothers (same applies to	in the household, irrespective of age;
sisters)	Main wife is of a particular descent group (i.e. not always wife man
 Children are ranked according to 	married 1^{st}) = children's rank determined by their mother's rank (not
their father's rank within the family	birth since the order of marriage is not decisive for the hierarchy);
origin – if father was oldest brother,	Nguni-speaking people: household is divided into sections + each
his children rank higher than any of	section has a specific rank + within each section, each house also has
the children of his brother and the	a specific rank (see SG pg 49 diagram) – i.e. a person's rank depends
brother himself (see SG p48	on his position within a specific section + house
diagram)	
	Polyandry: a woman is married to +1 man at the same time (this is
	foreign to indigenous African people)

CUSTOMARY FAMILY LAW

"Patrimonial consequences" = legal consequences of marriage on married couple's property

Consequences of polygynous marriages:

- Two / more families exist within a unit known as an agnatic group (household)
- Legal relations within the family apply to each family within the agnatic group (household)
- Every component family has a particular <u>rank which differs</u> from the others (various families are bound to one another in relationships of rank by means of the husband who is the common spouse of each family)
- Multiple families require a complicated system of <u>property relations</u> = a distinction is made btw family (house) property and general property

Legal relations btw families within the household -

Each family within the agnatic group has certain rights + powers that it can exact from + protect against claims of the other houses within the household = houses become involved in contractual relationships with each other –

An agnatic group cannot be divided against itself = a house cannot protect its rights in court against another house because husband is head of all the houses + cannot represent one house in court as plaintiff and another as house as defendant –therefore, the wider family group is called in to settle disputes

Implications of Age of Majority Act -

Strongly emphasized rights + duties of the individual

Affects relationships btw parents + children and head of agnatic group + other members of the group Individual can now act against the agnatic group (traditionally, the individual shared in the group rights)

TRADITIONAL INDIGENOUS MARRIAGE (TIM)

Background of (TIM) NB because:

- "Living law" at local level (i.e. still occurs esp. in rural areas)
- Underlying ideas (ancestral sprits + role of family group) apply to customary marriage
- Helps to understand of customary marriage which is the statutorily recognised + adapted form of TIM
- Polygynous nature = significant feature which it shares with customary union + customary marriage Features:
- Union btw 2 family groups not dissolved by death of a spouse
- If a spouse is deficient in some way, a person from his/her family group may be substituted
- Man's agnatic group delivers marriage goods (<u>lobolo</u>) to the woman's agnatic group
- Procreation of <u>children</u> (esp. male) NB = living must care for ancestral spirits who live in a spirit world + in turn care for living kin by ensuring prosperity + wellbeing = husband + wife must procreate to ensure they'll be taken care of when they're ancestral spirits
- Marriage = <u>process of growth</u>: ceremonies + customs are evidence of the marriage process (not a legal transaction which comes into being at a specific time)
- Creates a <u>legal unit</u> = family (aka: house) together with related families, develops, through the husband, into a household
- Status married man + woman have more powers than unmarried ones

Requirements:

- No fixed age required (sexual maturity is usually what is required)
- Marriages btw ascendants/descendants of father/mother + btw children of same mother / father forbidden
- Nguni: prohibits marriage btw persons with same family name / same as person's mother / father
- Sotho-Tswana: Marriage btw cross-cousins is encouraged + viewed as preferential marriages
- Persons not permitted to marry: Serious mental illness + married women
- Grounds for dissolution: Impotence / serious physical defects (can be substituted)

Customary union (CU)	Customary marriage (CM)
Statutory recognized version of TIM before	Introduced 15 November 2000 by Recongnition of Customary
Recognition of Customary Marriages Act	Marriages Act (RCMA)
Black Administration Act:	A marriage concluded in acc with customary law
 Association of a man + woman in a conjugal relationship acc to black law + custom, where neither man / woman is party to a subsisting marriage Recognized as a "martial union", but not a "marriage" All valid unions have been converted into CM's – there are no longer CU's 	 A valid marriage at customary law which is existing at commencement date of RCMA is for all purposes recognized as a marriage If a person is a spouse in +1 CM – all marriages entered into after 15 Nov 2000 which comply with the provisions of the Act, are for all purposes recognized marriages Marriage entered into after 15 Nov 2000, which complies with requirements of RCMA is for all purposes recognized as a marriage

THE BETROTHAL

Legal act which has specific consequences -

Myburgh: Specific contract – elements of the contract = agmt + performance by man's group

(performance being delivery of all / some marriage goods + other gifts)

Original indigenous law	Modern indigenous law
Agmt btw 2 family groups re a future marriage btw a male member of 1 group + female member of other	Man, girl + her father are parties to the agmt
Marriage negotiations are initiated by man / his family group by approaching women's family with a	Man's first wife: man's father / guardian initiates negotiations Negotiations by man himself must be conducted with woman's father / guardian
request	Negotiations w/o man / woman's approval is unsuccessful – their consent is a requirement for the marriage

- Negotiations take place to reach consenus re proposed marriange + amt of *lobolo* to be paid = reaching
 consensus leads to a formal betrothal which can be repudiated / terminated at any time + aggrieved party
 cannot demand compensation for breach of contract
- An engagement is not a prerequisite for a valid marriage
- Betrothals of infants + children are void
- Betrothals can be short / long + duration is determined by agmt btw the parties + usually depends on amt of time man/his father need to gather the *lobolo* cattle

Xhosa – *ukuthwala* custom:

Woman is abducted to force her family to enter into negotiations + taken to man's parental home + cared for with utmost kindness

3 types:

- (a) Woman is aware of intended abduction + there's agmt btw the parties
- (b) Families agree, but woman is unaware of abduction
- (c) Neither woman nor her family have prior knowledge of the abduction

If woman abducted w/o her parent's consent, it may lead to a claim for delict + dmgs in the form of "bopha" cattle – i.e. thwala action: if man seduced woman + made her pregs during the time she was abducted, a seduction head of cattle + pregnancy beast will be payable

Press reports indicate that this custom is abused in cases where offences are committed against minors + forced marriages take place under the pretence of a so-called *thwala* action

Consequences:

Man	Woman
May pay attention to other women (polygynous) as	
long as he does not neglect his betrothed	during betrothal period
Deliver betrothal goods	

Betrothal goods	Marriage goods (lobolo)
Blankets, clothing / other household articles	Cattle / money
Delivered during betrothal period	Given together with betrothal goods with marriage in
	mind (usually before the marriage is concluded)
Property rights over these articles are transferred to	Ownership remains vested in man's group giver until
the woman / her group upon delivery	marriage is concluded –man's group bears the risk of
	loss / deaths during betrothal— woman's group must
	care for the cattle + report any losses asap to man's
	group – if they fail to report these losses in time, they
	must compensate man's group for them
If betrothal is terminated – guilt factor determines	If betrothal is terminated, goods have to be returned,
whether articles are returned / not – if woman's fault:	regardless of whose fault it was (Cape, Transkei +
goods normally have to be returned – if man's fault:	Ciskei courts have held blameworthiness to apply
goods are not returned	when considering what has to be returned)

Betrothal can be terminated in 3 ways + legal consequences re disposal of *goods already delivered* with proposed marriage in mind differs:

Death of the man / woman

(Some peoples – i.e. Pedi + Venda + some Tswana make provision for substitution whereby the deceased man / woman is replaced by another man / woman, usually deceased brother / sister – consent of other man/woman who is the substitute is required)

Consequences:

 Marriage goods must be returned to man's group + compensation must be made for losses of cattle not reported in good time (not returned if man caused woman's death (esp. if she died during betrothal period by giving birth to his child))

Mutual agreement btw the man + woman

Examples: they realize marriage will not be successful / relationship btw family groups soured)
Unlikely to happen because in modern indigenous law, woman's father is also party to the agmt (in original indigenous law, family groups, and not the man + woman, agreed to terminate the agmt)
Agmt to terminate cannot be effected w/o co-operation of the woman

Consequences:

Parties reach an agmt re disposal of goods (usually returned to giver)

<u>Unilateral agreement</u>

Can be made with or w/o good cause

Based on principle that marriage amt is entered into in good faith + any act of other party contrary to this is a good reason for termination:

Good cause for the man's group:

- Woman's group unreasonably postpones marriage (esp. if accompanied by demands for more cattle / money to be delivered despite delivery of amt agreed upon / delivery of a reasonably quantity)
- Woman's misconduct with other men + neglect of her betrothed
- Woman's immorality if he condones this, a new cause is required to terminate
- Man incurs physical injury after betrothal which renders him unfit for marriage (in this case he must compensate woman's group for all reasonable expenses incurred with proposed marriage in mind)

Good cause for woman:

- Man concludes indigenous marriage with another woman during betrothal period
- Man's immorality where monogamous marriage was contemplated + woman did not condone it
- Continuous neglect to the extent that woman's guardian had to instruct the man to proceed with the marriage
- Too much attention paid to other women + neglect of betrothed and her family

If woman's group terminates the betrothal – Jikejela case:

- Woman alone decides if she wants to terminate; her guardian has no say
- Woman must also decide if she wishes to reject the man / condone his misconduct
- Condonation / rejection by guardian w/o woman's consent has no effect

Consequences:

- Zulu marriage goods must be returned regardless of which party terminated
- Other peoples guilt factor NB: if man terminates with good cause marriage goods must be returned / if man terminates w/o good cause or woman terminates with good cuase: man usually forfeits goods already delivered

LEGAL REQUIREMENTS FOR CUSTOMARY MARRIAGES

Have to be fulfilled in order to achieve a valid juristic act

Absolute requirements	Relative requirements
Must be satisfied beforehand	Juristic act only fulfilled when all requirements are
	satisfied + can be terminated upon failure to satisfy them
If not met – no juristic act (null + void ab initio)	If not met – valid juristic act is effected
Arise from law	Arise by agmt btw parties / law
Unalterable	Alterable by mutual agmt btw interested parties

ABSOLUTE REQUIREMENTS

Customary marriages entered into BEFORE 15 Nov 2000 -

Tested against requirements for traditional indigenous marriage / customary union – depending on where parties resided –

KZN (per Codes of Zulu Law):

- Consent of father / guardian of intended wife (if a minor), which may not be withheld w/o good reason
- Consent of father / guardian of prospective husband (if a minor); and
- Public declaration by prospective wife to the official witnesses at wedding ceremony that she voluntarily submits to marriage + gives her consent thereto

Outside KZN (per Olivier):

Consent of father / guardian of man (under certain circumstances)

Traditionally, there used to be a legal obligation on father / guardian of young man to help him with *lobolo* for his first wife – where a man provides his own *lobolo*, consent of his father / guardian is unimportant (unless he's a minor)

Consent of father / guardian of woman

Necessary – regardless of woman's age (tacit consent can be inferred – i.e. father accepted *lobolo* / allowed couple to live together as man + wife)

Letsoalo case: woman's mother can legally negotiate lobolo + receive it + act as woman's guardian + approve her marriage = decision criticized by some as a distortion of African martial law, however, it's a good illustration of application of unofficial customary law + that customary law isn't static

Consent of prospective husband

Imperative (can be express or tacit) = prospective husband can be represented at the formal wedding ceremony + need not be physically present himself

Consent of prospective wife

Made an essential requirement by official courts

May be express or tacitly inferred – i.e. she had no objection to participating in the wedding arrangements + ceremonies

Handing over / delivery of woman to family group of man / man himself

Wife must be integrated into husband's family, which was usually accompanied by ceremonies Subsequent circumstances indicate whether she was "handed over"

Non-integration related to circumstances such as failure to make satisfactory arrangements re *lobolo* / deal turned sour / parties lost interest in each other before marriage had been concluded Process not limited to a single event + could a lengthily process

Mbatha case: wife' alleged non-integration into husband's family because an accepted ritual was not performed – held: parties could agree to waive the requirement of the ritual + performance of specific rituals is not an essential requirement = case criticized – the real issue (whether wife had been integrated into husband's family) was overlooked

Agmt that lobolo will be delivered

Main component of customary marriage + relates to the measure (and not the actual delivery) of *lobolo* – i.e. no. of cattle / amt of money

Non-existence of a civil marriage

Before 1988, a civil marriage dissolved a preceding customary marriage + existence of a valid customary marriage concluded before RCMA was disputed in court – Road Accident Fund case: deceased killed in car accident + was married to plaintiff by customary rites and married to another by civil rights – civil marriage concluded before customary marriage – both women claimed loss of maintenance due to negligent death of breadwinner – trial court held legislature didn't intend to afford a person such as the plaintiff the right to claim for loss of support – on appeal, SCA held: any claimant who was a spouse in a customary marriage where her spouse was, at the time of his death, a spouse to a civil marriage as well, must be compensated by RAF + Minister of Justice ordered to review continued existence of current legislation According to Maithufi + Bekker: this matter requires urgent attention of legislatures – both marriages should be recognized as valid customary marriages (i.e. polygyny should be recognized in these circumstances)

- During registration of marriage, husband must declare traditional community's rules + customs with which
 marriage is to be concluded; traditional leader (or his delegate) + representatives of the diff marriage
 parties (who serve as witnesses) + make similar declarations [Note: 2 white people may encounter
 problems with registration of the marriage due to evidential problems]
- Must be registered within 12 m after commencement of the Act (i.e. before 15 Nov 2002) / any longer period prescribed by Minister in Gazette

Customary marriages entered into AFTER 15 Nov 2000 -

RCMA -

Prospected spouses must both be + 18 years + consent to be married under customary law

Achieves formal gender equality + prevents conclusion of forced marriages

Provision is made re minors: Both his/her parents / guardian must consent – If consent of parent / guardian cannot be obtained – Marriage Act applies:

Commissioner of child welfare may grant consent if satisfied:

- o Minor has neither parent / guardian + is not in a pozi to obtain consent from parent / guardian
- Marriage will be in best interests of minor
- In interests of minor to conclude an antenuptial contract (if so, such a contract must be entered into before granting consent)

Note: commissioner may not grant consent if parents/guardian <u>refuse</u> to grant consent If consent of parent / guardian / Commissioner refuse to give consent, minor can apply to court for consent OR

Minister of Home Affairs (or public officer authorized in writing) may grant written permission if considers marriage desirable + in interests of parties in question (such permission doesn't relieve parties from having to comply with all other requirements prescribed by law If minor entered into marriage without Minister / public officer's consent –

 Minster OHA / officer may, in writing, declare marriage to be valid customary marriage if marriage is desirable + in interests of both parties in question + marriage was in every other respect in acc with Marriage Act

A marriage concluded by a minor w/o necessary consent is <u>voidable</u> = minor / parent / guardian must apply for annulment (withdrawal / termination) + lodge the application with HC:

- Application by parent/guardian must be made before minor is 18 + within 6 wks of becoming aware of marriage
- Application by minor must be made before he is 18 / within 3 months after turning 21
 Court will grant application only if it's in minor's best interests

RCMA does not alter prohibition of customary marriage btw persons related by blood / affinity – this is still determined by customary law + the laws and customs differ from group-to-group –

Nguni	Sotho + Tswana	Tsonga	Venda
Xhosa: Sex with woman of same clan = incest	■ Man may not marry an	Man may	Desirable for
Zulu: marriage with blood relations / person	ascendant / descendant	not marry	man to
of same clan / clan of his mother's people	Marriages btw cousins are	daughter of	marry
prohibited	allowed, but cross-cousin	paternal /	woman from
Swazi: marriage btw man + woman belonging	marriages (man + daughter	maternal	his maternal
to clan of man's paternal grandmother /	of his mother's brothers /	aunt /	uncle's
maternal grandparent of either sex is	father's sisters) preferred	uncle	family
desirable			

Marriage must be negotiated + entered into / celebrated in acc with customary law

Negotiations, entering into + celebrating differs from group to group — without these, would be difficult to say if a customary marriage existed

Masuku:

Process of customary marriage is gradual + there are still underlying components of a customary marriage with involves a series of changes in:

- a. Attitude of contracting groups towards each other (visits + exchange of social courtesies to establish harmony btw the groups, terminating in the consent of the groups to the marriage);
- b. Actual transaction = exchange of rights in woman for cattle extent of lobolo is arranged
- c. Adjustment of woman in her new office elevation from maidenhood to wifehood; departure from + farewell to group + its ancestors + intro to new group + its ancestors + her affiliation thereto any children born to her belong to husband's group + even death does not dissolve the marriage

Lobolo:

Bennett: Lobolo is, by implication, a contractual accessory, even though RCMA makes no ref to it

Olivier: *lobolo* legalizes the marriage, legitimates children born of the woman + acts as a form of compensation to place responsibility on woman's father to support her when necessary, to stabilize the marriage + ensure proper treatment of wife by husband + his family – the primary function being to transfer woman's reproductive capacity to husband's family

 Spouses married acc to customary law are precluded from concluding a civil marriage during continuance of the customary marriage

Legislature enacted this provision to protect the wife – before where a man married by civil rites married a woman by customary law, that subsequent marriage was null + void + the fie + children were auto discarded

Husband + wife married ito customary law can convert *their* marriage into a civil marriage if neither of them is a partner to a subsisting marriage with another person (

Registration

Failure to register a customary marriage does not affect validity of marriage – registration merely provides proof that a customary marriage exists - Spouses are merely placed under a duty to ensure their marriage is registered = Must be registered within 3m after conclusion of marriage / longer period prescribed Gazette

Reg officer registers marriage by recording ID of spouses, date of marriage, *lobolo* agreed + any other prescribed particulars + issues reg cert which is *prima facie* proof of existence of customary marriage

If a customary marriage was not registered – anyone who has a sufficient interest may apply to reg officer to enquire into its existence – if reg officer satisfied valid customary marriage exits, he must register marriage + issue cert of reg = If reg officer not satisfied – he may refuse to register marriage + court may, upon application + investigation, order reg of marriage / cancellation / rectification of any reg effected by reg officer

Minister may make regulations re:

- Requirements to be met with + info to be furnished to reg officer;
- Manner in which reg officer must satisfy himself re existence / validity of customary marriage;
- Manner in which any person may participate in proof of existence / reg of any customary marriage;
- Form + content of certificates, notices, affidavit + declarations req ito the Act;
- Custody, certification, implementation, rectification, reproduction + disposal of any doc re reg / that
 is prescribed ito the Act;
- Any matter required / permitted to be prescribed ito the Act; and
- Any other matter necessary / expedient to provide for effect reg of customary marriage / efficient admin of the Act
- Prescribing fees payable re reg + issuing reg cert

Traditional indigenous marriage -

Man + woman must not be related to one another within the prohibited degrees of kinship

Regarded as incest + that pollutes the community + ancestors may send supernatural punishment (i.e. droughts, hails storms, heat waves) to the community

Persons who committed incest must be killed to purify the community of this pollution; or if they weren't killed, they had to undergo purification ceremonies to free the community of this pollution

Consensus btw the 2 family groups on the 2 people to be united in marriage + marriage goods

Individual members cannot agree on behalf of the group – groups negotiate over: The marriage partners –

- Woman's group satisfies itself re man's capabilities, good name + ability to maintain woman
- Man's family group satisfies itself re woman's qualities

The marriage goods (lobolo) -

- Most NB contract in customary law: agreement by which 1 party undertake to deliver a certain female person as a bride for a certain male person in return for the delivery of cattle / other property
- Originally the parties were the family groups of man + woman; however, nowadays, the parties may even be individuals (i.e. man, girl and her father)
- Delivery + transfer of marriage goods is made to bride's father, but can also take place through description + indication (constructive delivery) and may be made at any pre-arranged place – the time at which marriage goods must be delivered varies:
 - Where a group requires all the marriage goods to be delivered before transferring the bride = delivery of marriage goods is an absolute requirement
 - Where a group requires part of marriage goods to be delivered before the bride is transferred / delivery via installments after wife transferred = delivery of marriage goods is NOT an absolute requirement
- NB requirements for lobolo contract
 - Consent of father / guardian of bride to be points which may indicate tacit consent / refusal: acceptance / refusal of *lobolo*; or permission / refusal to allow girl to stay with man on understanding that *lobolo* will be paid later
 - Consent of bride (originally, her free consent was not necessary, but in modern indigenous law, her free consent is a requirement)
 - Consent of bridegroom (does not have to be given before negotiations for marriage commenced, however, the original custom where fathers consent on behalf of their kids is rejected in modern indigenous law)
 - Transfer of bride (see below)

Customs by which man + woman attempt to force family groups to agree:

Ukubalkea: man + woman elope

Ukuthwala: man + his friends kidnap bride + take her to his people, apparently against her will

Transfer of bride by her family group to man's family group

Brings about the marriage: need not take place physically / be accompanied by ceremonies – but – there must be a formality indicating this transfer (usually she is delivered by her people to man's dwelling place + specific ceremonies are carried out there)

Sufficient in some groups for groom to sleep with bride – as long as family groups have agreed on the marriage (no ceremonies need be carried out) = in these cases, guardianship over the woman is transferred because the woman herself does not have to be physically transferred

Groom need not be present when she's transferred –indicates transfer is indeed to his family group

Woman may not already be involved in a marital union

If she is – the later union is null + void

Customary Union –

Inside KZN: Codes of Zulu -

 <u>Consent of bride's father / guardian</u> if she's a minor, which consent may not be unreasonably withheld Rights of any person entitlted to marriage goods may not be prejudiced based on the fact that consent of father / guardian of major bride no longer essential

- Consent of bridegroom's father / family head if he's a minor
- <u>Public declaration by bride</u> to the official witnesses that union takes place with her consent

Union must be registered (although non-registration does not render union invalid / null + void)

Lobolo = a separate contract which is supplementary to the union = not an absolute requirement - no reqs stipulated in the code re lobolo Parties to lobolo contract = groom + person entitled to girl's lobolo

Outside KZN:

Consent of bride's father / guardian as a party to the agreement whether bride is minor / not =

Where father / guardian comes to an agreement with bridegroom re marriage goods – he's also consented to the customary union [Note: because in modern indigenous law bride's father / guardian is also party to the agmt in addition to the bride + bridegroom, there could be a conflict of interest btw bride + her father, however, bride's father has a material interest in the relationship (claim to lobolo), while bride is a spouse]

- Consent of bridegrooms' father / guardian if bridegroom is a minor
- Consent of bride
- Consent of bridegroom
- Transfer of bride
- Non-existence of a CL (civil) marriage
- Marriage goods: opposing legal opinions:
 - Bekker: pmt of marriage goods required
 - Olivier: agmt to deliver marriage goods required

Customs re delivery of marriage goods differ:

- Some groups would never be able to meet pmt requirement = i.e. *ukutheleka* custom + Sotho group custom that marriage goods be delivered from marriage goods obtained in future for a daughter to be born of the marriage: an express agmt re marriage goods is required
- Some groups don't specifically enter into an agmt re delivery of marriage goods quantity + time of delivery are regulated by custom: an express agmt re marriage goods is not required

CONSEQUENCES OF CUSTOMARY MARRIAGES

General consequences:

- New & separate legal unit: family house, comes into being
- H&W have mutual obligation to live together
- H&W have mutual duty to allow sexual intercourse
- H&W's status changes
- New house estate is established

Personal consequences:

Simple ranking system	Complex ranking system	
Tsonga	Nguni	Other
Household not divided	Each house forms sep legal unit with own property	Household not divided - each W establishes sep house with own property
Woman whom man marries 1 st = main W All other W ranked in order of their marriage	W1 = main W: Great house W3 + W5 + W7 "Rafters" W2 = main W: Right-hand house W4 + W6 + W8 "Rafters"	Sotho-Tswana: 1 st woman married = main W, unless there's a preferential marriage, then this W becomes main W regardless of order in which she was married Other: main W = W to whose marriage goods man's father contributed + rank of all other W's determined acc to order of marriage
H controls property of group as a whole – when he dies, eldest son of main W controls common property + W's + kids have claim to maintenance from common property	W's rank fixed + cannot be changed unless made publicly with convincing evidence W's rank cannot be lowered Swazi: rank of W's is determined after death of family head Every rafter (aka: igadi W) has her own house estate	
	If H marries seed raiser (SR) (W infertile / died / marriage dissolved) = SR does not establish a new house but forms part of house of woman she substituted – except if main W died / divorced, then SR takes in place in all respects SR's pozi must be made public during marriage ceremony – if not, SR establishes house with sep rank	Seed-raiser = same as Nguni

Relationships btw H&W:

Duties:

H: provide family with subsistence (dwelling area / agricultural land / seed / stock / clothing)

W: care for house / cultivate + prep food

Before RCMA:

TIM: W under guardianship of H

CU (outside KZN): W = minor + under guardianship of H; but enjoyed independence in running her house

+ a particular claim to *ubulungu* beast (given to mother of a girl by her family group at her marriage - offspring used for her maintenance – remains her personal prop unless marriage dissolved, then it becomes part of prop of her house + she forfeits claim to it

(i.e. has a claim to it as long as she remains member of her house)

After RCMA:

W in CM has, on basis of equality with her H + subject to mat prop system governing marriage:

- Full status + capacity (incl. capacity to acquire assets + dispose of them
- Can enter into contracts + litigate
- Any other rights + powers given to her at cust-L

Proprietary consequences:

Control over house property (HP): HP belongs to H & W & kids - all share in it + each has duty to contribute towards it

Controlled by H on behalf of house, but in consultation with W & older kids

H is only person allowed to dispose of HP

CU: Members of house seen as individuals, each with particular rights & powers:

- Children who are majors + earn own living, have an estate sep to house but must contribute part of their earnings to house
- W has no legal control over house property but, if H irresponsibly disposes of HP + ignores W's objections she can institute action against him on behalf of her house

CM: W can acquire + dispose of assets; enter into contracts + litigate in court

Relationships btw houses: Prop of every house forms sep unit + one house cannot be enriched at cost of another

Transfer of prop btw houses:

Must be reasonable + for a just cause + family head must consult house members Examples of instances where transfer of prop is allowable:

- House must repay a debt + doesn't have property to do so;
- Property of one house is used as marriage goods for son from another house daughter from other house appointed as source from which debt is to be repaid = marriage goods received for daughter must be used to repay the debt;
- House property is used to marry a subordinate wife such wife is usually affiliated to house that supplied property (custom is known as ukwethula)

Debt relationship created btw the 2 houses which must be repaid

Death of family head does not extinguish his debt

In the past, hous that supplied property could not sue other house in court for repmt (because family head could not simultaneously rep one house as P + other as D – i.e. a household cannot be divided against itself) However, in modern indig law, woman belonging to house having claim can initiate claim against family head / other house

REFORM ITO RCMA

Marriages concluded before RCMA: S7(1) RCMA: still governed by customary law

Polygynous:

Creation of sep houses with their own house property controlled by husband) retained Spouses can jointly apply to court for leave to change matrimonial property system governing their marriage = court may grant application if satisfied:

- Sound reasons for proposed change;
- Sufficient written notice for proposed change given to all creditors of spouses; and
- No other person will be prejudiced by proposed change

Court will then order that the mat prop system applicable to marriage(s) will no longer apply + authorizes parties to marriage(s) to enter into written contract to regulate future mat prop system acc to conditions determined by the court

If husband is in more than 1 customary marriage, all persons having sufficient interest in matter (esp. existing spouse(s)) must be joined in proceedings

Monogamous:

Gumede: RCMA declared unconst (as far as it relates to monogamous customary marriages) = all monogamous customary marriages entered into before RCMA are, as from 8 Dec 2008 (date of judgment), in comm of prop + profit + loss btw the spouses. Distinguishing btw customary marriages entered into BEFORE commencement of Act and AFTER was declared unconst = patrimonial conseq of monogamous customary marriages entered into before and after commencement of RCMA are now the same

Note: above judgment has no bearing on customary marriages terminated by death / divorce before date of judgment

Marriages concluded after RCMA:

Monogamous:

Family estate = in community of prop (unless excluded in ANC) – equal powers to H&W to administer + control joint estate

Polygynous:

Husband must apply to court to approve a written contract which will regulate future mat property system of his marriages – court must:

- If marriage is in comm of prop / subject to accrual system:
 - Terminate mat prop system applicable to marriage; and
 - Effect a div of mat prop
- Ensure equitable distribution of mat prop; and
- Take into account all relevant circumstances of the family groups which would be affected if application granted

Court may:

- Allow further amendments to terms of contract;
- Grant order subject to any condition it deems just; or
- Refuse applic if, in its opinion, interests of any party involved wouldn't be sufficiently safeguarded by proposed contract

All persons having sufficient interest in matter (esp. existing spouse(s)) must be joined in proceedings If court grants applic – registrar must furnish each spouse with an order of court together with certified copy of contract + registrar must send the order + certified copy of contract to each registrar of deeds of are in which court's situated

RCMA silent about consequences if there's no approved contract = suggested that this should lead to nullity of marriage — H's competence to enter into further customary marriages must be seen as dependent on court's approval (so as to protect interests of wives + their family groups) = detrimental for woman in supposed marriage (many are unaware of provisions of RCMA + regard church ceremonies as sufficient)

Note:

Indicated by RCMA that only 1 mat prop system is applicable for ALL marriages + that the only possible option is total div of assets = very unfair towards wives who don't have opp of building up their own estates Court has power to make equitable order it deems just in a divorce action

DISSOLUTION OF CUSTOMARY MARRIAGES

Ways of dissolution:

COURT ACTION:

TIM	CU	CM
Only if parties	KZN: MUST be dissolved by a competent court	Regardless of
(spouses + their	Outside KZN: parties may approach court to decide on return of	where spouses
respective	marriage goods (lobolo) + in doing so, indirectly dissolve CU	reside, court is the
families) couldn't	Instituted by H against W's father for return of marriage goods; or	only competent
agree on	Instituted by W + her father for dissolution of marriage + declaration	authority to
consequences of	of forfeiture of marriage goods = implies court can dissolve CU by	dissolve CM
dissolution (esp.	way of a decision (W's father cannot institute action as sole	("court" = family
ito disposal of	claimant)	court / competent
marriage goods)	Codes of Zulu Law provides wife in KZN can institute action for	div of HC / divorce
	dissolution w/o assistance of her father	court)

W/O INTERFERENCE OF THE COURT:

Original indigenous law: TIM dissolved by family groups concerned

Modern indigenous law: CU outside KZN can be dissolved in foll ways:

- Mutual agreement + agreement usually provides for consequences (no particular grounds need exist);
- H's initiative (with / w/o good reason); or
- W + her father's initiative (with or w/o good reason)

H / W'S DEATH:

TIM	CU	CM
Did not dissolve	Death of wife:	Position unclear –
as a matter of	Terminates union – substitution is also possible	argued that
course – relative	House of deceased continues to exist	customary law
was substituted	If she hasn't given birth to a son – husband may marry a seed-raiser	applies since there
for deceased	Death of husband:	is no explicit
	Does not terminate union	amendment of
	"Widow" remains wife in household	customary law (i.e.
	Male relative (sometimes a non-relative) can pro-create children for	death of spouses
	deceased with wife (if she's still able to bear children) – aka:	does not terminate
	"ukungena"	the union)
	Codes of Zulu Law – H's death dissolves union (ukungena permitted,	
	however, later seed-raising cannot really be regarded as ukungena)	

Grounds for dissolution:

TIM	CU	СМ
Non-fulfillment by wife of	Outside KZN: recognized by courts	Irretrievable breakdown:
child-bearing duties	(except indig courts):	
 Failure to deliver marriage 		Views of spouses & wider family
goods	 Adultery, if it amts to 	groups considered
 Violation of conjugal 	repudiation / renders union	
fidelity by wife, amounting	impossible –	Question of fact: in light of all
to repudiation	Concealment of ID / protection	available evidence, is there a
 Wife preventing husband 	of adulterer; continued adultery	reasonable prospect that parties will
from taking action against	& incest = aggravating	be able to restore a normal marriage
her adulterous lover	circumstances & not sep grounds	relationship btw themselves?
 Single act of incest by wife 	for divorce	
 Premarital pregnancy 	 Pregnancy during marriage due 	Divorce Act (which applies to CM's) –
concealed from husband	to secret pre-marital intercourse	court may accept as proof –
 Neglect of mutual 	with another man	Parties continuously lived apart for
marriage duties, incl.	 Desertion by wife 	at least 1yr immed before date of
sexual intercourse	Refusal to have sex	instituting divorce action
 Expulsion of wife by 		Defendant committed adultery &
husband – directly /	In KZN (Codes of Zulu Law):	plaintiff finds this irreconcilable
indirectly	grounds for both husband & wife:	Defendant declared habitual
 Desertion by wife with 		criminal ito sentence of court & is in
persistent, unfounded	Adultery	prison as a result
refusal to return	 Continued refusal of conjugal 	
 Accusations of witchcraft 	rights	Court's discretion is limited – if parties
by husband = sufficient	 Willful desertion 	agree marriage has broken down &
reason for wife to leave	 Continued gross misconduct 	ought to be dissolved & that D will not
her husband	 Imprisonment of at least 5yrs 	challenge P's allegation of irretrievable
 Impotence of husband 	 Living together is insupportable 	breakdown, court has to accept P's
(substitution possible)	& dangerous – i.e. Gross cruelty /	unchallenged evidence & grant divorce
	ill-treatment / r serious	(i.e. = an option provided there's
	allegations like witchcraft	consensus btw the parties)

EFFECT OF DISSOLUTION ON MARRIAGE GOODS

Generally, goods are returned to husband OR forfeited by husband in favour of wife's group.

Sometimes goods are returned in part only by taking foll factors into account –

Amount of blame on either side

- Wife is to blame: marriage goods are usually returned
- **Husband is to blame:** marriage goods not returned (customarily 1 beast is returned to husband as concrete proof that marriage dissolved)

Number of children born

Wife's group allowed 1 beast as a deduction for every child born (incl. miscarried children)

If wife had more children than no. of marriage beasts – at least 1 beast must be returned to husband as concrete proof that marriage dissolved

Portion of marriage goods already delivered

Court may be approached for a decision if the parties fail to reach mutual agreement

CONSEQUENCES OF DISSOLUTION

Husband & wife:

Polygynous nature = husband's status not seriously affected

Wife's position changes drastically = divorced woman & no longer under guardianship of husband

- TIM: reverts to being under guardianship of her agnatic group of birth
- CU: reverts to guardianship of her father / his successor, unless she's older than 21 (i.e. a major)
- CM: becomes a major when she marries and remains a major on dissolution of her marriage

Children:

CU	CM
Codes of Zulu Law:	IF lobolo obligations
under guardianship	fulfilled, husband & his
of husband, but	family are entitled to any
court can make an	children born of wife, but
order re custody &	court can make an order re
maintenance	custody / guardianship of any minor child when
	granting decree for
	dissolution of CM
	Court takes into account any
	provision / arrangement
	made ito CL when making
	order re pmt of maintenance
	Codes of Zulu Law: under guardianship of husband, but court can make an order re custody &

Proprietary:

TIM: wife lost all rights & powers re house property + could not even claim to be maintained from it

RCMA provides for maintenance & matrimonial estate sharing + specifies that court has powers re allocation of assets & benefits of marriage when granting decree for dissolution of CM

Court must make an equitable order re polygynous marriages & consider factors such as contracts / agmts / court order / expectations & liabilities re marriage goods (esp. when deciding assets of estate to be divided)

Court may also order any person who in court's opinion has sufficient interest be joined in procedings

Divorce Act provides that no person ought to benefit financially from marriage he / she caused to fail – spouse loses claim he/she has to assets of other spouse

CL provides for "forfeiture of benefits" = divorce is effected by abandonment of wife & her family or by husband & his family of all their respective rights in the marriage = court order ito Divorce Act for forfeiture of patrimonial benefits of CM is in line with customary practice

Civil Marriages

RCMA provides:

No spouse in a CM can conclude a civil marriage during existence of CM, unless spouses conclude civil marriage with each other & as long as neither spouse is in an existing CM with another person (a civil marriage that contravenes these provisions will be void)

If spouses in CM later conclude civil marriage with each other, marriage will be in community of prop, unless excluded by ANC

RCMA is silent re consequences of converting CM to civil marriage – most acceptable view = CM will be terminated on date civil marriage concluded, but termination is not retrospective – CL rules apply to CM & its consequences until civil marriage concluded & thereafter rules applicable to civil marriages apply

CUSTOMARY LAW OF PROPERTY: CUSTOMARY LAW OF THINGS

RIGHTS RE PROPERTY -

General property:

- Belongs to household as a whole, controlled by family head on their behalf & in interests of group
- Each member of household shares in it acc to his status within the group
- When family head dies control passes to his general successor result = successor becomes an heir to exclusion of other members & is responsible for use of such property
- Includes:
 - o Property of family head's mother's house to which he has succeeded;
 - Property eared by family head by his occupation; and
 - Land allocated to family head by tribal authority which has not been allotted to a particular house

House property:

- Accrues & belongs to a specific house, consisting of wife & her children & used for benefit of that house
- Controlled by husband as head of house morally (not legally) obliged to consult wife & house successor (if already an adult) when disposing house property
- Wife & children have special interest in / rights to such property at dissolution of marriage, such rights / interests do not terminate (they continue to reside in the house)
- Wife has reasonable degree of control over house property ito daily household affairs
- When property from 1 house is used to benefit another, debt relationship is created btw houses concerned & debt must be repaid (no action for repmt can be instituted in an indigenous court)
- Wife can protect house property from husband's prodigality by calling on husband's agnatic group / applying to magistrate
- When husband dies control passes to house successor (usually wife's eldest son) note: successor does
 NOT become owner of property as an individual to exclusion of other members of house
- Includes:
 - Earnings of family members;
 - Livestock allocated to a house from general property;
 - o Property given to woman on her marriage, i.e. utensils;
 - Marriage goods received from daughters of the house;
 - o Yields from fields belonging to house; and
 - o Land allocated to house for dwelling & cultivation

Personal / Individual property:

- Belongs to a person who has acquired it, although it may be under control of family head
- Originally unknown in CL = rights in personal things (i.e. clothing, necklaces & weapons) vested in group –
 indiv couldn't deal with it as they please rather, he had to always consult with the other members of the
 agnatic group
- Modern CL acknowledges individual ownership individual is morally (not legally) obliged to consult family head in using such property, but may dispose of the property as he/she desires

METHODS OF ACQUIRING PROPERTY -

Allotment (aka: allocation):

Land:

Traditionally allocated by traditional authority to family heads who in turn allocated it to constituent family houses = debate began re women's rights in property: however, among African people of SA, married women have always been allotted land, as part of house property, to cultivate & reside on (generally, whatever land has been allotted to her husband belongs to her house & has to be used exclusively for the benefit of such house.

Nowadays: allocated to unmarried & divorced women as well & woman now also have complete control over such land in the same way as men

RCMA states that despite rules of CL, age of majority of a person is determined in acc with Age of Majority Act = unmarried women have same rights re communal land

Other property:

Any kind of property can be allotted to a person ito CL

Property given to wife is allotted to her house & becomes part of house property – she does not obtain control over the property, but it cannot be used w/o her consent / authorization = she is the owner

Inheritance (see below re succession, etc)

Marriage (as discussed herein)

Other methods, i.e. original methods: appropriation, manufacture, cultivation & breeding; and derivative methods: transfer

CUSTOMARY LAW OF SUCCESSION & INHERITENCE

INHERITENCE	SUCCESSION
Deceased assets are divided among his	No division of property
heirs ito of a will (testate succession) or	Successor takes place of deceased & gains control over property &
CL (intestate succession)	people over which deceased controlled
	Acc to rules of customary law (i.e. intestate)
Liabilities are set off against assets &	Successor succeeds to asses AND liabilities of estate – if liab exceed
balance is divided – if liab exceed asset,	assets, successor succeeds to these as well
heirs inherit nothing	

SUCCESSION:

Deals with continuation of status and control of people & property; Succession to status positions takes place ONLY when family head dies – death of other members of family does not give rise to succession to their statuses

- Original CL: related solely to states / Modern CL: acknowledges individual inheritance of property
- Original: no total disposition of property by a will / Modern: can dispose assets by a will
- Distinction made btw general and special / house succession
- Original: successor succeeded to deceased's assets & liabilities / Modern: Differs btw groups i.e. KZN successor succeeds to assets of estate and ONLY debts re marriage contracts; rest of RSA successor succeeds to assets AND all debts of predecessor
- Succession in status is limited largely to males (esp. those of patrilineage)
- Follows principle of primogeniture (man is succeeded by his firstborn son)
- Duty that cannot be relinquished / ceded
- Male descendents enjoy pref over male ascendants (ansestors); male ascendants enjoy pref over collateral male relatives (in lateral line, i.e. brothers & sisters)
- Disposal among living is possible, provided usual formalities are complied with
- Successor may be removed from line of succession ("disinherited") on good grounds

GENERAL ORDER OF SUCCESSION:

Principles taken into account: succession on death; primogeniture; and succession by males in male line of descent.

Succession in a monogamous household -

- When a male dies, his **eldest son**, or, if he's deceased, his eldest son inherits
- If eldest son died w/o male descendants, the **second son** / his male descendants succeed, in their order of birth
- If deceased died w/o male descendants, deceased's **father** succeeds
- If deceased survives all male descendants & his father his elder **brother** / his male descendant's (acc to order of the houses) succeed (i.e. this is how all brothers of deceased & their male descendants are considered ito succession)
- If deceased's father / brothers have no male descendants to succeed, grandfather / his male descendant's (acc to seniority) succeed
- Thereafter deceased great-grandfather and his male descendants are considered
- If there are no male descendants (very unlikely) **traditional leader** of deceased's traditional authority succeeds
- If there's no traditional leader **President** succeeds as supreme chief

Succession in a polygynous household -

Household is NOT divided into sections	Household is divided into sections
Eldest son in that particular house succeeds	If there's no male within a particular section
If he's deceased, all his male descendants are considered	who can succeed, the senior male in the other
and thereafter his younger brothers & their descendants	section succeeds in the section that does not
If the particular house has no male descendant – successor	have a successor
is obtained from house next in rank	

Order of succession among male children (in order of preference):

- Legitimate son fathered by deceased himself
- Married man's illegitimate son with an unmarried / divorced woman for whom maintenance was paid
- Sons born out of an ukungena relationship
- Adopted children / children born from an adulterous relationship with the wife (unless repudiated)
 according to chronological order in which they became attached to late husband's family. Note: adopted
 child is excluded by a legitimate child. Zulu & Swazi groups don't recognize adoption
- Sons of wife of deceased not born from ukungena relationship
- Premarital son of unmarried woman / extramarital son of divorced woman for which no *isondlo* has been paid (this is an example of succession through a woman since such children are regarded to belong to family of their mother & not to family of deceased such a son can succeed through his mother only if deceased has no other male relatives

Note: Bhe case (see details below): extended Intestate Succession Act to all persons, including those who adhere to customary law = no distinction will in future be made btw legitimate & illegitimate children or between men & women

GENERAL AND SPECIAL SUCCESSION

General successor	Special successor
Control over household & property of general estate	Control over the house & house property
belonging to agnatic group as a whole	
Control over all the various houses & is the successor to the	Control over the house property & members
main house – visa versa – successor to main house is usually	of the relative house, exercised in
also general successor	consultation with adult house members
If household is divided into sections – successor to main	There are as many house successors as there
house of main section is also general successor	are houses in a household
Senior family members form a family council which exercises	
control over constituent agnatic group & its independent	
houses (i.e. disciplines family head if he wastes general	
property / neglects duties towards his family)	

After death of family head, each house forms a potentially independent unit from which a new household may emerge –general successor obtains overall control over all the houses, maintaining the original unity.

Refer to diagram on pg 117 of SG

POWERS & DUTIES OF SUCCESSOR

Original IL: Universal nature = successor acquired benefits (powers) AND duties when family head died

- General successor: acted in place of deceased & acquired control over gen property & responsible for general debts of household, collecting outstanding debts, performing family rituals on behalf of family members (in this case he relied on property of gen estate) – powers & duties re house he succeeded were same as those of other house successors
- House successors in proportion to rank of each house each house successor was independent over affairs
 of his house, including duties such as: care & support for members of house, ensuring debts were paid &
 collected, provision of marriage goods for sons and wedding outfits of daughters

Modern IL:

- <u>KZN</u> = successor liable only for debts equivalent to assets of estate, BUT fully liable for *lobolo* debts contracted with another house to establish its own house (i.e. inter-house loans)
- Outside KZN = universal succession = still liable for debts, even if there's not enough assets

Generally: successor is also liable for **delicts** of deceased (except Mpondo) however, if action was instituted before death of deceased / deceased accepted liability during his lifetime, liability limited to extent of estate

DISPOSITION INTER VIVOS

Family head could, during his lifetime, make allotments from general property which would remain valid after his death, such as:

- Allotment of property to a specific house or son
- Adoption –influences normal order of succession
- Transferring a younger son from one house to another house that doesn't have a son (such sun succeeds to the latter house)
- Seed-raising
- Allocating daughters to sons in a house so as to provide for marriage goods of these sons marriage goods
 received for daughter are then used as marriage goods for wife of one of the sons
- Ukungena (procreation of successor for deceased man by his widows)

Above dispositions must be accompanied by formalities & done in consultation with wider family group

Family head (and apparently other members of group) can dispose of personal property through a customary will done in a formal manner

STATUTORY REGULATION OF CL OF INHERITANCE IN SA

Testamentary dispositions:

Original IL did not recognize total disposition of property by means of a will because rights & duties were held by agnatic group and individual could not be bearer of rights & dispose property

Modern IL recognizes wills and S23 of <u>Black Administration Act (BAA)</u> regulated succession among indigenous Africans in that it provided:

- All <u>moveable</u> property allocated to a house or a wife in a customary marriage is inherited acc to rules of original indigenous law and cannot be bequeathed by means of a will;
- Estate of black that's totally / partially bequeathed by a will must be administered ito Administration of Estates Act;
- Land (immovable prop) must pass to one male person, determined in acc with tables of succession
- Persons who contracted a civil marriage (but never a customary marriage); and unmarried persons (as long as they held individual rights) can dispose of their whole estate by means of a will

Note: S23 was found unconst & invalid by Bhe case and repealed with far-reaching implications

Intestate Inheritance:

S23 of BAA stipulated that:

- Estate of black who died w/o a valid will devolved acc to rules contained in Government Notice (GN) 1987
- Master of HC has no powers re administration of intestate estate of deceased African estate must be administered ito GN 1987 by local Mag of are where deceased lived

Moseneke case:

S23 differentiated on race, ethnic origin & colour and constitutes unfair discrimination & limitations posed therein were not reasonable & justifiable – provisions were therefore held unconst & invalid – To reach a just & equitable order:

- S23 of BAA is invalid with immediate effect
- Status quo re estates already completed ito BAA and GN 1987 should be upheld
- Declaration of invalidity of GN of 1987 (see Zondi case below) is suspended for 2 years
- Beneficiaries of intestate estate not governed by CL had a choice to report estate to Master / mag

Zondi case:

GN 1987 unconst - distinguished, for purposes of intestate succession, btw estate of a person who was partner in civil marriage on the one hand; and estate or person who was partner in civil marriage in comm of prop / ANC on the other hand

Note: this case is a decision of Natal Provincial Division & is therefore not binding on other provinces – however, CC in Bhe case declared GN 1987 to be unconst and invalid = ALL property may be diposed of by means of a will from 15 Oct 2004 and Intestate Succession Act will be applied to all estates irrespective of race / gender of a deceased

Changes brought about due to above cases:

- 1. Administration of Estates Amendment Act (AEAA) and GN 2002 came into operation on 5 Dec 2002:
- 2. AEAA provided for service points where officials of Dpt of Justice could exercise functions on behalf & under direction of Master; however, customary estates still had to be administered under supervision of mags
- 3. Estates that fell under BAA & GN 1987 must now be supervised by Master (no longer responsibility of mags)
- 4. Estates currently being administered ito BAA must be completed as if BAA not declared invalid

Bhe case:

Heard 3 cases concurrently which all concerned customary law of <u>intestate</u> succession 2 main issues:

- 1. Const validity of s23 of Black Administration Act which prescribed the manner in which estates of deceased blacks should be administered and distributed
- 2. Const of rule of primogeniture basis of challenge: precludes widows from inheriting as intestate heirs from their deceased husbands, daughters from inheriting from their deceased fathers, younger son from inheriting from deceased father and extra-marital child from inheriting from natural deceased father

Held: On 15 Oct 2004

- S23 of BAA unconst discrimination on grounds of race & colour unjustifiable ito Const
- Rule of primogeniture is unconst and invalid violates equality (gender); infringes women's right to dignity; and against rights of children (in denying extra-marital children right to inherit from their deceased fathers unfairly discriminated against them & infringed their right to dignity as well)

Estates that would previously have developed acc to customary law of intestate succession must now devolve acc to rules provided for in Intestate Succession Act

To protect partners in polygamous customary marriages, Intestate Succession Act expanded to all spouses and children (irrespective of their gender) of a deceased

Declaration of invalidity was made retrospective to 27 April 1994 (this does not apply, however, to any completed transfer of ownership to an heir, unless it's established that when such transfer was effected, the transferee was on notice that the property was subject to a legal challenge of statutory provisions & customary rule of primogeniture

African customary law of intestate succession & inheritance has, for centuries, been underpinned by male domination & gross discrimination against women & children – Bhe case finally bring customary law of intestate succession into line with values enshrined in Const & eliminates gender & birth inconsistencies prevalent with this system of law

THE ADMINISTRATION OF INDIGENOUS ESTATES

Testate estates	Intestate estates
Acc to Administration of Estates Act	Previously administered ito GN 1987
House property & quitrent land are excluded from testamentary disposition	Bhe case – all estates to be would up after date of judgment (15 Oct 2004) will now be administered acc to Admin of Estates Act Bhe case had no effect on intestate estates of blacks currently under administration –provisions of Black Administration Act & GN 1987 will continue to apply

LEGAL REFORM

Repeal of the Black Administration Act and Amendment of Certain Laws Act (RBAAACL) was enacted and passed in 2005 as a result of the Bhe case.

RBAAACL recognizes that BAA must be repealed.

For legal certainty & good governance, RBAAACL could only be implemented incrementally so as to allow legislature sufficient time to effect necessary legislative alternatives:

- S1 repeals numerous sections of BAA which specifically affect customary law of succession
- S2 amends numerous other Acts it amends Admin of Estates Act by replacing it will a provision that augments jurisdictional powers of Master in matters re
 - o property belonging to a minor, including property of a minor governed by customary law; or
 - o property belonging to a person under curatorship / to be placed under curatorship

Reform of Customary Law of Succession and Regulations of Related Matters Act (RCLSRRM) commenced 20 Sept 2010:

- Main purpose is to modify devolution of intestate property re persons who are subject to customary law
- Reiterates that widows & certain children need protection under customary law of succession & social circumstances have changed & customary law of succession does not provide adequate protection for welfare of family members
- Applicable to all intestate estates, irrespective of fact that a person is subject to customary law

Redefines various customary law & traditional CL concepts, such as =

- "Descendant" = Person who is a descendant ito Intestate Succession Act; person accepted by deceased as
 his own child ito customary law; woman from substitute marriage; and women from woman to woman
 marriage
- "Spouse" = partner in a customary marriage that's recognized ito RCMA
- "Freedom of testation" = recognizes persons freedom to make testamentary provisions re his assets; gives women living under cust law right to dispose of property allotted to her & house property by means of a will; makes it clear that customary estate can be devolved ito a will; "descendant" for the purpose of interpreting a will / intestate estate of a woman = in her case the terms "children" in her will or "descendant" in Intestate Succession Act have to be interpreted to include:
 - O Child born of union btw husband of such a woman & another woman entered into in acc with customary law for purpose of providing children for first-mentioned woman's house; and
 - Child born to a woman to whom first-mentioned woman was married under customary law for purpose of providing children for first-mentioned woman's house

CUSTOMARY COURT PROCEDURE & EVIDENCE

AFRICAN CUSTOMARY PROCESS OF NEGOTIATION RE DISPUTES

Settlement of disputes within family groups:

Head of family group (related agnatic groups of at most 3 generations) responsible for conduct of its members & has to see to it that disputes among its members are settled – correct procedure = <u>negotiation with a view to</u> reconciliation

Settled by head with assistance of **adult members** of family:

Dispute is usually reported by mother / snr. Female figure – if she thinks people involved in dispute cannot settle dispute, matter is reported to head of family (HOF) – HOF then arranges a meeting (mostly indoors since it's regarded as a pvt matter) with adult members of family to discuss matter with people involved in dispute

If matter cannot be settled within family circle -

Snr relatives outside family are invited to help – if matter also cannot be setttled within this circle, assistance of direct **neighbours** (often not relatives of family) are called in *(note: urban areas – neighbours virtually always invited to help, since relatives do not live close by and are not readily available):*

During meeting, matter is discussed thoroughly & openly – object = ways of reconciling parties involved in dispute with each other – strong emphasis on **restoring relations** btw those involved in dispute & between them and other people present (women generally also take part in these discussions – sometimes procedure is conducted by a senior sister of head of family)

Meeting finds a solution:

Wrongdoer reprimanded & required to "wash the wrong" = slaughter chicken / goat (these days even tea & biscuits) & cook it & eat it during meal shared by those present in meeting – meal symbolizes that relations have been restored & disputing parties & family group have reconciled

Parties concerned do not accept proposed solution:

Dispute gets taken to local headman (head of lowest customary court and he may settle disputes btw inhabitants of that particular ward) – parties concerned must be assisted by their relatives, but relatives often don't wish to give such assistance, in such circumstances, headman serves first as a mediator before he makes a formal judicial decision

Settlement of disputes between non-related family groups:

People involved in dispute first try settle it among themselves by negotiation – if this fails – matter taken to headman's court – headman then acts as mediator

Disputes that develop btw other non-related family groups
Dispute can't be taken directly to court – if it is &
wrongdoer found guilty, court reprimands wrongdoer's group for not wishing to come to a reconciliation
Aggrieved discusses matter within his family group – if agreed wrong was done, matter reported to family
group of wrongdoer Wronged group sends 2 / more members (and sometimes neighbour) to report matter (aka: "throwing a kierie") & complaint is heard, but not acted upon
Wrongdoer's group meets to investigate & discuss complaint – if clear wrong done it sends reps (can include a neighbour) to wronged party to offer apologies may bring goods to compensate for dmgs - if apology & dmgs accepted, matter is solved
If there's no reaction from wrongdoer's group – aggrieved group will throw the keirie after some time elapses & ask "where have you buried us" (aka: "why u ignoring us") – process is repeated 4 times before matter taken to court If there are negotiations btw family groups, but groups cannot come to agreement – headman invited to help &

Note: at any stage during process of negotiation / mediation parties come to an agreement, reconciliatory meal is held as a visible & concrete way of announcing that relations & harmony btw parties & with broader community have been restored

Today, in both rural & urban areas, the local residential group takes place of extended family groups & neighbours also play larger part in negotiation – in urban areas, where there's sometimes many "strangers" living close to one another, disputes are often, if not treated the traditional way, reported to police / church groups or civics

AFRICAN CUSTOMARY COURT PROCEDURE

Headman's court	Chief's court
Lowest court	 Formally recognized by legislation
	Not a court of record
	 Criminal Procedure Act – neither higher or lower court
	 African customary law – senior / highest court
Trial procedure and procedure for civil & criminal actions are the same in both courts	

Criminal action	Civil action
Complainant / Accused	Plaintiff / Defendant
Instituted by traditional authority against offender – if	Instituted where agnatic groups rights & powers
found guilty, offender is punished in the form of a fine	infringed (i.e. adultery / damage to property) – court
(used to also be in the form of corporal punishment)	may order reparation of damage (i.e. pmt of
(i.e. murder / contempt of the ruler)	compensation)

If an act gives rise to both criminal & civil action (i.e. assault & theft) – both will be dealt with in same hearing & court may impose punishment & award damages to harmed party

LODGEMENT PROCEDURE

Criminal case	Civil Case
Agnatic group of harmed person reports case to	If negotiations btw plaintiff & defendant's agnatic groups –
local headman (in exceptional cases complaint	P's group reported matter to their headman
may be lodged directly with chief's court)	
Headman investigates matter & reports to chief -	If D & P live in same ward – headman sets date of trial &
If complaint is founded – chief sets date for trial	notifies D
& parties concerned are notified accordingly	If D & P don't live in same ward – P's headman sends P's
	group with reps of ward to headman of D to report matter
	– headman of D sets a date (case is tried in court of D's
	headman)
Each party must ensure its witnesses are present	On day of hearing: both parties & witnesses must be
on day of trial.	present – case may not be heard in absence of one of
Customary procedure applies in so far as it is not	parties – if party cannot be present & offered apologies
in conflict with public pol & natural justice (it was	BEFORE proceedings – case is postponed – if party absent
therefore decided in HC that person may not be	w/o excuse, case postponed and party warned to be
sentenced in his absence & chief may not	present when case heard again – if absent w/o an excuse
administer justice in a case in which he himself is	for a second time – he's brought to court by messengers &
the complainant)	may be punished for contempt of court
	Note: ITO statutory court rules – case may be heard in
	chief's court in absence of a party ("judgment by default") –
	but in such a case, party may not be punished for contempt
	of court as well
	If a party is not satisfied with decision of headman's court –
	he may ask case to be referred to chief's court: dissatisfied
	party & a rep of headman's court report case to chief's
	court – person at chief's court "receives" case & sets date
	for trial on chief's behalf (procedure in chief's court is same
	as in headman's court)

TRIAL PROCEDURE

- Onus = accused must prove his innocence in court
- Held in public open to members of public & may be attended by any adult person, even strangers & anyone can pose questions & submit info
- All parties must be present judgment by default is unknown

- Legal rep unknown however, every person, no matter his age / sex is assisted by relative
- Each party must ensure that its witnesses are present witnesses may not be related to parties concerned
- Proceedings used to be conducted orally only, with no written record today, however, all chiefs courts
 keep record containing basic info & legislation also requires judgment of chief's court to be registered –
 case must be recorded in quadruplicate straight after judgment & must contain names of parties, particulars
 of case & judgment& must be signed by / on behalf of chief & 2 members of court original report must be
 handed in at local mag court for registration & each party is given a copy
- If judgment of chief's court is not registered within 2 months it lapses & parties are in same pozi as they would've been had judgment not been given & judgment cannot be implemented / taken on appeal / review
 - Bhengu: court rules place administrative duty on chief to submit written judgment for registration failure = liability for costs incurred by party to implement the judgment / dmgs suffered by 3^{rd} party because of such failure
- Chief = judge-in-council (guided by opinions & advice of those present, esp. council members (judgment is usually a synopsis of consensus opinion of those present)
- Formal, but follow specific pattern & take place in an orderly manner:
 - P states side of matter & replies of D & Q's are asked by council members & those present to clear up ambiguities
 - Evidence of witnesses of P witnesses are questioned by those present
 - Evidence of witnesses of D heard & questioned & P & D may give further explanations / question each other
 - Matter discussed by those present, followed by views on facts
 - Judgment given usually a consensus judgment is considered ideal & often matter discussed until council members reach consensus
- Persons who misbehave are called to order & receive a warning if they continue, they may be removed from courtroom & fined summarily for contempt of court
- Nobody is judge in his own case case involving a ward headman is heard by another headman / referred to chief case involving chief is heard by snr relative of chief & his father's brother
- Inquisitorial = court's duty to try establish truth by questioning & cross-examination (no evidence is excluded)
- Parties & their witnesses are given ample opp to submit evidence to court court must be satisfied there's enough evidence to substantiate facts of case
- Court competent to hold in situ (where offence took place) investigations + court may even make use of
 extrajudicial methods of proof i.e. pointing out by a diviner = "time cannot stand in the place of truth"

When hearing a civil case:

• D may not institute counter-claim & ask that his liability toward P be removed – applicable maxims: "one debt is not heard by another"; "one debt is not settled by another" & "person cannot pay attention to 2 matters at same time

- Former times: asylum was unknown = person affected by court order could escape punishment by fleeing to i.e. house of chief's mother / house of tribal wife
- Mendacity (telling lies) not punishable, but liar's evidence considered less reliable
- No oath taken by parties / their witnesses
- Perjury (willfully giving false evidence under oath) is unknown & not punishable
- Prescription of debt claim is unknown P is however compelled to submit claim w/o delay because: delay may make it more difficult for P to prove facts because witnesses move or die; P may lose action if he dies before action instituted if action already instituted & he dies, action passes to his successor; P may harm the other party through his delay i.e. he may be denied opp to examine facts in good time / NB witness may die / leave the area = if P waits too long to institute action court may refuse to hear the case because damage / facts can no longer be established with certainty (i.e. not because of prescription)
- If action is instituted it may be postponed indefinitely even years, until i.e. accused has returned to area, etc.

AFRICAN CUSTOMARY LAW & THE COURTS

Aggrieved party can choose whether to institute action in:

- Court of traditional leaders; OR
- Magistrate's court; OR
- Small claims court

<u>If instituted in court of traditional leader:</u> Right of appeal to local mag's court and from there to HC and from there to SCA

If instituted in small claims court: No right of appeal to mag's court

If African customary law which is in dispute is in conflict with BOR: matter must be referred to SCA or CC

African Customary Law & the MC

Constitution: Courts must apply customary law:

- When that law is applicable;
- Subject to the Const; and
- Subject to any law that specifically deals with customary law

"Creatures of statute" = can only exercise jurisdiction specifically conferred on them – i.e. they may not:

- Make custody awards (custody disputes must be heard in HC);
- Claims that exceeds amt of it's jurisd (such claims must be heard in HC)

Regulated by Law of Evidence Amendment Act = MC acts in 2 capacities:

- 1. Court of first instance
- 2. Court of appeal matter first instituted in court of traditional leader & is then taken to MC on appeal

If value of claim / subject of matter in dispute before traditional leader is less than R10, it cannot lie for appeal unless mag has, after summary inquiry, certified that an NB principle of law is involved –

R10 is ridiculously low – however – limiting any amt is out of sync with nature & purpose of courts of traditional leaders – their aim is to reconcile conflicting parties & to settle disputes – value of claim is not the predominant factor

If there's an appeal against decision of traditional leader, MC can confirm, alter / set aside judgment + this will be deemed to be a decision of a MC for all purposes

MC has no power to review *decision* of court of traditional leader which has been appealed against, but it has the power to review an *administrative* act of a traditional leader

Traditional leader must furnish his reasons for judgment in person or by deputy – his reason becomes part of record – if he fails to furnish reasons, mag may order him to do so and may even dispense with such reasons

Zwane: on appeal, court considers:

- Specific claim in lower court;
- Judgment based on that claim;
- Traditional leader's reason for judgment; and
- Evidence recorded by court of appeal upon which a fresh judgment must be given

Court cannot change cause of action, but supplies recording of evidence that's lacking in lower court

- If case instituted in MC as court of first instance mag can choose whether to apply CL or Afric Cust Law
- If MC hears an appeal from court of traditional leader mag must apply Afric Cust Law (this is because court of traditional leader can only apply Afric Cust Law) & this rule applies regardless of whether the legal fact is found in CL or Afric Cust Law

As court of appeal in criminal matters:

- Any person convicted by traditional leader may appeal to MC in whose are trial took place
- In hearing appeal, mag must hear & record available evidence that's relevant & may thereupon confirm / set aside / vary conviction & sentence / give such judgment as he thinks traditional leader should have given in the first instance

Procedure on appeal:

Appellant must, within 30d from date of pronouncement of judgment, in person, give notice of appeal to:

- Traditional leader who delivered judgment;
- Respondent / complainant; and
- Clerk of MC

Appeal conducted & tried as if it were a criminal trial – except that appellant not called upon to plead to charge In giving judgment, court does not convict / aquit appellant – but rather, court confirms / invalidates or varies conviction & sentence, as it thinks just

If appellant fails to appear, court can postpone hearing or dismiss appeal

<u>Concurrent jurisdiction</u> = jurisd of MC as court of first instance and jurisd of court of traditional leader sometimes overlap re a person / cause of action / area

It has been held that while proceedings are under way in one court – same action should not be instituted in another court – however, there is no RULE which actually prohibits this & as such, there's not certainty on whether principles such as: an objection that same case is pending in another court and/or a matter has already been decided and is closed may be applied in these circumstances

2 undesirable consequences of concurrent jurisdiction =

1. Party can choose his own settlement forum: P can use a court which will provide him with most effective remedy, regardless of what prejudice it may cause D

2. Possible that action instituted in wrong court may have to be transferred to correct forum = loss of time & money (most legal systems leave it to clerk of court to decide in which court of law the action should be instituted

African Customary Law & the Small-claims Court:

Any court may take judicial notice of customary law = SCC entitled to adjudicate in disputes arising from customary law

Restricted to hearing small claims not exceeding amt determined by Minister in GG (currently R3K) Matters that are specifically excluded:

- Dissolution of African Customary Law marriages
- Actions for damages for seduction; and
- Breach of promise to marry

(All above must be heard in MC = disadvantages of higher costs & more formalities)

Presiding officers = advocates, attorneys / magistrates who act as commissioBrners

They are not require to speak Bantu or be proficient in African Customary Law – Sometimes argued that this may constitute a gross irregularity, warranting review by SCA, however – type of person for whom courts designed is unlikely to have time / education / initiative to challenge court's decision

No legal rep permitted (except in the case of minors / persons lacking *locus standi*); general rules of evidence do not apply & questioning of witnesses may be on inquisitorial (court takes active part in investigation) basis

THE COURTS OF TRADITIONAL LEADERS

Traditional leaders are empowered to adjudicate civil & criminal cases granted to them by the Minister – if they impose a criminal penalty w/o having the authority to do so they fall foul of criminal prescription of CL & can be held liable for any consequences which arise as a result

Constitutionality:

Unfair discrimination on non-blacks? NO

Only blacks have access to courts of traditional leaders = general practice to limit institutions of particular culture to members of that cultural group

- Brown case: Australian HC found an Act which discriminated on racial grounds valid because it was a "special measure" to protect the cultural group concerned
 - Reservation of special courts for use by blacks is a reasonable & justifiable limitation on law against discrimination
 - African customary courts can be seen as a special measure because they function for benefit of blacks who don't have access to MC's / SCA due to financial & educational reasons and most NB, provide blacks with a forum that's in harmony with their cultural expectations

Unfair discrimination on blacks? NO

Blacks have a choice as to which court to institute their action = ONLY if they were COMPELLED to institute their cases in this court in the first instance would it constitute unfair discrimination

African customary courts Other courts

Can only apply African customary law

No legal representation allowed

Can apply African customary law AND CL

Legal representation allowed

Above differences are in agmt with a particular cultural orientation & traditional method of admin of justice is very similar to that of other courts

CTION
Criminal matters
Minister can empower traditional leaders (or their deputies to try criminal offences Minister can revoke this power at any time
y can hear:
Competent to hear: • Crimes in acc with CL;
 Crimes in acc with African customary law; and Statutory crimes to extent prescribed by Minister
Crime must be committed btw blacks in area under trad leaders control (extent of power is connected to residence, NOT group membership) (he may only punish a non-black for contempt of his court)
Third Schedule of Black Administration Amendment Act contains 35 offences which are excluded from traditional leader's jurisdiction
cannot hear:
 Problems emanating from Third Schedule = Civil & criminal matters are heard in 1 suit – i.e.: Traditional leader not empowered to hear any matter rearson (ITO CL & African cust law arson is merely a form of malicious damage to property), but may hear dispute redamage to property; OR Traditional leader not empowered to hear any matter refraud, but he may hear matter retheft; OR Traditional leader not empowered to hear matter rekidnapping, but he may hear one rechild stealing Unlikely that traditional leaders make such fine distinctions on technical grounds & for example it may happen that traditional leader decides on both delictual and criminal element of a case, although he has no power to do so rethe criminal element Punishment that trad leader may not impose: Death / mutilation / grievous bodily harm / prison

Additional way of claiming unpaid fines:

< 30yrs (note: this conflicts fundamental rights)

If trad leader can't recover fine he can arrest person & in 48hrs bring him before MC to order pmt of fine – if person fails to comply immediately, mag may sentence him to prison for < 3 m & must issue a warrant for person's detention – action = inquiry (NOT retrial) as to whether trad leader empowered to try offence & impose fine & why fine or part of it wasn't paid

Right of appeal:

Right of appeal against sentence imposed by traditional leader to local MC (court of appeal must certify issue involves NB principle of law if claim is less than R10) – execution of sentence is postponed until appeal decided upon

Accused may appeal sentence to MC which has jurisd in area trial took place: MC can:

- Uphold appeal; or
- Set aside conviction / sentence; or
- Alter conviction

If MC confirms / alters conviction: mag can either confirm sentence / order its immediate satisfaction / set aside sentence & impose other sentence

If person immediately fails to comply with mag's sentence – mag may:

Impose prison for < than 3m; or

Set aside sentence & in lieu thereof, impose prison for < 3m w/o option of fine

When entertaining appeal, court may hear new evidence = more correct to describe hearing as a retrial instead of an appeal — Rules of Court provides there must be written record of proceedings (which must be delivered to local mag in 2m of date of judgment, otherwise judgment lapses), but this doesn't include a record of evidence heard

Appeal court may nullify trad leader's judgment if African customary-law wrongly applied to claim arising out of CL – BUT – it may not alter cause of action at will (i.e. it must continue to apply African cust-law on appeal)

African cust law of procedure & rules of evidence apply in both civil & criminal matters

AFRICAN CUST-LAW OF EVIDENCE

Nature:

- Based on customs; reasonableness & effectiveness
- Court's interested in merits of the case technical grounds for judgment are unknown

Characteristics:

- 1. Inquisitorial procedure: court plays active part in examining parties & their witnesses to determine "truth"
- 2. Free system of evidence: no evidence is excluded -it's ALL admissible & judged on its merits by the court

Burden of proof	Evidential burden
Based on principle that party loses case if court doesn't	Based on principle that party must prove its claims in court
have enough grounds to make a finding on an issue of fact	
Neither party, nor both parties together, have burden of	Civil: not required to prove issue of fact conclusively – court
proving their case – court acquires proof by playing an	plays active part in examining parties & in can judge rendering of
active part in process of questioning so it will be able to	facts itself – claim is 1st argued by respective family groups &
give judgment	only after no agmt reached - matter referred to headman's court
No scientific reasoning – court decides, on merits of	& then parties should know if case is founded / not
evidence, if facts rendered are true – if it's difficult to	Criminal: no prosecutor submits evidence on behalf of court –
decide, court may use extrajudicial means of proof – i.e.	court plays active part in questioning & calling witnesses to give
referring parties to trad leader	evidence

Measure of proof:

Primary aim = to determine "truth" & reconcile parties, court & community (NOT to prove who is right / wrong)

No case can end in "absolution from the instance" (dismissal of claim because court couldn't decide in favour of a party on evidence submitted by it) –

- measure of balance of probabilities does not apply
- principle that case against accused must be proved beyond reasonable doubt is unknown
- disallowance of P's case before D is heard is unknown
- specific test, such as "reasonable man" unknown = facts & evidence are evaluated within local cultural context

Court plays active part in process of questioning & evaluating evidential material; and Parties concerned, their witnesses AND any person present in court may submit evidence during trial — thereafter judgment is given after court tested & weighed validity of evidence through process of questioning

Evidential material & means:

Facts in dispute are proved by evidence & questioning = other evidential means include admissions, judicial notice & presumptions

Evidence:

Oral statement made in court by party / witness; voluntarily / in answer to a Q = most NB form of evidential material

Types of evidence:

• Direct:

Best type of evidence - evidence of person who has seen or heard something directly (i.e. eyewitness) – not enough proof on its own & always considered together with other evidence

Maquta: D took P's blanket when he court P stealing stuff from his (D's) garden – held that custom of taking article belonging to wrongdoer from scene of crime was not confined to adultery cases only – it could be

• Circumstantial:

Supplements other evidence & evidential material – used as supporting evidence when direct evidence is seldom at hand

Appeal court – in adultery cases, court would receive evidence of a "catch" – court modified rule re "catch": proof of a catch which has no connection with alleged act of intercourse merely shows intimacy btw wife & alleged adulterer & may be accepted as evidence in support of wife's testimony

• Hearsay:

Admissible & considered together with other evidence & can serve as guideline for questioning – however, a case relying mainly on hearsay has little chance of success

• Concrete evidential material:

taken by force from wrongdoer

Strong evidential value - i.e. piece of clothing / injuries on the back: person must explain to court how his property came to be in possession of another / how he was injured / wounded = often decisive evidence when used together with other evidence

Evidence in previous cases:

Not decisive because each case decided on its own merits

• Expert evidence:

In a case re twins in Eastern Cape, a precedent was made re extent to which Xhosas use opinion evidence = a person has to be an "old sage of repute" before he can be regarded as an expert: opinion evidence re degree of physical resemblance of chilled to alleged father was admitted

Court may itself, via questioning & inspection, produce evidence

Members of court council can actively take part in questioning to evaluate creditability of persons involved Member of court council does not have to withdraw from process just because he was an eyewitness Anyone present in court may submit further evidence / query evidence / question parties & their witnesses – after witness given evidence & been questioned, he may be called again at any stage in process to give further explanations on grounds of new evidence –

Open system of questioning = parties to civil case may conduct their own questioning of other parties & their witnesses – persons' refusal to answer Q leads to conclusion that he's hiding something from the court

Admissions:

Civil:

At stage when case is taken to court of headman, there's already reasonable clarity re facts in dispute & unnecessary for court to ask parties to admit certain facts

Criminal:

Case investigated by accuser's local headman & councilors - if accused:

- Admits to all facts = punished w/o further hearing;
- Admits certain facts, but denies others = facts admitted are accepted as proven

If facts against a party are admitted in course of case – judgment may be given Admissions made by party outside court may be used as evidence in court

Judicial notice:

Court takes notice of known facts w/o proof being submitted – i.e.

- · Personal particulars of parties;
- Matters known to members of court by virtue of their position in admin of traditional authority (i.e. where certain places are situated / boundaries of certain area);
- Cultural customs (i.e. person may not enter another person's hut in other person's absence);
- Animal behavior (i.e. cow will not reject calf in suckling stage)

Presumptions:

Assumptions made by court about fact that has not been proven directly by evidence – fact presumed is accepted by court as correct until it's rebutted – i.e.

- Children of married woman are children of her husband;
- Adult is mentally sound until there's evidence to contrary;
- Person doesn't voluntarily entrust pieces of personal clothing to a stranger;
- Person doesn't voluntarily

Extraordinary evidential material:

- In former times if facts of case were difficult to prove, court would send parties, accompanied by 2 witnesses to an *inyanga* (traditional healer). Today, tribal police are used for this purpose.
- *Inyanga* uses extrajudicial methods (i.e. throwing bones) to determined if accused is guilty & conveys his finding to messengers who then convey it court (*inyanga* himself does not appear in court to give evidence)
- Inyanga's finding is accepted as decisive evidence (no further evidence required) & court then gives judgment

Competence to give evidence / testify:

All persons except if insane / intoxicated (case usually postponed to first allow person to get sober) can testify – this includes:

- Child who can remember & relate an incidence / ID persons;
- Co-accused may testify for / against one another;
- Wife can testify for / against husband & husband can testify for / against wife court weighs evidence carefully (such evidence must usually be confirmed by other evidence)

Chiefs / headman / members of court council may NOT act as witnesses – however, they don't have to withdraw themselves from case merely because they know something about the case – they must convey their evidence to the court (Note: in former times – chief testified in pvt to chief councilor, who passed this info to the court (i.e. chief was not allowed to testify in public)

No case is decided by an individual – chief & headman, together with members of court council, decide case – this ensures that the outcome cannot be influenced by a certain individual's prior knowledge of the case

Giving evidence:

- Not given under oath = perjury (willfully giving false evidence under oath) is unknown
- No action taken against party for lying it merely harms party's case
- Given orally in presence of parties concerned & subject to questioning
- Court may also receive documentary evidence

Each party & all witnesses given full opportunity to testify at their discretion w/o interruption – court patiently listens to evidence & seldom reprimand's person to limit evidence strictly to case in hand – however, if a person states case in very long-winded manner, he will be asked to come to the point & if he doesn't – it can harm his case

Court determines evidence with due allowance for all facts of matter & motives of witnesses – if it appears person is wasting court's time – he can be fined

Witnesses:

- Parties to action are responsible for witnesses to be present on day of trial
- If witness can't attend court may, on request, postpone trial once
- Proceedings can continue w/o witness until it appears particular witness is necessary & then case is postponed
- Court itself may call upon anyone to testify if of opinion person has some info if person can't attend, he must give reasons before hand & case will be postponed if reasons are acceptable
- Names of P's witnesses are given to headman when case is reported D is then notified in writing of case against him & date of trial & asked to bring along his witnesses on such date

SENTENCE / JUDGMENT OF AFRICAN CUSTOMARY COURT

Court not bound to previous judgment on comparable cases - each case is judged on its own merits

Criminal cases: judgment = punishing the accused (reprimand / warning / fine / attachment of property)

Civil cases: judgment = rejecting / accepting P's claim: if accepted – D usually asked to compensate for P's damage) – factors taken into acc when determining amt of compensation:

- Damage was done intentionally: set for a higher amt
- P's claim unfounded / attitude is inflexible & P is warned to stop wasting court's time with trivialities
- Status / economic situation / circumstances of parties when offence took place (i.e. affluent person / person of royal descent expected to pay more because such people are expected to set an example to the community)
- Certain offences (i.e. impregnating unmarried woman / adultery) fixed amt (court may amend this is special cases)

Northern Ndebele differentiate btw a fine and compensation:

- "Fine": punitive element (punishment)
- "Compensation": civil element

Judgment re case with both criminal & civil element will therefore also comprise an element of punishment & one of compensation

"Court levy" / "Court costs":

- Additional goods / money, other than damages, ordered by the court to be delivered
- "Levy": former times no money was used however, today: court levy takes form of money which must be paid into tribal fund usually due by party against whom judgment given
- Mangangahlaa:
 - "Levy" of Sotho-speaking = to tighten the jaw / move the jaw a lot = compensation to court for time spent on case & to close court proceedings
 - Plays a role in reconciliation of parties: in former times, goat / head of cattle given if case took long time: animal slaughtered for members of court & eaten in meal shared by them & litigants = any trace of disagreement that still existed among litigant was removed in visible & concrete manner
 - o Ordered to compensate for malicious damage caused
 - Ordered if a party unreasonably refuses to come to an accord with another family group during negotiations
 - o Criminal cases: imposed for food served to members of council & accused

EXECUTION OF SENTENCE / JUDGMENT OF AFRICAN CUSTOMARY COURT

In the past, sentences in form of corporal punishment / banishment were enforced directly after court session – today: may be enforced only if no notice of appeal received 30d after registration of judgment with local MC

Comp / fine must be paid asap after judgment – goats / goods / money must be taken to court where judgment given. Successful party notified that it may be fetched & sometimes gives part of it to court to use for serving food to its members

If a person refuses / neglects to pay in reasonable time – court orders person's property to be confiscated - no more goods may be seized than what is laid down in judgment. Former times: force could be used to confiscate. Xhosa had a special messenger (umsila) for this function. Fine / compensation usually increased summarily & can be regarded as fine for contempt of court – Northern Sotho used this for maintenance of

messengers & as such, it can also be regarded as execution costs. Interference with messenger in execution of his duty is considered a crime. If property to be confiscated is outside are of jurisdiction of an African customary court, application must be made to clerk of MC for execution of judgment

Judgment debtor can arrange with court to pay judgment goods in installments

(See above re "Additional way of claiming unpaid fines")

AFRICAN CUSTOMARY CRIMINAL LAW

Certain offences that are harmful to community & have consequences that are disapproved by community may be decided by the courts only – persons involved do not have choice whether to settle matter themselves / institute action in court

Elements of a crime:

- There must be a human act (act / omission)
- The act must be unlawful
- It must be possible to blame the act on the perpetrator
- Community must be of opinion that act should be punished

	Crime	Delict
Parties harmed	Community	Individuals / agnatic groups
Property affected	Public property	Property of individual / agnatic group
Procedure involved	Procedure involved Matter first tried in court Mediation btw parties required before legal may be instituted	
Punishment / compensation	Offender is punished	Damages (in the form of money) payable to party harmed

Certain acts constitute **crime & delict** – no separate actions – matter as a whole is settled in court: in the same process **punishment is given & compensation is granted** to aggrieved party: NB to determine in each case how act in question is viewed by particular community

Infringement of communal interests sometimes takes the form of defilement (pollution) of community – i.e. assault & homicide; abortion generates a ritual heat that keeps away the rain

Incest & contempt of court = defiling = punishment is imposed and a meal of lustration (purification) & conciliation is ordered as well – cattle paid as fine are slaughtered at court & all present & members of court council & persons involved in act of defilement must join in the mal – in this way, offenders visibly reconciled with community

Prescription (if person waits too long before instituting action - action lapses in course of time) of a crime is unknown

THE ACT AS AN ELEMENT OF A CRIME

Must be a conscious human act (unconscious & involuntary acts cannot constitute a crime) Act must cause harm

Acts of animals cannot constitute a crime

Can also involve an omission – however, it's not a person's duty to prevent a crime

CAUSE & EFFECT: THE PROBLEM OF CAUSALITY

Criterion used = experience of the community -

People know from general experience that a certain act usually causes that particular effect Every act that constitutes an indispensable condition for a particular state of affairs is considered a cause

Poisoning:

Poison itself is not summarily taken to be cause of death; even if does is a lethal one – reason: there's always possibility that poison will not be effective & most people usually try to prevent such misfortune befalling them – poison will be taken as cause of death only after other possible causes have been eliminated

Omission may bring about a particular effect

There can be more than 1 person involved in a crime:

Co-perpetrator	Accomplice	Accessory after the fact
Participating in a crime - Includes	Intentionally helps others commit a	Intentionally helps criminal
persuading / ordering / bribing	crime	evade liability aka "hiding a
another person to commit a crime		robber" / "hiding stolen goods"
Punishable – Does not matter	Punishable because he intentionally	Commits and independent
whether perpetrators are equally	does something to promote	crime
involved / not	commission of a crime	
Requirement = conscious		
collaboration in the commission of a		
crime – person collaborating must be		
aware that he is committing a crime		

Head of agnatic group is always liable for conduct of members of his group = principle of group rights & duties: group member himself incurs liability & is punished; if there's a fine, it must be paid by group, represented by its head

UNLAWFULNESS

Act is considered unlawful only if it's in conflict with (i.e. harmful to) the interests of community

"Grounds of justification" = circumstances under which what looks like an unlawful act is still considered lawful:

Defence:

Person may forcibly defend himself / his property / other persons / their property against unlawful attack Only as much force as is necessary to ward off assault / abduction / robbery may be used Attack must already have begun / threatening to begin

Necessity:

To protect yourself / another person / your own / another person's property from danger

Self-help:

Thief / rapist / abductor / adulterer caught in the act may be assaulted / killed = unlawfulness is excluded based on self-help in order to obtain satisfaction (however, vengeance does not exclude unlawfulness – assault after the offence is not allowed)

Executing orders:

Executing an official order by traditional ruler / his authorized agent (i.e. seizing property of a judgment debtor)

Impossibility to execute an order

Consent:

If agnatic group consented to certain act which causes someone injury / harm

Institutional action (i.e. action acc to recognized cultural institution)

Discipline:

Adults have wide disciplinary powers – i.e. any adult who catches a child committing an offence can chastise (discipline / punish by reprimanding / beating) child, no matter what their relationship / wrongs done by child; an initiation master also has official power to chastise initiates during initiation ceremonies

GUILT

Unlawful act must be accompanied by guilt + not merely an accident - 2 forms of guilt:

Intent	Negligence
Consciously doing something you know is wrong	Not acting like an ordinary man / woman
i.e. contempt of court / rape / assault / murder	i.e. official discipline not adhered to / culpable homicide

No strict distinction btw intent & negligence in African cust-law = rather – **relation btw cause & effect** is considered:

- Did the act cause that particular effect?
- Did the perpetrator plan the act?

No fixed age at which kids be held criminally liable –

Former times: whether boy as just herding goats or whether he was already herding cattle; person who'd not yet undergone initiation ceremonies was not considered mature & therefore not criminally liable

Person's not criminally liable for unlawful conduct =

- Small child / insane person (they don't have mental ability to judge their actions)
- Intoxication / other drugged condition does NOT exclude criminal liability; nor is it a mitigating factor if accused himself is responsible for his condition (i.e. if person intoxicated / in drugged condition & made to commit a crime his intoxicated / drugged state and fact that he was made to commit crime are considered mitigating factors)
- Supernatural causes (i.e. sorcery) does NOT exclude criminal liability, but, believing in sorcery & fear that sorcery performed by victim may endanger person / his relations / community may be regarded as mitigating factor

PUNISHMENT

Former times – foll punishment was known (to increase the punishment, sometimes these were combined):

- Death penalty;
- Banishment;
- Confiscation of property;
- Removal of offender to appointed area within communal territory;
- Fines (in the form of stock);
- Corporal punishment;
- Compulsory labour; and
- Warnings

Form of punishment was determined by considering:

- Mitigating circumstances: Insignificance of offence / youth / provocation / diminished liability
- **Aggravating** circumstances: Seriousness of crime / use of force in perpetration of crime / perpetration of crime within victim's dwelling / premises; repeated perpetration of crimes
- Intentional unlawful acts were punished more severely than negligent acts

Today:

African cust court may still impose punishment, except death / mutilation / bodily harm (incl. corporal punishment) & max fine that may be imposed is now limited & it may not sentence a person to imprisonment (i.e. former times a common method of executing judgment was to detain convicted person until imposed fine paid by relatives – today = not allowed because it boils down to imprisonment until fine paid has been paid)

SPECIFIC CRIMES

CONTEMPT OF THE RULER

Act that intentionally rejects / disregards / opposes / disputes authority of the ruler (rejection of authority of traditional leader / national assembly / headman / messenger is also regarded as contempt)

Examples of acts punished as contempt of the ruler:

- Explicitly rejecting ruler's authority;
- Unlawfully calling & holding tribal meetings;
- Usurp (taking over / assuming) headmanship;
- Conspiring to usurp ruler's position;
- Encouraging persons to divide traditional authority & establish independent traditional authority;
- Encouraging subjects to leave tribal are & join another ruler;
- Rejecting authority of headman; and
- Adultery with "tribal wife"

Requires intent (i.e. stranger visiting ruler's are doesn't have allegiance with ruler & cannot commit this crime)

Former times: punishable in foll ways:

- Banishment;
- Death penalty together with confiscation of property;
- Fine;
- Corporal punishment

Today: because of const – fine is only valid form of punishment

ASSAULT

Unlawfully & intentionally hurting another person's body – associated with **blood & bodily injury**: based on belief that human blood belongs to the ruler – to injure someone until the blood flows also has a public, defiling (polluting) effect on community – this defilement is then compensated for by means of reconciliatory meal where assailant & victim & members of court council are present; assailant must provide animal that's slaughtered

- Can be indirect (i.e. instigating a dog to bite someone)
- Intention & unlawfulness are required
- Grounds of justification = defence / executing official order or discipline / participation in recognized stick fights or initiation ceremonies
- There must be a complainant

Delictual element:

If victim & assailant can settle matter btw themselves there's no punishment (esp if less serious crime) = interests of community are satisfied because relations btw those concerned are restored & harmony then exists in community

Element of compensation:

<u>Former times</u>: African cust court gave part of judgment goods to complainant as satisfaction & ordered retaliation (revenge) – injured could injure assailant in a similar way = intention was both punishment & satisfaction

Today: assailant ordered by African cust court to pay victims medical costs that were caused directly by assault

Punishment decided on by African cust court (in former times generally a fine / corporal punishment or combination of the two)

- Mitigating circumstances: provocation
- Aggravating circumstances: serious injury & use of dangerous weapon

RAPE

Man uses violence to force woman to have sex with him w/o being married to him

- Only a man can commit rape
- Use of violence is a requirement:
 - Woman has to offer resistance, unless threatened
 - Northern Sotho: if proven woman thrown on ground / constrained & clothes torn off while she was screaming / offering resistance in another way = sufficient grounds for attacker to be found guilty of rape as long as woman reported matter to head of family immediately
 - O Tswana / Ndebele: if there's no penetration, it's sometimes regarded as assault and not rape
 - Note: Sex with not sexually mature girl is punishable as rape, even if there's no violence
- Mere fact that woman did not consent doesn't mean rape was committed there had to be violence as well: based on principle that individual cannot consent to harming rights of the group. Likewise, if woman does consent, it cannot be used as defence

Regarded unlawful & intentional harm to woman's body & honour and harms honour of agnatic group Former times: punished with death penalty / fines / corporal punishment; or combination

If person caught rapist in act with his wife / daughter / sister: he could give him sever thrashing and sometimes even kill him w/o being punished = this was regarded as lawful means of self-help that excluded unlawfulness & a way of protecting agnatic group's guardianship & compensation for infringement of agnatic group's guardianship over victim (i.e. satisfaction)

<u>Today</u>: rape may not be tried by African cust-court as a crime, but only as a delict

Note: above distinction is not understood by people concerned because according to African customary procedure – criminal & delictual liability resulting from a single act must be tried in one court

TRADITIONAL CONSTITUTIONAL & ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

THE TRADITIONAL STATE IN SA =

People in a cultural context who comprise of an autonomous (independent) jural community (political unit with its own juristic life)

CRITERIA which determines a jural community =

Own territory:

- Clear topographical (i.e. natural & artificial features of an area i.e. river / mountain / valley)
 boundareis btw territories of nieghbouring states
- o Boundaries of the constituent subordinate jural communities within comprehensive jural community not clearly defined
- Organ of authority within each subordinate jural community exercises control over its own territory & is subject to higher authority of comprehensive jural community

Own household:

- "Household" = interaction btw members of jural community, incl. everyday contacts
- Membership may be acquired / lost in various ways

Own public law authority:

- Organs of authority exercise authority within community & represent it against outside jural communities
- Head of jural community = most NB organ of authority

FACTORS that play a role in establishment & structure of jural community =

Genealogical:

 Developed out of an original founding group which formed core of jural community & in which ruling family established

Religious:

Belief in ancestor spirits = NB in sanctioning authority of organs of government of jural communities –
 ruling family was regarded as descendants of original rulers

Territorial factors

Tribe Chief Chief Comprehensive jural community Comprehensive jural community Ward Wardhead Wardhead Family groups A, B & C Family groups D, E & F Family groups G H & I

Unspecialised legal system:

- Legislative, executive & jural functions exercised by head of comprehensive jural community
- Lower organs of auth only exercised executive & jural functions

CITIZENSHIP

Acquired through: Birth / Conquest / Voluntary subjugation

Terminated: Voluntarily / Banishment

(Note: membership did not end at death because ancestors form part of community)

	Citizens rights		Citizens duties	
•	Allotment of land for residential & agricultural purposes	•	Citizen allegiance = pay tribute (give compulsory	
•	Keep unlimited numbers of livestock that could graze on		occasional gift to ruler on special occasions / under special	
	communal land		circumstances)	
•	Rely on ruler for emergency relieve	•	Perform public service	
•	Make representations to the ruler on any matter	•	Obtain permission from authorities to leave the area	
•	Protection under the law	•	Men: military service & attend meetings of public interest	

Characteristics of traditional system of government =

- General participation of adult males in public matters
- Participatory & consensual democracy: decisions decided on basis of consensus
- Monarchial system with elements of democratic system

THE RULER (Traditional Leader)

- Not a dictator = derived position & authority from consensus of the people
- Patrilineal succession / succession in male line generally applied
- Spiritual & physical ability; character & capability taken into acc when selecting successor after ruler's death
- Not specifically trained (sometimes temp assumed functions of government during predecessor's lifetime)
- Successor sometimes had to be of particular descent (i.e. eldest son of ruler's main wife) & were customs re choice & descent of main wife
- Official / public capacity: only an organ of state power to manage property exclusively of public-law nature & limited to state / public property however, he could not do as he liked with this property
- If he exceeded powers actions invalid & enforceable & if persisted in illegal actions subjects could refuse to obey him / depart from the area / revolted against him resulting in civil war / his assassination

Fulfillment of functions =

If he neglects his duty – loses his authority & prestige

Note: Apart from judicial duties, all other functions of traditional community leaders have vanished due to influence of missionaries and provincial & local government taking over many functions formerly fulfilled by traditional leaders

THE COUNCILS:

System of authority-in-councils: ruler was assisted in his governmental functions by councils who acted as his advisors = ruler not bound by advice — ruler only had to *consult* council

Council	Members	Meetings	Functions
Private council	Membership not always hereditary	Met informally &	Advise on legislative & NB
	& comprised:	sometimes in secret	administrative measures;
	Ruler's kinsmen (sometimes		Informed ruler re daily occurrences
	confidents)		Control / criticize ruler's actions
	Men of particular capability		
	Influential headman		
General /	Members of private council;		Advise on weighty measures &
representative	Heads of constituent jural		legislation
council communities			Control actions of ruler & criticize him
	Kinsmen / capable / influential		
	members nominated by ruler		
Court council	Members of general council		Assessors - Judgment of members
	Those with knowledge of law		helped ruler come to decision re cases
			before the court
People's	Men only	Convened by ruler	Matters affecting subjects – i.e.:
assembly	Head of families;	Attendance sometimes	Altering boundaries of sate / settlement
	Initiated men;	compulsory (i.e. when	of dispute with neighbouring state / war
	Male regiments;	NB legislation	Among some groups: could criticse
	Adult males	discussed)	ruler

Note:

- Ruler was head of each council
- Decisions at meetings not taken by vote but revolved around general principal of consensus
- Council's advice was an NB measure of control over ruler's actions

TRADITIONAL AUTHORITIES IN SA

Recognition before 1951

Pre-colonial phase:

Subordinate to colonial authorities = traditional ruler's authority was diminished – no longer had any legislative power & executive and judicial powers limited

Traditional government under colonial influence: Government intervention - indirect rule

1927 Black Administration Act:

Indirect rule implemented nationally – transformed traditional authorities & courts into government institutions subject to statutory rules including legislative superstructure

- <u>State President</u> = Supreme chief of all Bantu-speaking groups & had same powers as a chief under African cust-law legislative powers exercised by special proclamation
- Special officials = Administration of African-speaking communities & control over chiefs
- <u>Special commissioners' courts</u> = Acted alongside African customary court of chief traditional leader's jurisdiction in civil & criminal matters limited & subject to appeal & revision by special commissioners' courts
- <u>Traditional leader = Appointed</u> by State President (no longer held position because of birth in male line alone)

Note: Traditional ward governmental structures not officially recognized

Functions of traditional leaders are contained in Proclamation of 1957:

- Furthering interests of tribe;
- o Furthering material, moral & social well-being of the people;
- Developing & improving territory;
- Simulating participation of the people in admin of tribe;
- Maintain law & order in territory;
- Execute powers, functions & duties in co-operation with traditional authority;
- Futhering the exercise of all acts &I regulations in his area re: public health / tax / reg of births & deaths / preventing animal diseases / land use & administration; and
- o Bring all new acts & regulations to attention of tribe

Recognition after 1951:

Granted measure of recognition by Black Authorities Act of 1951 which provided for:

- Self government on local, regional & territorial level
- Adaptation of traditional authorities to demands of modern administration
- One advisory council only traditional councils not recognised
- Powers, activities & duties of traditional authority included:
- Managing matters of the tribe;
- Assisting & guiding chief in exercising his duties;
- Exercising powers & performing activities & duties in accordance with State President's judgment & within scope of traditional tribal management / power granted by him

Observe traditional laws & customs

ITO Proclamation of 1994: administration of traditional authorities lies with the provinces

(See pg 208 of SG for diagram re gen structure of traditional authorities)

Traditional Leadership & Governance Framework Act:

- National framework, norms & standards to define place & role of traditional leadership within new system of democratic governance
- Seeks to transform institution of traditional leadership in line with const imperatives
- Seeks to restore the integrity & legitimacy of institution of traditional leadership in line with customary laws & practices

Provides for the following:

Traditional communities

- Subject to a system of traditional leadership ito that community's customs
- Observes system of cust-law
- Must transform & adapt cust-law & customs relevant to application of the Act so that it complies with principles in BOR & Const

Traditional councils

- Must be established by traditional community once Premier of a province recognizes a traditional community
- Must be established in line with principles set out in provincial legislation
- May not have more than 30 members
- At least 1/3 of members must be women
- Members must comprise:
 - Traditional leaders & members of traditional community selected by snr traditional leader; and
 - Other members democratically elected for a term of 5 yrs & who must constitute 40% of members

Functions:

- Administration of affairs of traditional community in acc with customs & tradition
- Assisting, supporting & guiding traditional leaders in perf their functions & supporting municipalities in ID of community needs
- Consulting with relevant local & provincial houses of traditional leaders so as to recommending appropriate interventions to Government that will contribute to development & service delivery within traditional council's area of jurisdiction
- Participating in development of policy & legislation at local level & programs of municipalities & provincial & national spheres of government
- Promoting indigenous knowledge systems for sustainable development & disaster management
- Functions conferred by customary law, customs & statutory law consistent with Const
- Must meet once a year with traditional community to give account of activities & finances of traditional council & levies received by it

Withdrawal of recognition of traditional communities

- Only the Premier of a province can withdraw recognition of a community as a traditional community; & he must do this in acc with provincial legislation
- May only be considered if:
 - o Community concerned requests Premier that its recognition as traditional community be withdrawn; or
 - o 2 or more communities request Premier that they merge into a single traditional community

Houses of Traditional Leaders

Constitution provides for:

- National house & provincial houses of traditional leaders
- Local houses of traditional leaders
 - May not comprise more than 10 members; or such higher number not exceeding 20 as may be determined by minister where there are more than 35 traditional councils within the area of jurisdiction of district / metropolitan municipality
 - Members are elected by an electoral college consisting of all Kings / Queens or their reps, and snr traditional leaders residing within the district municipality

Referral of bills to national house of traditional leaders

- Any parliamentary Bill re cust-law / customs of traditional communities must be referred by secretary of
 parliament of national house of traditional leaders for its comments before it is passed by house of
 parliament where it was introduced
- National house of traditional leaders must make any comments it wishes to make within 30d from date of such referral

TRADITIONAL LEADERS & THE CONSTITUTION

Constitution provides for traditional authorities and for the Institution, status & role of traditional leadership =

"Traditional leadership" is not defined in the Const – inferred that traditional leadership refers to a cultural institution (indigenous leadership) which has been handed down from generation to generation, including the principle of heredity and succession by men

Customary law should be understood as it is adapted by legislation & administration of justice: Traditional leadership is now regulated by:

Black Administration Act; Black Authorities Act; and Traditional Leadership & Governance Framework Act

Categories of traditional leaders:

Constitution & Provincial Houses of Traditional Leaders regard the foll as traditional leaders:

- Kings;
- Chiefs;
- Ward heads; and
- Other councilors

Traditional Leadership & Governance Framework Act recognises:

- Kingship;
- Snr traditional leadership; and
- Headmanship as leadership positions within institution of traditional leadership

Const position of traditional leaders: The inclusion of traditional leadership in a democratic constitutional dispensation leads to inconsistencies:

- Democracy implies periodic & popular elections =
 - Traditional leadership is inherited election never a criterion for assuming office of traditional ruler
 - Hereditary leadership further implies that traditional leader holds office for life in contrast to the fixed terms of office of elected leaders in a democratic system
 - However constitutional writers consciously accepted that traditional leadership would be an exception to democratic principle of free elections

Inconsistency is brought sharply to the fore when we look at new powers given to traditional leaders on provincial & national levels –

- Limited powers can make laws which must be considered by provincial & national legislature, BUT they cannot make laws by themselves
- They can insist on being consulted re matters of cust-law, but can do little more than delay legislative process if they don't agree with specific legislation
- Recognition of institution of traditional leadership conflicts non-discrimination clause of Const because it's mainly reserved for men only =
 - Clashes with equality clause:
 - It's discriminatory that chief's daughter cannot succeed if she's first-born;
 - Principle of primogeniture = firstborn must succeed, regardless of whether they are male / female: daughter belongs to patrilineage of her father, but her children belong to patrilineage of her husband = if a woman is allowed to succeed, her children cannot succeed as they are not members of the patrilineage
 - Female head cannot perform political rites in honor of ancestors these rituals can be performed by male members of male line of descent only

Implications that could follow if principle of patrilineal succession abolished:

If women were allowed to succeed acc to customary system of succession – they will have to support & maintain members of household & perform rituals during sickness & death: this would bring about fundamental changes to status of women & the "traditional" way of communal life

Arguments raised in favour of principle of patrilineal succession:

- 1. Must determine what is meant by "unreasonable" discrimination = i.e. does it mean "unreasonable" in an abstract sense or in a particular sense? Can a specific position be seen as being unreasonable if it's generally accepted & underwritten by a cultural tradition?
- 2. Const provides for continued existence of traditional authorities if principle of patrilineal success is abolished wit will mean this authority is no longer traditional
- 3. Political background that resulted in chapter on traditional authorities in the Const cannot be ignored if a decision is made on application & interpretation of this chapter (traditional leaders were persuaded to support the Const & new political dispensation on condition that traditional government will be protected)

SUCCESSION TO TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP

- Chieftainship is born = seniority principle is a primary consideration & usually decisive
- Successor is subject to thorough deliberation & consultation among snr members of ruling lineage
- Potential successor must be born to principal wife
- Deathbed wish of deceased traditional leader taken into account
- Adulthood is a prerequisite (since adulthood is attained by marriage, it's a requirement that heir to throne be married before he may succeed)

Situations that may arise on death of the ruler:

- There's a suitable successor & succession can take place w/o delay; or
- A person is appointed to act as a regent until a lawful successor is in a position to succeed this happens when:
- No successor has yet been born; or
- Successor is not suitable / competent to succeed

Black Administration Act (1927): State President:

- May recognize / appoint any person as traditional leader he's not bound to rules of cust-law of succession / expected to consider them / observe them when recognizing / appointing a particular person
- Acquired power to remove from office a traditional leader

At present – provinces are vested with power to recognize & appoint traditional leaders & provincial legislature not bound by local law of succession of particular tribe (in practice – tribes are usually permitted to nominate a person acc to tribal law for institution & recognition as chief)

Mosome case: although modern law occasionally provides for observation of African law of succession, authorities are not bound by it

Traditional Leadership & Governance Framework Act (2003) -

President must recognize a person as a King / Queen by way of:

- Notice in GG;
- Issuing certificate of recognition to identified person; and
- Informing relevant House of Traditional Leaders of recognition of snr traditional leader, headman / headwoman

If there's any evidence / allegations that identification of person as traditional leader was not done in acc with cust-law / customs / processes – President / Premier of particular province may:

- Refer matter to National House of Traditional Leaders (in the case of a King / Queen);
- · Refuse to issue certificate of recognition; and
- Refer matter back to royal family for reconsideration & resolution where certificate of recognition refused Provides for removal from office of snr traditional leaders / headmen / headwomen on foll grounds:
- Conviction of an offence with sentence of imprisonment for +12 yrs w/o option of a fine;
- Physical incapacity / mental infirmity which makes it impossible to function as snr traditional leader / headman / headwoman;
- Wrongful appointment / recognition; or
- Transgression of customary rule / principle that warrants removal
- If successor to position of King / Queen / Snr traditional leader / headman / headwoman is still regarded as a minor ito applicable cust-law / customs: Royal family must within a reasonable time:
 - ID a regent to assume leadership on behalf of minor; and inform Premier of province concerned of particulars of person ID'd as regent & reasons for ID of that person
 - Premier must recognize regent ID'd

Deputy Traditional Leaders are recognized ito the Act to act on behalf of traditional leaders in their absence & their appt / removal is regulated by provincial legislation (when such appt's are made, traditional leader must inform President of same)

Indigenous principles of succession to traditional leadership:

- > Hereditary system & position of traditional leader follows the patrilineage
- Successor = oldest son of ruler by tribal / main wife
- > Other wives of ruler occupy a particular position of rank which has significance esp where an acting traditional leader / regent is appointed
- > Sons of ruler by various wives retain rank of their mothers
- > The ruler to which younger full & half brothers may succeed varies among the different groups

Substitution (Replacement) of the husband by the institution of the levirate:

- If husband dies before he can marry / before he can marry the tribal wife children are raised on his behalf with a wife married after his death, usually to a relative i.e. his younger brother
- If successor dies w/o any male descendants with his principal wife: a son can be procreated with his principal wife and his younger brother / a close patrilineal relative of deceased or his father; or his younger brother will be new successor

If successor dies before his father (the traditional leader) – goes to oldest son of late successor on condition that royal family approves son's mother as principal wife

Substitution of wife by sororate institution:

- If principal wife is barren / bears only daughters supplementary wife is affiliated to principal house & bears successor on behalf of principal wife = ensures traditional leader has a successor & that this successor is born in principal house (once this supplementary wife bears a son as a successor, she does not have to stay with the husband's people she can even marry another man) among some people a daughter-in-law is married for childless wife / wife w/o a son, as a tribal wife for the generation of her fictitious son children were then raised with the daughter-in-law ito institution of the levirate
- If neither principal wife / supplementary wife bear a son traditional leadership goes to oldest son of late traditional leader's second wife (if supplementary wife is married she is always ranked directly after wife for whom she was married & all other woman shift one position lower)

Complementation of wife (a specific defect is supplemented w/o replacing the person having the defect – once defect is complemented, person standing in to make defect good is under no obligation towards husband's group)

Problems / causes of succession disputes:

Trad leader tries to divorce tribal wife w/o cooperation of ruling famly:

- Tribal wife is not actually wife of traditional leader = he cannot therefore divorce her at will
- Problem intensifies if trad leader is married to tribal wife in civil marriage & later on marries further wives ito cust-law = position of tribal wife disputed for this reason the argument has been advanced that tribal wife shouldn't be married by civil marriage

Trad leader marries a wife in civil marriage w/o cooperation of ruling family / against their will & wishes:

This wife is then the only wife & sometimes regarded as tribal wife, although ito cust-law she is not

Wife is appointed to act temporarily as trad leader:

- Tribal wife associates with "unacceptable males";
- Tribal wife refuses to cooperate with ruling family;
- Wife who is not tribal wife tries to usurp trad leadership for her son; or
- Tribal wife is opposed by deceased chief's brothers & half brothers

Tribal wife's pozi disputed by descendants of wife married before tribal wife was married

Temporary lack of a tribal wife: Wife who temporarily took over functions of tribal wife afterwards claims status of tribal wife

Biological paternity of successor

Tribal wife accused of witchcraft in order to exclude the rightful successor

Substitution: rightful successor's claim questioned at a later stage by man / woman who acted as substitute & who claim privileges of man/woman for whom they were substituted

Consequences of succession disputes:

Often results in split in ruling family at tribal level – it is thus NB that any succession dispute be resolved asap so as not to disrupt efficient administration & the administration of justice at tribal level (this requires cognizance & application of local law of succession)

Resolution of succession disputes & commission on trad leadership disputes & claims:

If a dispute re customary law / customs arises within a trad community / btw trad communities / other customary institutions - on matters re Trad Leadership & Governance Framework Act - members & trad leaders within trad community / customary institution concerned - must seek to resolve dispute internally & in acc with customs

If dispute cannot be resolved internally –

- Must be referred to relevant provincial house of trad leaders
- House must seek to resolve dispute in acc with internal rules & procedures

If house unable to resolve dispute –

- Must be referred to Premier of province concerned who must resolve dispute after consulting:
- Parties to dispute; and
- Provincial house of trad leaders concerned

Commission on Traditional Leadership Disputes & Claims -

- Commission established by Trad Leadership & Governance Framework Act
- May decide on any traditional leadership dispute & claims arising in any province
- May investigate several trad leadership issues, incl. those re succession to trad leadership
- Must consider & apply cust-law & customs of relevant trad community at time events occurred when considering dispute / claim
- When claim considered by commission re kingship / snr trad leadership / headmanship: commission must be guided by customary norms re establishment of kingship / snr trad leadership / headmanship

Female succession to traditional leadership is no longer an issue:

Traditional Leadership & Governance Framework Act = traditional community must transform & adapt customary law & customs to comply with BOR – in particular:

- Prevent unfair discrimination;
- Promote equality; and
- Seek to progressively advance gender representation in succession to traditional leadership positions Shilubana: CC held that traditional authorities may develop cust-law in acc with norms & values of Const

TRADITIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE ACT

Administrative determinations:

An act whereby:

- ✓ Legal relations are created / amended / terminated; or
- ✓ Creation / amendment / termination of legal relations are refused

General determinations	Particular determinations
Creates / amends / terminates general legal relations	Creates / amends / terminates particular legal
	relations
Valid for all subjects	Directed at a particular subject
Made known in public so that whole chiefdom can	Conveyed to the person by personal notification
take notice	
If successfully disputed & made invalid – whole of	If successfully disputed & made invalid –
determination falls away in relations to all the	determination falls away towards subjects who
subjects	opposed it only

If a person neglects a prohibition / command instituted in an administrative determination – he commits a **crime** – a crime can only be committed if administrative act is **legally valid**

Traditional administrative act can be tested against foll requirements to see if it's legally valid:

The author:

The ruler is organ of authority who acts as author of determination from which all duties of subjects flow A ruler's determination is valid only in the area of the trad authority & on residents who are in that territory - an instruction which addresses a person who lives outside of that territory cannot be enforced

The form of the act:

Announcement: valid only if it comes to attention of person to whom it relates

Content:

Must be clear & understandable – action required from subjects must be clearly stated & determination must be connected to the exercise of an administrative function

Correct procedures:

Ruler must consult relevant councils in circumstances where subject's rights have been infringed (at present, trad authority is consulted since it is the only council that's been statutorily recognized)

The purpose of the act:

Must be to further public interest

There is also a particular specific objective for every action (i.e. expropriation of land for general use by the tribe / attachment of property to use it as evidence in a criminal case)

Entails the authorized action as well as the execution of the act in order to attain the authorized purpose- if authorized action is directed towards an unauthorized purpose – the action is invalid

Consequences of the act:

Must be reasonable –

Consequences & effect of act must be possible;

Rights & freedom of subjects must not be exceedingly burdened;

No discrimination btw individuals / groups (except where law permits)

Moshesh: SCA held that ruler's order that subjects work for free in interest of community was seen as being reasonable – however – such an order may not make unreasonable distinction btw age regiments & wards / individual people

No compensation is payable re orders for removal – however:

Suitable land must be allotted elsewhere to people required to move;

They must be allowed to remove all building material;

If it's cultivated land –a reasonable amt of time must be allowed to elapse to gather harvest of the land

Control over trad leader's administrative actions:

Consultation process is a form of control which proceeds administrative action = exercised by means of advice by different councils – trad authority is empowered to do this

Mediation	Judicial control acc to	Control acc to CL	
	cust-law	Internal review	Judicial control
Aggrieved subject relates	Aggrieved subject raises	Aggrieved subject can	Aggrieved subject can directly
complaint to member of the	invalidity of act as a	request higher authority	apply to MG / SC to check
private council who consults	defence in a criminal suit	to review administrative	administrative action of ruler
ruler in private		actions of ruler	
If he finds ruler acted	(Cust-law doesn't allow a	Higher authorities =	Subject can apply for:
incorrectly – can reprimand	court action to a subject	Local mag;	Review of validity of
him & require him to offer his	to oppose an	Provincial minister	administrative act;
pardon to the subject (one /	administrative action of	entrusted with trad	Interdict- chief ordered to
more pieces of cattle can be	ruler in the tribal court	authorities; and	stop the act that infringes
delivered by way of	because ruler will then	State President	rights of applicant;
reconciliation)	act as judge & accused in		Mandmaus – chief compelled
	the same case)		to execute his power
Council can also act on its own	Subject uses this method	Higher authority can also	Act can be opposed indirectly
against wrongful act of ruler	to oppose administrative	review administrative	by raising invalidity of act as a
	determination of ruler	actions of trad ruler on its	defence in a criminal case
	indirectly	own accords	
If council & ruler cannot come		Higher authority	Court considers validity
to compromise – referred to		considers validity,	requirements of
trad authority (in the past was		desirability &	administrative action & NOT
referred to representative		effectiveness of act	its effectiveness
council & if it didn't succeed in		It can then confirm /	
reconciling ruler & subject –		disapprove / amend /	
referred to people's assembly		replace action / take new	
where it was dealt with		facts into account / apply	
publicly)		new considerations	
		If subject is dissatisfied	If subject wishes a deferment
		with higher authority's	(postponement) of ruler's
		decision – can oppose it	administrative act, he must
		in a court of law	specifically apply for a temp
		(reviewing authorities	interdict
		decision does not have	
		power of a court	
		decision)	
		Trad ruler cannot oppose	Trad leader is privately &
		decision in a court of law	criminally liable on account of
		because he & mag belong	his invalid administrative
		to same hierarchy of	action
		power & are not	
		independent parties in	
		such a case – trad ruler	
		can appeal to higher	
		official in hierarchy if	
		dissatisfied with decision	
		of review	

Judicial review acc to legislation:

Promotion of Administrative Justice Act provides – Anyone may institute proceedings in a court / tribunal for judicial review of an administrative action

"Administrative action" = action taken by:

- ✓ An organ of state, when exercising: Power ito Const / Provincial Const or Public power / function ito legislation
- ✓ A Natural / juristic person ito an empowering provision.

ITO an administrative action which adversely affects rights of any person & which has a direct, external legal effect

Trad leaders, headman & trad authorities make decisions of an administrative nature likely to affects rights of any person & a direct, external legal effect = Provisions of the Act also apply to administrative decisions of trad leaders, but not to their judicial functions