

# **Tutorial letter 103/2/2018**

## **Statistical Inference III STA3702**

**Semester 2**

**Department of Statistics**

**TRIAL EXAMINATION PAPER**

1. Trial Examination Paper
2. Trial Examination Paper Solutions

# 1 Trial Examination Paper

## INSTRUCTIONS

1. Answer all questions.
2. Show intermediate steps.

Abbreviations	
<i>MLE</i>	Maximum Likelihood Estimator
<i>MSE</i>	Mean Square Error
<i>pdf</i>	probability density function
<i>cdf</i>	cumulative distribution function
<i>MVUE</i>	Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator
<i>MME</i>	Method of Moments Estimator
<i>CRLB</i>	Cramer Rao Lower Bound
<i>pmf</i>	probability mass function
<i>mgf</i>	moment generating function
<i>re</i>	relative efficiency

### Question 1

[33]

A distribution belongs to the regular 1-parameter exponential family if among other regularity conditions its *pdf* or *pmf* has the form:

$$f(y|\theta) = a(\theta)g(y) \exp\{b(\theta)r(y)\}, \quad y \in \chi \subset (-\infty, \infty) \text{ and } \theta \in \Theta \subset (-\infty, \infty)$$

where  $g(y) > 0$ ,  $r(y)$  is a function of  $y$  which does not depend on  $\theta$ , and  $a(\theta) > 0$  and  $b(\theta) \neq 0$  are real valued functions of  $\theta$ . Furthermore, for this distribution (assuming the first and second derivatives of  $a(\theta)$  and  $b(\theta)$  exist)

$$E[r(Y)] = -\frac{a'(\theta)}{a(\theta)b'(\theta)}.$$

Suppose that  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  is a random sample from this distribution.

(a) Show that  $f(y|\theta)$  can also be expressed as:

$$f(y|\theta) = g(y) \exp\{b(\theta)r(y) - q(\theta)\}, \quad y \in \chi \subset (-\infty, \infty) \text{ and } \theta \in \Theta \subset (-\infty, \infty).$$

(2)

(b) Prove that  $\sum_{i=1}^n r(Y_i)$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

(5)

(c) Justify that  $\sum_{i=1}^n r(Y_i)$  is also a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

(1)

(d) Write down a function of the complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  that is a minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE) of  $E[r(Y)]$ . **Justify your answer.** (3)

(e) Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  is random sample from a distribution with *pdf* :

$$f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta}{(1+x)^{1+\theta}} & \text{if } x > 0 \text{ and } \theta > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(i) Show that  $f(x|\theta)$  belongs to the regular 1-parameter exponential family by showing that the *pdf* can be expressed as:

$$f(x|\theta) = a(\theta)g(x) \exp\{b(\theta)r(x)\}, \quad x > 0 \text{ and } \theta > 0.$$

(5)

(ii) What is the mean of the complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ ? **Justify your answer.** (6)

(iv) What is the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of  $\theta$ ? **Justify your answer.** (6)

(v) What is the method of moments estimator (MME) of  $\theta$ ? **Justify your answer.** (2)

(vi) What is the minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE) of  $\frac{1}{\theta}$ ? **Justify your answer.** (3)

## Question 2

[30]

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a distribution with *pdf* :

$$f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} & \text{if } 0 < x \leq \theta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta > 0$ . Let  $Y_n = \max\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ . The probability density function of  $Y_n$  is

$$g(y|\theta) = \begin{cases} ny^{n-1}\theta^{-n} & \text{if } 0 < y \leq \theta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose that the ten independent observations,

$$23, 23, 24, 23, 23, 23, 24, 22, 24, 25,$$

are from a population with probability density function  $f(x|\theta)$ .

(a) What **are** the method of moments **estimator** and **estimate** of  $\theta$ ? (4)

(b) What **are** the maximum likelihood **estimator** and **estimate** of  $\theta$ ? (8)

(c) Find the mean and the variance, in terms of  $\theta$  and  $n$ , of the maximum likelihood **estimator** of  $\theta$ . Is the estimator consistent? (10)

(d) What is an estimate of the standard error of the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$ ? (3)

(e) Find an approximate 95% confidence interval for  $\theta$ . (5)

**Question 3****[18]**

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the distribution with *pdf* :

$$f(x|\theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2^{\theta_1}} x^{\theta_1-1} & \text{if } 0 < x \leq \theta_2 \text{ and } \theta_1 > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**(a)** Find the likelihood function and the log-likelihood function of  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ . **(6)**

**(b)** Show that the respective maximum likelihood estimators (*MLE's*) of  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{n}{n \ln X_{(n)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i} \text{ and } \hat{\theta}_2 = X_{(n)} = \max\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\},$$

respectively. **Hint: First find the *MLE* of  $\theta_2$  and then that of  $\theta_1$ .** **(12)**

**Question 4****[19]**

A random variable  $X$  has probability density function

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{\exp\{x - \theta\}}{(1 + \exp\{x - \theta\})^2}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty \text{ and } -\infty < \theta < \infty,$$

and **cumulative** distribution function

$$F(x|\theta) = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \exp\{x - \theta\}}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty \text{ and } -\infty < \theta < \infty.$$

It is desired to test the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \theta = 0$  against the alternative  $H_1 : \theta = 1$  using one random observation  $X$ .

**(a)** Calculate the level of significance and the power of the test which rejects  $H_0$  if  $X > 1$ . **(12)**

**(b)** Consider the test which rejects  $H_0$  if  $X_1 \geq c$ . Find  $c$  for which the level of significance of the test is 0.1.

**(7)****TOTAL [100]**

## 2 Trial Examination Solutions

### Question 1

[Total marks=30]

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} f(y|\theta) &= a(\theta)g(y)\exp\{b(\theta)R(y)\} \\ &= g(y)\exp\{b(\theta)r(y) - \ln[a(\theta)]\} \\ &= g(y)\exp\{b(\theta)r(y) - q(\theta)\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $q(\theta) = -\ln[a(\theta)]$ .

(2)

(b) The likelihood function of  $\theta$  is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta|y) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i|\theta) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n g(y_i) \right) \exp \left\{ b(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n r(y_i) - nq(\theta) \right\} \\ &= m_1(y) \times m_2 \left( \theta, \sum_{i=1}^n r(y_i) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_1(y) = \prod_{i=1}^n g(y_i)$  and  $m_2 \left( \theta, \sum_{i=1}^n r(y_i) \right) = \exp \left\{ b(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n r(y_i) - nq(\theta) \right\}$ . This means  $\sum_{i=1}^n r(Y_i)$  is sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  by the factorisation theorem.

Now let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be another random sample from the same distribution. Then

$$\frac{L(\theta|y)}{L(\theta|x)} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{g(y_i)}{g(x_i)} \exp \left\{ b(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n (r(y_i) - r(x_i)) \right\}$$

is independent of  $\theta$  if  $\sum_{i=1}^n (r(y_i) - r(x_i)) = 0$  equivalently if  $\sum_{i=1}^n r(y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n r(x_i)$ . This means

that  $\sum_{i=1}^n r(Y_i)$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . (5)

(c) It is because  $f(y|\theta)$  belongs to the 1-parameter exponential family. (1)

(d)  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r(Y_i)$  because it is a function of the complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  and

$$E \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r(Y_i) \right] = E[r(Y)]. \quad (3)$$

(e) (i)

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|\theta) &= \frac{\theta}{(1+x)^{1+\theta}} \\ &= \theta(1+x)^{-1}(1+x)^{-\theta} \\ &= \theta(1+x)^{-1} \exp\{-\theta \ln(1+x)\} \\ &= a(\theta)g(x) \exp\{b(\theta)r(x)\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $a(\theta) = \theta$ ;  $g(x) = (1+x)^{-1}$ ;  $b(\theta) = \theta$ ; and  $r(x) = -\ln(1+x)$ . **(5)**

(ii) The complete sufficient statistic is  $\sum_{i=1}^n r(X_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+X_i)$  since  $f(x|\theta)$  belongs to the 1-parameter exponential family.  $E[r(X)] = -\frac{a'(\theta)}{a(\theta)b'(\theta)} = \frac{1}{\theta \times 1} = \frac{1}{\theta} \Rightarrow$   
 $E\left[-\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+X_i)\right] = -\frac{n}{\theta}$ . **(6)**

(iii) The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) = \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^{-\theta}$$

and the log-likelihood function is

$$l(\theta) = n \ln \theta + \ln \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^{-1} \right] - \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+x_i).$$

The *MLE* of  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta}$  which solves the equation

$$0 = l'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+x_i).$$

The solution is  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+X_i)}$ . **(6)**

(iv)  $E[\ln(1+X)] = \frac{1}{\theta} \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{1}{E[\ln(1+X)]} \Rightarrow$  the *MME* of  $\theta$  is  $\tilde{\theta} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+X_i)}$ . **(2)**

(v) The minimum variance unbiased estimator (*MVUE*) of  $\frac{1}{\theta}$  is  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+X_i)$  because the estimator is a function of a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  and  
 $E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+X_i)\right] = \frac{1}{\theta}$ . **(3)**

**Question 2****[Total marks=30]**

(a)  $E(X) = \int_0^\theta x/\theta dx \left[ \frac{x^2}{2\theta} \right]_0^\theta = \frac{\theta}{2} \Rightarrow \theta = 2E[X]$ . This means the method of moments estimate (MME) of  $\theta$  is  $\tilde{\theta} = 2\bar{x} = 2 \times 23.2 = 46.4$ . **(4)**

(b) The likelihood function of  $\theta$

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \theta^{-n} & \text{if } \theta \geq x_{(n)} = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

decreases as  $\theta$  increase. Thus the function attains its maximum at the smallest value of  $\theta$  which is  $x_{(n)}$ . This means the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$  is  $x_{(10)} = 25$ . **(8)**

(c)  $E[Y_n] = \int_0^\theta yny^{n-1}\theta^{-n}dy = \left[ \frac{n}{n+1}y^{n+1}\theta^{-n} \right]_0^\theta = \frac{n}{n+1}\theta$ . **(2)**

$$E[Y_n^2] = \int_0^\theta y^2ny^{n-1}\theta^{-n}dy = \left[ \frac{n}{n+2}y^{n+2}\theta^{-n} \right]_0^\theta = \frac{n}{n+2}\theta^2 \Rightarrow$$

$$\text{Var}(Y_n) = E[Y_n^2] - (E[Y_n])^2 = \left( \frac{n}{n+2} - \frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2} \right) \theta^2 = \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} \theta^2. \quad \text{(4)}$$

That the bias of the estimate is  $\theta - E[Y_n] = \frac{1}{n+1}\theta \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(Y_n) = 0$  means  $Y_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$ . **(4)**

(d) The estimate is  $\sqrt{\text{Var}(Y_n)|_{n=10, \theta=x_{(10)}=25}} = \sqrt{\frac{10}{12 \times 11^2} \times 25^2 \tilde{\theta}} = 2.0747$ . **(3)**

(e) The 95% confidence interval is  $25 \pm 1.960 \times 2.0747 = [20.9334; 29.0664]$ . **(5)**

**Question 3****[Total marks=18]**

(a) The likelihood function of  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  is

$$L(\theta_1, \theta_2|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1} \frac{\theta_1^n}{\theta_2^{n\theta_1}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{\theta_1} & \text{if } \theta_1 > 0, \theta_2 \geq \text{all the } x_i\text{'s,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Equivalently,

$$L(\theta_1, \theta_2|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1} \frac{\theta_1^n}{\theta_2^{n\theta_1}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{\theta_1} & \text{if } \theta_1 > 0, \theta_2 \geq x_{(n)}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where  $x_{(n)} = \max\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$ . **(3)**

The log likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned}
 l(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= \ln L(\theta_1, \theta_2) \\
 &= \begin{cases} \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1} + n \ln \theta_1 - n\theta_1 \ln \theta_2 + \theta_1 \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) & \text{if } \theta_1 > 0, \theta_2 \geq x_{(n)}, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

- (b) For any  $\theta_1 > 0$ ,  $L(\theta_1, \theta_2 | \mathbf{x})$  decreases as  $\theta_2$  increases. Thus the function attains its maximum at the smallest value of  $\theta_2$  which is  $x_{(n)}$ . This means the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta_2$  is  $X_{(n)}$ . (6)

Consider the log likelihood

$$l(\theta_1, x_{(n)}) = \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \right)^{-1} + n \ln \theta_1 - n\theta_1 \ln x_{(n)} + \theta_1 \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)$$

whose derivative with respect to  $\theta_1$  is

$$l'(\theta_1, x_{(n)}) = \frac{n}{\theta_1} - n \ln x_{(n)} + \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) = \frac{n}{\theta_1} - n \ln x_{(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i.$$

The MLE of  $\theta_1$  is  $\hat{\theta}_1$  which solves the equation

$$0 = l'(\hat{\theta}_1, X_{(n)}) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}_1} - n \ln X_{(n)} + \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}_1} - n \ln X_{(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i.$$

The solution is

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{n}{n \ln X_{(n)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i} = \frac{n}{n \ln X_{(n)} - \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right)}. \tag{6}$$

#### Question 4

[Total marks=19]

$$(a) \alpha = P(X > 1 | \theta = 0) = 1 - P(X < 1 | \theta = 0) = 1 - F(1|0) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(1)} = 0.2689. \tag{5}$$

$$\beta = P(X < 1 | \theta = 1) = F(1|1) = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(0)} = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{5}$$

$$\text{Hence the power} = 1 - \beta = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b) 0.1 &= P(X \geq c | \theta = 0) = 1 - F(c|0) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(c)} \Rightarrow \\
 10 &= 1 + \exp(c) \Rightarrow 9 = \exp(c) \Rightarrow c = \ln 9 = 2.1972.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

TOTAL [100]