



# **Tutorial letter 201/2/2018**

## **Statistical Inference III STA3702**

**Semester 2**

**Department of Statistics**

**SOLUTIONS TO ASSIGNMENT 01**

**Question 1****[13]**

(a) (i)  $E(\bar{Y}) = \beta\bar{x}$  and (ii)  $E(\bar{Y}^2) = \text{Var}(\bar{Y}) + [E(\bar{Y})]^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \beta^2\bar{x}^2$ . **(1+2=3)**

(b)  $\bar{Y}$  and  $\bar{Y}^2$  correspond to  $E(\bar{Y})$  and  $E(\bar{Y}^2)$ , respectively. **(1+1=2)**

(c)  $\bar{Y} = \tilde{\beta}\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{Y}^2 = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^2}{n} + \tilde{\beta}^2\bar{x}^2$  where  $\tilde{\beta}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}^2$  are method of moments estimators of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$ , respectively. **(1+1=2)**

(d)  $\tilde{\beta} = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{x}} \implies \bar{Y}^2 = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^2}{n} + \tilde{\beta}^2\bar{x}^2 \implies \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^2}{n} = \bar{Y}^2 - \tilde{\beta}^2\bar{x}^2 \implies \tilde{\sigma}^2 = n(\bar{Y}^2 - \tilde{\beta}^2\bar{x}^2)$ . **(1+3=4)**

(e)  $E(\tilde{\beta}) = E\left(\frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{x}}\right) = \frac{E(\bar{Y})}{\bar{x}} = \frac{\beta\bar{x}}{\bar{x}} = \beta$ . **(2)**

**Question 2****[22]**

(a) **(4)**

(i) The likelihood function of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i | \beta, \sigma^2) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - \beta x_i)^2 \right\} \\ &= (1/2\pi)^{n/2} (1/\sigma^2)^{n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta x_i)^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

**(2)**

(ii) The log likelihood function of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  is

$$\begin{aligned} l(\beta, \sigma^2) &= \ln L(\beta, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{x}) \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta x_i)^2 \end{aligned}$$

**(2)**

(b) **(16)**

Differentiating  $l(\beta, \sigma^2)$  with respect to  $\beta$  and equating the derivative to zero obtains:

$$0 = l'(\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{\beta}x_i) x_i = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i x_i - \hat{\beta}x_i^2)$$

where  $\hat{\beta}$  is the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\beta$ . The solution for  $\hat{\beta}$  in the equation is

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}. \quad \mathbf{(8)}$$

Differentiating  $l(\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2)$  with respect to  $\sigma^2$  and equating the derivative to zero obtains:

$$0 = l'(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = -\frac{n}{2\hat{\sigma}^2} + \frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{\beta}x_i)^2$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\sigma^2$ . The solution for  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  in the equation is  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{\beta}x_i)^2$ . (8)

(c) By the invariance property of maximum likelihood estimators, the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\sigma$  is

$$\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{\beta}x_i)^2}.$$

(2)

**Question 3**

[20]

(a) (i)  $E(\bar{X}) = E(X) = \lambda$ .

(1)

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} E(S^2) &= E \left[ \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - n\bar{X}^2 \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2) - nE(\bar{X}^2) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ n \left( \text{Var}(X) + [E(X)]^2 \right) - n \left( \text{Var}(\bar{X}) + [E(\bar{X})]^2 \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ n(\lambda + \lambda^2) - n \left( \frac{\lambda}{n} + \lambda^2 \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ n\lambda + n\lambda^2 - \lambda - n\lambda^2 \right] = \lambda \end{aligned}$$

(5)

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{S}^2) &= E \left[ \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - n\bar{X}^2 \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2) - nE(\bar{X}^2) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left[ n \left( \text{Var}(X) + [E(X)]^2 \right) - n \left( \text{Var}(\bar{X}) + [E(\bar{X})]^2 \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left[ n(\lambda + \lambda^2) - n \left( \frac{\lambda}{n} + \lambda^2 \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left[ n\lambda + n\lambda^2 - \lambda - n\lambda^2 \right] = \frac{n-1}{n} \lambda \end{aligned}$$

(5)

$$(b) (i) \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{n} = \frac{\lambda}{n}. \quad (1)$$

$$(ii) E(\lambda - \bar{S}^2) = \lambda - E(\bar{S}^2) = \lambda - \frac{n-1}{n}\lambda = \frac{\lambda}{n}. \quad (2)$$

(c) (i) That  $E(\bar{X}) = \lambda$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda}{n} = 0$  implies that  $\bar{X}$  is a consistent estimator of  $\lambda$ . (3)

$$(ii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\bar{S}^2) = \lambda - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda}{n} = \lambda. \quad (3)$$

#### Question 4

[35]

(a)

(16)

(i) The likelihood function of  $\theta$  is

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) = \theta^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-\theta} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1}$$

and the log likelihood function of  $\theta$  is

$$l(\theta) = \ln L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = n \ln \theta - \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i - \ln \prod_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

Now

$$l'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i \text{ and } l''(\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2} \implies \mathbf{I}(\theta) = -l''(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta^2}.$$

(8)

(ii) The MLE of  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta}$  which solves the equation

$$0 = l'(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i.$$

The solution is  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i}$ .

$$\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{x}) = -l''(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}^2}.$$

(5)

(iii) The Cramer-Rao lower bound is  $\left[ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 / \mathbf{I}(\theta) = \frac{\theta^2}{n}$ . (3)

(b)

(7)

From part (a)(i) above:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1} \theta^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-\theta} \\ &= m_1(\mathbf{x}) \times m_2 \left( \theta, \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_1(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{-1}$  and  $m_2(\theta, \prod_{i=1}^n x_i) = \theta^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{-\theta}$ . Hence  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  by the factorisation theorem. **(3)**

Now let  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_n$  be another random sample from the same distribution. Then

$$\frac{L(\theta|\mathbf{x})}{L(\theta|\mathbf{y})} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{y_i}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i}{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i}\right)^{-\theta}$$

is independent of  $\theta$  if  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i / \prod_{i=1}^n y_i = 1$  equivalently if  $\prod_{i=1}^n y_i = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i$ . This means that  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . **(4)**

**(c)**

The maximum likelihood estimate of  $\hat{\theta}$  is  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{10}{\sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i} = \frac{10}{8.4028} = 1.1901$ . **(4)**

The standard error of  $\hat{\theta}$  is  $se(\hat{\theta}) = \sqrt{1/I(\hat{\theta})} = \sqrt{\hat{\theta}^2/10} = 0.1416$ . **(4)**

The 95% confidence interval of  $\theta$  is  $1.1901 \pm 1.96 \times .1416 = [0.9125; 1.4677]$ . **(4)**

**TOTAL: [90]**