

Tutorial letter 202/2/2017

Statistical Inference III

STA3702

Semester 2

Department of Statistics

SOLUTIONS TO ASSIGNMENT 02

Question 1

[16]

(a) The likelihood function of θ is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \right) \exp \left\{ p(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) - nq(\theta) \right\} \\ &= m_1(\mathbf{x}) \times m_2 \left(\theta, \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $m_1(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i)$ and $m_2 \left(\theta, \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) \right) = \exp \left\{ p(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) - nq(\theta) \right\}$. This means $\sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ by the factorisation theorem.

Now let $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_n$ be another random sample from the same distribution. Then

$$\frac{L(\theta|\mathbf{x})}{L(\theta|\mathbf{y})} = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n g(y_i)} \right) \exp \left\{ p(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n (K(x_i) - K(y_i)) \right\}$$

is independent of θ if $\sum_{i=1}^n (K(x_i) - K(y_i)) = 0$ equivalently if $\sum_{i=1}^n K(y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i)$. This means that $\sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)$ is a minimal sufficient statistic for θ . **(6)**

(b) Yes $\sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)$ is the complete sufficient statistic for θ - a property of the members of the exponential family of distributions. **(3)**

(c) From part (a) the log likelihood function of θ is

$$l(\theta) = \ln L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \ln \left(\prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \right) + p(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) - nq(\theta).$$

Hence

$$l'(\theta) = p'(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) - nq'(\theta) \text{ and } l''(\theta) = p''(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) - nq''(\theta).$$

The Fisher information about θ is

$$\begin{aligned} I(\theta) &= -E[l''(\theta)] = -p''(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n E[K(x_i)] + nq''(\theta) \\ &= nq''(\theta) - np''(\theta) \frac{q'(\theta)}{p'(\theta)} \\ &= \frac{n}{p'(\theta)} [q''(\theta)p'(\theta) - p''(\theta)q'(\theta)] \end{aligned}$$

The Cramer-Rao lower bound for an unbiased estimator of θ is

$$\left[\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 / I(\theta) = \frac{p'(\theta)}{n [q''(\theta)p'(\theta) - p''(\theta)q'(\theta)]}.$$

(7)

Question 2

[30]

(a) Yes because

$$\begin{aligned} p(x|\theta) &= \theta(1-\theta)^{x-1}, \quad x = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad 0 < \theta < 1, \\ &= \exp\{x \ln(1-\theta) - \ln(\theta/(1-\theta))\} \\ &= g(x) \exp\{p(\theta)K(x) - q(\theta)\} \end{aligned}$$

where $p(\theta) = \ln(1-\theta)$, $K(x) = x$, $q(\theta) = -\ln(\theta/(1-\theta)) = \ln(1-\theta) - \ln(\theta)$ and $g(x) = 1$. **(5)**

(b) Yes because $p(x|\theta)$ belongs to regular exponential family. **(4)**

(c) Use Question 1.

$$p'(\theta) = -\frac{1}{1-\theta}, \quad q'(\theta) = -\frac{1}{1-\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta} = -\frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)} \implies E[X] = -\frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)} \times (-1)(1-\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

Hence

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \frac{n}{\theta}. \quad \text{(4)}$$

(d) Use Question 1 part(c).

$$p''(\theta) = -\frac{1}{(1-\theta)^2}, \quad q''(\theta) = -\frac{1}{(1-\theta)^2} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} = \frac{1-2\theta}{\theta^2(1-\theta)^2} \implies$$

The Cramer-Rao lower bound for an unbiased estimator of θ is

$$\frac{\theta^2(1-\theta)}{n}.$$

Alternatively from first principles. The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) = [\theta/(1-\theta)]^n (1-\theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

and the log likelihood function is

$$l(\theta) = n \ln \theta - n \ln(1-\theta) + \ln(1-\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

Now,

$$l'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{n}{1-\theta} - \frac{1}{1-\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \text{ and}$$

$$l''(\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2} + \frac{n}{(1-\theta)^2} - \frac{1}{(1-\theta)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

The Fisher information about θ in the sample is

$$I(\theta) = -E[l''(\theta)] = \frac{n}{\theta^2} - \frac{n}{(1-\theta)^2} + \frac{1}{(1-\theta)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i]$$

$$E[X_i] = \frac{n}{\theta^2} - \frac{n}{(1-\theta)^2} + \frac{n}{\theta(1-\theta)^2} = \frac{n}{\theta^2(1-\theta)}.$$

Hence the required Cramer-Rao lower bound is

$$\left[\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 / I(\theta) = \frac{\theta^2(1-\theta)}{n}.$$

(7)

(e) From part (d),

$$l'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{n}{(1-\theta)} - \frac{1}{(1-\theta)} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

The MLE of θ is $\hat{\theta}$ which solves the equation:

$$0 = l'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} + \frac{n}{(1-\hat{\theta})} - \frac{1}{(1-\hat{\theta})} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

Clearly the solution depends on the complete sufficient statistic $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. (4)

(f) From parts (b) and (c), the MVUE of $\frac{1}{\theta}$ is $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}$ because it is a function of a complete sufficient statistic for θ which is also an unbiased estimator of $\frac{1}{\theta}$. (6)

Question 3

[14]

(a) The level of significance of the test is

$$\alpha = P\left(X = 2 | \theta = \frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4}.$$

The probability of the Type II Error is

$$\beta = P\left(X \neq 2 | \theta = \frac{3}{4}\right) = 1 - P\left(X = 2 | \theta = \frac{3}{4}\right) = 1 - \left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2-1} = \frac{13}{16}.$$

Hence, the power of the test is

$$Power = 1 - \beta = 1 - \frac{13}{16} = \frac{3}{16}.$$

(5)

(b) The level of significance of the test is

$$\alpha = P\left(X = 1 \text{ or } 2 \mid \theta = \frac{1}{2}\right) = P\left(X = 1 \mid \theta = \frac{1}{2}\right) + P\left(X = 2 \mid \theta = \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4}.$$

The probability of the Type II Error is

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= P\left(X \neq 1 \text{ or } 2 \mid \theta = \frac{3}{4}\right) = 1 - P\left(X = 1 \mid \theta = \frac{3}{4}\right) - P\left(X = 2 \mid \theta = \frac{3}{4}\right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{3}{4} - \frac{3}{16} = \frac{1}{16}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the power of the test is

$$Power = 1 - \beta = 1 - \frac{1}{16} = \frac{15}{16}.$$

(6)

(c) The test is powerful but the probability of committing the Type I Error is too large.

(3)

Question 4

[15]

(a) The level of significance of the test is

$$\begin{aligned} 0.1 &= P(X_1 \geq c \mid \theta = 2) = \int_c^1 (\ln 2) 2^x dx \\ &= [2^x]_c^1 = 2 - 2^c. \end{aligned}$$

This means $2^c = 1.9 \implies c = \ln 1.9 / \ln 2 = 0.923$.

(5)

(b) The likelihood function of θ is

$$L(\theta \mid \mathbf{x}) = \frac{(\ln \theta)^n \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{(\theta - 1)^n}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} r(2, 3 \mid \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{L(2 \mid \mathbf{x})}{L(3 \mid \mathbf{x})} \\ &= (\ln 2)^n 2^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \frac{2^n}{(\ln 3)^n 3^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}} = \left(\frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln 3}\right)^n \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \end{aligned}$$

According to the Neyman-Pearson theorem, the best test of the hypotheses rejects H_0 if

$$\begin{aligned} r(2, 3 \mid \mathbf{x}) &= \left(\frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln 3}\right)^n \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \leq k_{0.05} \in (0, 1] \\ &\equiv \text{if } \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \leq \left(\frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln 3}\right)^{-n} k_{0.05} = k_{0.05}^* \\ &\equiv \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq \frac{\ln k_{0.05}^*}{\ln(2/3)} = c_{0.05} \text{ (since } \ln(2/3) \text{ is negative)} \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{0.05}$ solves the probability equation

$$0.05 = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq c_{0.05} | \theta = 2\right).$$

(10)

TOTAL: [75]

This study resource was
shared via CourseHero.com