



Tutorial Letter 202/2/2016

Statistical Inference III STA3702

Semester 2

Department of Statistics

SOLUTIONS TO ASSIGNMENT 02

BAR CODE

Question 1**[20]****(a)**

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x|\theta) &= g(x) \exp \{p(\theta)K(x) - q(\theta)\} \\
&= \exp \{p(\theta)K(x) + -q(\theta) + \ln(g(x))\} \\
&= \exp \{p(\theta)K(x) + q^*(\theta) + g^*(x)\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $q^*(\theta) = -q(\theta)$ and $g^*(\theta) = \ln(g(x))$. **(4)**

(b) $\sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i)$ is the complete sufficient statistic for θ . **(4)**

(c) The likelihood function of θ is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \exp \left\{ p(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) - nq(\theta) \right\}$$

and the log-likelihood function of θ is

$$l(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln g(x_i) + p(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) - nq(\theta).$$

The *MLE* of θ is $\hat{\theta}$ which solves the equation

$$0 = l'(\hat{\theta}) = p'(\hat{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i) - nq'(\hat{\theta}).$$

Clearly the solution will only depend on the sample through $\sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)$. **(6)**

(d) $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)$ is the *MVUE* of $q'(\theta)/p'(\theta)$ because $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)$ is a function of a complete sufficient statistic for θ and $E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(K(X_i)) = q'(\theta)/p'(\theta)$ (unbiased). **(6)**

Question 2**[36]****(a)**

$$\begin{aligned}
p(x|\theta) &= \theta(1-\theta)^{x-1} \\
&= \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right) (1-\theta)^x \\
&= \exp \left(x \ln(1-\theta) + \ln \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} \right) \\
&= g(x) \exp (p(\theta)K(x) - q(\theta))
\end{aligned}$$

where $p(\theta) = \ln(1-\theta)$, $K(x) = x$, $q(\theta) = -\ln \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$ and $g(x) = 1$. **(5)**

(b) $\sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ since $f(x|\theta)$ belongs to regular exponential family. **(4)**

(c) $E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(K(X_i)) = n \frac{q'(\theta)}{p'(\theta)} = n \frac{-1/\theta - 1/(1-\theta)}{-1/(1-\theta)} = -n \frac{1/(\theta(1-\theta))}{-1/(1-\theta)} = \frac{n}{\theta}$. (2)

(d) $p(x|\theta)$ here is in the form of $f(x|\theta)$ in Question 1. It follows from Question 1 (c) that the MLE of θ here will depend on the sample through the complete sufficient statistic for θ . In particular, it can be shown that the MLE of θ is $\hat{\theta}$ which solves the equation

$$0 = l'(\hat{\theta}) = p'(\hat{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i) - nq'(\hat{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{1-\hat{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i + \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}(1-\hat{\theta})}$$

and the solution is

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}$$
 (6)

(e) Yes. Because: (i) the MLE of $\frac{1}{\theta}$ is $\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ by the invariance property of MLE's;

(ii) the MLE of $\frac{1}{\theta}$ is $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a function of a complete sufficient statistic for θ ; and

(iii) $E\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}}\right) = \frac{1}{n} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \frac{1}{n} n \frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{1}{\theta}$ (unbiased, see Question 1 (c)). (7)

(f) $l''(\theta) = -\frac{1}{(1-\theta)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \frac{n}{\theta^2} + \frac{n}{(1-\theta)^2} = -\frac{n}{\hat{\theta}(1-\hat{\theta})^2} - \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}^2} + \frac{n}{(1-\hat{\theta})^2} \implies$

(i) $\hat{\theta} = \frac{200}{400} = 0.5$. Hence

$$I(\mathbf{x}) = -l''(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}(1-\hat{\theta})^2} + \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}^2} - \frac{n}{(1-\hat{\theta})^2} = 1600;$$

and (6)

(ii) $se(\hat{\theta}) \approx \sqrt{1/I(\mathbf{x})} = \sqrt{1/1600} = \frac{1}{40} = 0.025$. Thus, the approximate 95% confidence interval for θ is

$$\hat{\theta} \pm 1.96 \times se(\hat{\theta}) = [0.451; 0.549].$$
 (6)

Question 3

[23]

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|\theta) &= \theta(1+x)^{-(1+\theta)} \\ &= (1+x)^{-1} \theta(1+x)^{-\theta} \\ &= (1+x)^{-1} \exp[-\theta \ln(1+x) + \ln \theta] \\ &= g(x) \exp[p(\theta)K(x) - q(\theta)] \end{aligned}$$

where $p(\theta) = \theta$, $K(x) = -\ln(1+x)$, $q(\theta) = -\ln(\theta)$ and $g(x) = (1+x)^{-1}$. (4)

(b) $\sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+X_i)$ since $f(x|\theta)$ belongs to regular exponential family. (3)

(c) Note that $-E \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + X_i) \right] = -n \frac{q'(\theta)}{p'(\theta)} = -\frac{n}{\theta}$.

The likelihood function of θ is

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) = \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i)^{-(1+\theta)}$$

and the log likelihood function of θ is

$$l(\theta) = n \ln(\theta) - (1 + \theta) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + x_i).$$

Hence

$$l'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + x_i) \text{ and } l''(\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2}.$$

The Fisher information for θ is

$$I_n(\theta) = -E(l''(\theta)) = \frac{n}{\theta^2}.$$

Hence the *CRLB* for an unbiased estimator of $\frac{1}{\theta}$ is

$$CRLB = \left[\frac{\partial 1/\theta}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 / I_n(\theta) = \frac{(\theta^{-2})^2}{n/\theta^2} = \frac{1}{n\theta^2}.$$

(8)

(d) $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + X_i)$ is a function of a complete sufficient statistic. Furthermore

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[\ln(1 + X_i)] = \frac{n}{n} E[\ln(1 + X)] = -\frac{q'(\theta)}{p'(\theta)} = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

(unbiased). Hence, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + X_i)$ is the *MVUE* of $\frac{1}{\theta}$.

(8)

Question 4

[21]

(a) (i) The level of significance of the test is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= P \left(X_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} | \theta = 2 \right) = P \left(0 < X_1 < \frac{1}{2} | \theta = 2 \right) \\ &= \int_0^{1/2} 2x dx \\ &= \left[x^2 \right]_0^{1/2} = 1/4. \end{aligned}$$

The probability of the type III error is

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= P \left(X_1 > \frac{1}{2} | \theta = 1 \right) = 1 - P \left(0 < X_1 < \frac{1}{2} | \theta = 1 \right) \\ &= 1 - \int_0^{1/2} dx \\ &= 1 - [x]_0^{1/2} = 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the power of the test is

$$Power = 1 - \beta = 1 - 1/2 = 1/2.$$

(8)

(ii) The level of significance of the test is

$$\begin{aligned} 0.1 &= P(X_1 \leq c | \theta = 2) = P(0 < X_1 < c | \theta = 2) \\ &= \int_0^c 2x dx \\ &= [x^2]_0^c = c^2. \end{aligned}$$

This means $c = \sqrt{0.1} = 0.3162$

(5)

(b) The likelihood function of θ is

$$L(\theta | \mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta x_i^{(\theta-1)} = \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{(\theta-1)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} r(1, 2 | \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{L(2 | \mathbf{x})}{L(1 | \mathbf{x})} \\ &= 2^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i. \end{aligned}$$

According to the Neyman-Pearson theorem, the best test of the hypotheses rejects H_0 if

$$\begin{aligned} r(1, 2 | \mathbf{x}) &= 2^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \leq k_\alpha \in (0, 1] \\ &\equiv \text{if } \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \leq 2^{-n} k_\alpha = c \end{aligned}$$

where c solves the probability equation

$$\alpha = P\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \leq c | \theta = 2\right).$$

(8)

TOTAL: [100]

