

Question 1

[Total marks= 25]

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x|\theta) &= a(\theta)g(x)\exp\{\theta r(x)\} \\
&= \exp\{\theta r(x) + \ln[a(\theta)] + \ln[g(x)]\} \\
&= \exp\{\theta r(x) - \ln[a(\theta)] + \ln[g(x)]\} \\
&= \exp\{\theta r(x) - a^*(\theta) + g^*(x)\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $a^*(\theta) = -\ln[a(\theta)] \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $g^*(x) = \ln[g(x)] \in (-\infty, \infty)$. (4)

(b) (i)

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x|\theta) &= \theta(1-\theta)^x \\
&= \exp\{x \ln(1-\theta) + \ln \theta\} \\
&= \exp\{\eta x + \ln(1-e^\eta)\} \\
&= \exp\{\eta r(x) - a^*(\eta) + g^*(x)\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $r(x) = x$, $\eta = \ln(1-\theta)$, $a^*(\eta) = \ln(1-e^\eta) \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $g^*(x) = 1$. (4)

(ii) $\sum_{i=1}^n r(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ since $f(x|\theta)$ belongs to the 1-parameter exponential family. (3)

(iii) The likelihood function is $L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) = \theta^n (1-\theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \implies$
the log-likelihood function of θ is $l(\theta) = \ln L(\theta) = n \ln \theta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \ln(1-\theta)$. (4)

(iv) $l'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{1-\theta} \implies l''(\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{(1-\theta)^2}$. Hence the total information for θ in the sample is

$$I_n(\mathbf{x}) = -l''(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta^2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{(1-\theta)^2} = \frac{n}{\theta^2} + \frac{n\bar{x}}{(1-\theta)^2} \quad (5)$$

(vi) The MLE of θ is $\hat{\theta}$ which solves the equation

$$0 = l'(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{1-\hat{\theta}}.$$

Clearly, the solution will depend on the sample only through the complete sufficient statistic $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. (The solution is $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{1+\bar{x}}$.) (5)

Question 2

[Total marks= 25]

(a) The likelihood function of (θ_1, θ_2) is:

$$L(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i|\theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} \theta_2^{-n} \exp\{-\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \theta_1)/\theta_2\} & \text{if } y_{(1)} = \min(y_i) \geq \theta_1 \text{ and } \theta_2 > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The log-likelihood function of (θ_1, θ_2) is:

$$l(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \ln L(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} -n \ln \theta_2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \theta_1)/\theta_2 & \text{if } y_{(1)} \geq \theta_1 \text{ and } \theta_2 > 0, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$l(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ increases as θ_1 varies from its 0 to $y_{(1)}$. Hence the MLE of θ_1 is $y_{(1)}$. (7)

$$l(y_{(1)}, \theta_2) = -n \ln \theta_2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_{(1)})/\theta_2 \implies l'(y_{(1)}, \theta_2) = -\frac{n}{\theta_2} + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_{(1)})/\theta_2^2.$$

The MLE of θ_2 is $\hat{\theta}_2$ which solves the equation:

$$0 = l'(y_{(1)}, \hat{\theta}_2) = -\frac{n}{\hat{\theta}_2} + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_{(1)}) / \hat{\theta}_2^2.$$

The solution is $\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_{(1)})$. (6)

By the invariance property of *MLE*'s, the *MLE* of θ_2^2 is:

$$(\hat{\theta}_2)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_{(1)}) \right)^2. \quad (3)$$

(b) If $\theta_1 = 0$, then the likelihood function of θ_2 is:

$$L(\theta_2 | \mathbf{y}) = \theta_2^{-n} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i / \theta_2\right\} = m_1(\mathbf{y}) \times m_2\left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i, \theta_2\right)$$

where $m_1(\mathbf{y}) = 1$ and $m_2(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i, \theta_2) = \theta_2^{-n} \exp\{-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i / \theta_2\}$. Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ_2 by the factorization theorem. (4)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the same sampled distribution. Then

$$\frac{L(\theta_2 | \mathbf{y})}{L(\theta_2 | \mathbf{x})} = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\theta_2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right)\right\}$$

which is independent of θ_2 if $(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i) = 0$ equivalently if $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$. This means $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ is a minimal sufficient statistic for θ . (5)

Question 3

[Total marks=25]

(a) $E[X] = 4\theta \implies \theta = \frac{1}{4}E[X] \implies$ the *MME* of θ is

$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{1}{4}\bar{x} = \frac{1}{4}(14/10) = 0.35 \quad (5)$$

(b) The likelihood function of θ is:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^{10} \frac{4!}{(4-x_i)!x_i!} \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{4-x_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{10} \frac{4!}{(4-x_i)!x_i!} \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i} (1-\theta)^{40-\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{10} \frac{4!}{(4-x_i)!x_i!} \theta^{14} (1-\theta)^{40-14} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{10} \frac{4!}{(4-x_i)!x_i!} \theta^{14} (1-\theta)^{26} \end{aligned}$$

The log-likelihood function is:

$$l(\theta) = \ln L(\theta) = \text{constant} + 14 \ln \theta + 26 \ln(1-\theta) \implies l'(\theta) = \frac{14}{\theta} - \frac{26}{1-\theta}.$$

The *MLE* of θ is $\hat{\theta}$ which solves the equation:

$$0 = l'(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{14}{\hat{\theta}} - \frac{26}{1-\hat{\theta}}.$$

The solution is $\hat{\theta} = \frac{14}{40} = 0.35$. (7)

(c) $l''(\theta) = -\frac{14}{\theta^2} - \frac{26}{(1-\theta)^2} \implies$

$$Var[\tilde{\theta}] = Var[\hat{\theta}] \approx \frac{1}{-l''(0.35)} = \frac{1}{114.2857 + 61.5385} = \frac{1}{175.8242} = 0.0057.$$

Hence

$$se(\tilde{\theta}) = se(\hat{\theta}) \approx \sqrt{0.0057} = 0.0754.$$

(8)

(d) Both because there are unbiased and have equal standard errors. Equivalently, the *MME* and the *MLE* of θ are the same. (5)

Question 4

[Total marks=25]

(a) $\frac{nS^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_n^2$ (3)

(b) Consistency of S^2 : $E[\frac{nS^2}{\sigma^2}] = n$ and $Var[\frac{nS^2}{\sigma^2}] = 2n$ implies the following.

$E[S^2] = n \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \sigma^2 \implies S^2$ is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 . Furthermore,

$Var[S^2] = 2n \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} = 2 \frac{\sigma^4}{n}$ which turns to zero as n turns to infinity. Hence S^2 is a consistent estimator of σ^2 .

Consistency of S^{*2} : $E[\frac{(n-1)S^{*2}}{\sigma^2}] = n$ and $Var[\frac{(n-1)S^{*2}}{\sigma^2}] = 2n$ implies the following.

$E[S^{*2}] = n \frac{\sigma^2}{n-1} \implies S^{*2}$ is a biased estimator of σ^2 with bias $\frac{\sigma^2}{n-1}$ which turns to zero as n turns to infinity. Furthermore,

$Var[S^{*2}] = 2n \frac{\sigma^4}{(n-1)^2}$ which turns to zero as n turns to infinity. Hence S^{*2} is a consistent estimator of σ^2 .

(18)

(c) $MSE(S^2) = Var[S^2] = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$ and

$$MSE(S^{*2}) = Var[S^{*2}] + [Bias(S^{*2})]^2 = \frac{2n+1}{(n-1)^2} \sigma^4 > MSE(S^2)$$

since $\frac{2n+1}{(n-1)^2} > \frac{1}{n}$. (4)

TOTAL [100]