EBRAY PER 2007(1)

MACRO ISSUES OF MIKRO PRIMARY SCHOOL

E Bray

Introduction

interwoven with the right to education Owing to the nature of education and training, cultural rights are inextricably and is similarly guaranteed and protected in section 29 of the Constitution The right to education is a universally recognised socio-economic human right

that a learner has a right to basic education and the right to receive such an clause whenever appropriate in South African practice it means, for example, education in a language of choice in a public school where such an education is promote and fulfil this ngM, 3 beaning in mind, though, that human rights are no reasonably practicable 4 (modifiers) and their application restricted in terms of the general limitation absolute and that their nature and scope may be modified by internal qualifiers The state as primary provider of education has undertaken to respect, protect

It is in this context that the relevant human rights and their application by the the case dealt with the right to education in a democratic school education Governing Body of Mikro Primary Schools are scrutinised. Broadly speaking Supreme Court of Appeal in The Western Cape Minister of Education v The system and the legal status of the public school in education in South Africa

20

EBRAY PER 2007(1)

This note, however, examines only two aspects of the case, namely, the right to receive education in a language of choice and the legal status of the public school to offer such education in South Africa

Background and facts

Cape High Court (court a quo) for an order setting aside the directive, the in English. This situation gave rise to an urgent application by the school to the of department and the decision by the MEC to uphold the head of department's department been admitted to the school be placed at another suitable school(s) by the school's governance and management. It ordered that the 21 teamers who had instructing or permitting departmental officials to unlawfully interfere with the compliance with the school's language policy and similarly restrained them from directive be set aside. It interdicted both the head of the department and the application succeeded and the court ordered that both the directive by the head decision and the plea for ancillary relief in the a quo judgment⁶ the schools dismissed and resulted in the school having to admit 21 learners for instruction English An appeal by the school to the MEC against the directive was later of the school instructing him to admit certain learners and have them taught in (hereafter head of department) subsequently issued a directive to the principal medium school. The head of department of Western Cape provincial education Education Department to change its language policy to convert it into a parallel governing body had refused to accede to a request by the Western Cape MEC from compelling the school to admit learners otherwise than in Mikro Primary School is an Afrikaans-medium public school in Kullsriver whose

were joined in the proceedings as third appellants judgment of the court a quo, and the parents of the 21 learners in question Both the head of department and the MEC appealed against the whole of the

⁰¹ A W A D

Department of Constitutional Law, Infernational Law and Indigenous Law UNISA Constitution of the Regulatic of South Africa. 1986 (hereafter Constitution) Eg the night to a language and religion of choice. Constitution s 27 (Constitution s 27) (Constitution s 28/21)+(21)

Constitution s 28/21)+(21)

The Western Cape Minister of Education v The Governing Body of Minister Primary School 2005 to BCLR 973 (SCA). Although both the court a quie (below) and the court in casure refer to the Western Cape Minister of Education, the author prefers the correct site, se Member of the Executive Council responsible for education in the Western Cape Province (hereafter referred to as the MEC)

Governing Body of Mikro Finnary School v Wastern Cape Minister of Education 2005 2 All SA 37 (C)

EBRAY

or language of choice in a public school where such aducation is reasonably It also resterated the learner's right to receive education in the official language organisation, governance and funding of schools in partnership with the state? practicable, 6 the alternatives 9 that would be available to realise such a right and parents and educators and promote their acceptance of responsibility for the advance diverse cultures and languages, uphold the nights of all learners and all other forms of unfair discrimination and infolerance, protect and system in line with the constitutional imperatives, combat racism and sexism conditions that would apply under such circumstances 10 state has an obligation to promote democratic transformation of the education The court (Supreme Court of Appeal) stated by way of introduction that the

which cannot be accommodated by schools, the determination of the language Standards for Language Policy in Public Schools allude inter alia, to the Gazette, subject to the Constitution and the Schools Act " The Norms and standards for language policy in public schools by notice in the Government keeping of a register of request by learners for teaching in a language medium the power of the national Minister of Education to determine norms and applicable national and provincial education tegislation 13 and then examined policy and language policy of the school subject to the Constitution and court discussed the function of the governing body to determine the admission as professional manager and direct delegate of the head of department 12 The junstic person, the governing functions of its governing body and of its principal the education of learners out of funds appropriated for this purpose by the provincial legislature," and explained the legal status of the public school as a The court alluded to the responsibility of the MEC to provide public schools for

Par 3-4
S 28/2)
Eg angle medium institutions
Eg equity practicability and the need to redress the results of past radially discriminatory laws and practices

EBRAY PER 2007(1)

scarce resources and providing alternative language maintenance programmes additional languages of teaching in the home language(s) 15 in schools and/or school distincts which cannot be provided with and/or offer to 6 or 35 Grade 7 to 12 learners in a particular grade request it in a particular practicable to provide education in a particular language if at least 40 Grade 1 school, that the provincial department must explore ways and means of sharing with the governing body of such a school the fact that it is reasonably policy of a new school in accordance with the regulations and in consultation

offered to provide educators to ensure that effective learning and teaching found for them for the school year 2005. Atthough the department be accommodated at the school and that alternative accommodation had to be dismissel, they would refer the matter to arbitration. The effect of the automatic arbitration. The school's attorneys also indicated that in the case of such a in the case of such a dismissal, the decision is likewise suspended pending dismissed. In terms of appeal procedures set out in the Norms and Standards appealed to the MEC against the departments directive and, after a final this directive may constitute grounds for disciplinary action. The school takes place at the school it also advised the school that failure to implement the department finally instructed the school to admit 40 learners to the school it again failing to persuade the school to admit learners for instruction in English, accommodate all the learners because it was full During December 2004, after previously volunteered to take some of the learners, but it could not distance of the school was another parallel medium primary school which medium school which the school steadlastly refused to do. Within walking to persuade the school to change its language policy to convert it into a parallel suspension of the department's decision meant that the 40 learners would not January 2005 notified the school that its appeal against the directive had been attempt which failed to change the decision of the school, the MEC on 19 by medium of Afrikaans. For a number of years the department had been trying school (except teaching in the learning areas English and Xhosa) takes place The language policy of Mikro Primary School provides that all teaching in the

³⁰⁰⁷

South African Schools Act 84 of 1996 s 12 – hereafter Schools Act Schools Act s 15-16 Schools Act s 5-6 GN 1701 in QC 18546 of 1997 – hereafter Norms and Standards 3/20

⁴ Par 5-8

⁴20

E BRAY

carned out their instructions regardless. The school then lodged an urgent chairperson contended that the instructions were unlawful but the officials learners and to ensure that the learners were admitted and registered $^{\rm 16}$ The governing body of the school that they were assisting the principal to admit the 2005 with 21 learners and their parents, instructing the chairperson of the admit the learners and have them taught in English be set aside court ordered, inter alia, that the directive by the department to the school to application for relief, which was eventually granted by the court a quo. The acknowledged this, departmental officials arrived on the morning of 19 January

between attorney and chent 17 report monthly thereafter in writing on the progress made. The court ordered or attempting to compet the school or its principal to admit learners for the MEC and department to bear the costs of the proceedings on a scale steps had been taken in this regard and, if the learners were not so placed was ordered to report to the school not later than 22 March 2005 as to what provided that this situation would not continue after 2005. The department also attend Mikro Primary School and receive instruction in the medium of English, reasonably practicable, and until they were so placed, they could continue to minor children at a suitable school(s) on a permanent basis as soon as may be interfering unlawfully. The MEC and department were ordered to place the 21 section 16 of the Schools Act, and prohibited and restrained them form the government and professional management of the school in contravention of declared the department officials' conduct to be an unlawful interference with provisions of the Schools Act and the Norms and Standards. The court instruction otherwise than in compliance with its language policy and applicable The MEC and the department were prohibited and restrained from compelling

Par 10-18 Par 17-18

5/20

PER 2007(1)

Status of a public school

functionary" in casu the court rejected this interpretation because the final or government control in the performance of its functions 19 However, this Posts, Telecommunications and Broadcasting 18 argued that the school was not (public) structure performing its functions in accordance with national national executive control, it constitutes an organ of state because it is a state Municipality held that although the Electoral Commission is not subject to performing a public function in terms of any legislation is an organ of state $^{\infty}$ Constitution now has a different and comprehensive definition of organ of state The court a quo relying on Directory Advertising Cost Cutters v Minister for state' therefore stands outside government and is not part of the governmental the national sphere of government. The Electoral Commission as an organ of legistation. This, however, does not mean that it falls within (or is an organ of) The Constitutional Court in Independent Electoral Commission v Langeberg institution performing a public function in terms of the Schools Act, for example This means that the public school (through its governing body) is clearly an which, inter alia, determines that any institution exercising a public power or Constitution which reads "organ of state includes any statutory body or interpretation was based on the definition of organ of state in the interior an organ of state because the legislature intended it to be independent of state

part of any sphere of government. The court, therefore, concurred with the In casu, the court concluded that like the Electoral Commission, the public control in so far as the performance of those functions is concerned, it is not court a quo and rejected the argument that the dispute with the appellants language and admission policy, is not subject to national or provincial executive school is an organ of state and, in relation to its functions of determining its

Directory Advertising Cost Cutters v Minister for Posts Telecommunications and Broadcasting 1996 3 SA 800 (T)

S 239(b)(i) Independent Electoral Commission v Lengeberg Municipality 2001 3 SA 925 (CC) Par 20

E BRAY

internal remedies, nor the provisions of the Norms and Standards 24 was the It also agreed with the count a quo stating that neither the provisions of the justify the respondents from factors considered by the court a quo constitute exceptional circumstances to correct option under the circumstances, stating that the cumulative effect of the Promotion of Administrative Justice Act 23 dealing with the duty to exhaust intergovernmental dispute as contemplated by section 41(3) of the Constitution regarding the language and admission policy determined by the school, is an

eny obligation they might otherwise have been under to exhaust their internal remedies. 25

In coming to this conclusion the court a quo reasoned that the respondents in itself constituted exceptional circumstances justifying the exemption referred were actually forced by appellants to launch the urgent application and this fact

The right to receive education in a language of choice

Constitution 27 the Schools Act and any provincial law, and that the governing education in the official language or language of their choice in a public terms of section 29(2) of the Constitution everyone has the right to receive body's language policy was therefore subordinate to the constitutional right of governing body to determine the school's language policy was subject to the learners referred to in the directive of December 2004, that the right of the that it was reasonably practicable to provide education in English to the 40 institution where such education is reasonably practicable. The MEC agued The court examined the contention by the MEC and the department that in

Promotion of Administrative Justice Act 3 of 2000 (hereafter PAJA) subs 7(2)(a) and (c) Sections V. D.& E. - referring to arbitration

22282 Par 24 Par 25 27 Eg s 6(2)

7/20

E BRAY

seem to be a guideline formulated by the Minister as to when the state would determine norms and standards for language policy in the public school language policy of a public school, because it only authorises the Minister to to determine the language or the admission policy of a public school. The constitutional right to receive education in English at the school 30 Except in the right to receive such education in a public school provided by the state if subject to it being reasonably practicable 29 The learners in question have a institutions. So the right exists to receive such education where reasonably in ensuring effective implementation of this right is by providing single medium the learners in question 28 The court's counter argument was that the right to a particular school that children who wish to be educated in that language are the Schools Act nor the Norms and Standards thus purport to provide that in language at a public educational institution to have been established. Neither consider the constitutional right to receive education in a particular official Furthermore, the quotas of learners prescribed in the Norms and Standards any provincial law, confer any power on the national Minister or the department case of a new school, neither the Norms and Standards nor the Schools Act or provide such education at Mikro Primary School, the leamers did not have a practicable but not to receive it at each and every educational institution educational atternatives available to it. One of the atternatives it must consider has to give the best possible effect to this right from vanous reasonable the department contended. The right is a right against the state, and the state educational institution where this was reasonably practicable, as the MEC and practicable does not mean that this right extends to each and every public the event of it being practicable to provide education in a particular language at school, nor does it authorises him/her to authorise any other person to do so $^{
m 31}$ Therefore, the Minister does not him/herself determine the policy of a particular Norms and Standards also do not provide a mechanism for the alteration of the reasonably practicable. However, even if it was reasonably practicable to receive education in the official language of choice where it is reasonably

8/20

E BRAY PER 2007(1)

language.³² automatically eligible for admission to that school for instruction in that

exercised in this regard would be subject to review 5 since such an unreasonably refused to change its language policy, the MEC and department contrary to any provision of the Constitution, the Schools Act, the Western school, was therefore unlawful. Even if the school's language and admission Schools Act, which means that any function of the governing body may be would have other remedies to deal with such a case. The administrative action reasonably practicable to educate the learners at such a school and the school Cape Provincial School Education Act³³ or the Norms and Standards.³⁴ If it was power to determine a language or admission policy for the school.36 and the department substituting a governmental admission policy for that of the a remedy to deal with such a case, they failed to do so. The action by the MEC withdrawn in terms of section 22^{37} Although the MEC and the department have withdrawal extends to functions allocated in both section 20 and 21 of the which the governing body has failed or ceased to perform.38 This power of The head of the department may withdraw on reasonable grounds a function unreasonable decision could be reviewed in terms of section 6(2)(h) of PAJA. The court held that the school's language policy and admission policy were not policy were invalid, the department did not in terms of the Schools Act have the

General observations

education in the language of choice and the legal status of the public school particularly in relation to the interpretation and application of the right to receive This judgment made a positive contribution to education law in South Africa,

Western Cape Provincial School Education Act 12 of 1997

22222222 PAJA, s 1 and 6.
Par 37, Schools Act s 22
Par 38.
Par 42-43.

9/20

E BRAY PER 2007(1)

general observations are made (and its governing body). In the light of the preceding discussion, the following

The right to receive education in a language of choice

administrators of justice to administer justice impartially and without fear, favour powers to implement this right and tasking the courts (judiciary) in their role as legislation to give effect to it, providing the public education administration with has undertaken to respect, protect, promote and fulfil, inter alia by promulgating to education is an entrenched and justiciable fundamental right which the state education in the language of choice at a public education institution. The right This case dealt with rights in education, specifically the right to receive prejudice when this right has been encroached upon unfairly and

instruction⁴¹ and the type of education.⁴² It thus cannot be interpreted and such as, equality in education, 40 the right to choose a language of choice for It is a complex cross-cutting right, but also a qualified right. It deals essentially importance. 45 The nature and scope of the right to education is also qualified by concerning the child, the bests interests of the child are of paramount scope and application of this right, particularly the provision that in all matters and this makes a reference to children's rights as important in determining the and religion. 43 Ultimately, the right to education concerns children in particular 44 applied in isolation, but is supported (and reinforced) by other fundamental a number of internal qualifiers (modifiers) embedded in it, for example: the right rights such as the right to equality and the right to freedom of language, culture with education, but also with matters relevant (and indispensable) to education,

Constitution, s 165.

⁸⁸⁴⁴⁴⁴⁸ Eg prohibition against racial discrimination and redress of past racial discrimination.

Eg an official language or other language of choice.

Eg public or private education.

Eg a 8, 15 and 30.

Is persons under the age of 18 years S 28(2).

EBRAY PER 2007(1)

aduit education does not encompass all education, but only basic education, including basic

required language could be regarded as reasonably practicable at a specific accommodation and facilities must be available before instruction in the referring to required numbers of learners for specific grades requiring such drafted by the national Minister of Education provide guidelines in this regard. learners, provided other requirements are in place 51 The Norms and Standards of choice, the state must consider all reasonable educational alternatives. In and equality of treatment in the education of a person 50 To ensure effective is also internationally recognised as giving effect to the right to cultural freedom the state must ensure effective access to and implementation of it *9 This right and is reinforced by the equality right and the other cultural freedoms 48 where that education is reasonably practicable. This is an independent right? education, and also indicate that properly qualified educators and appropriate their language of choice. This means that such schools could accommodate the admitted in double medium schools where one of the languages of instruction is South Africa, it is not reasonably practicable to provide such education at each access to and implementation of a learner's right to education in the language Education through mother-tongue instruction is, therefore, an upfront right and official language or languages of their choice in public educational institutions Section 29(2) provides that everyone has the right to receive education in the and every public educational institution, although most learners usually do get

\$8

11/20

EBRAY

cultural freedoms, 54 or, simply excluding learners because their standard of the full enjoyment of their human rights, especially the right to a language of English/Afrikaans is substandard 55 At this boils down to not addressing or promoting unfair discrimination⁵³ under the guise of protecting and promoting choice⁵⁶ in education redressing past racial equalities, denying learners the opportunity to achieve protecting and promoting a single cultural freedom above other freedoms. medium public institution this is to prevent such a school from, inter alia, of equality, dignity and human freedom, it is important to set these additional discriminatory laws and practices 52 in a multicultural democratic society in institutions on condition that other additional factors are taken into account, requirements where the only other reasonable educational option is a single which the state promotes a democratic education system based on the values such as equity, practicability and the need to redress past racially effect to and implement this right, include a consideration of single medium The reasonable educational alternatives that the state must consider in giving

reasonably practicable (alternative) option, \S^7 the courts will have to determine single medium public school (for example an Afrikaans medium public school), in each case of atleged unfair discrimination whether such alleged unfair realised in practice. However, there is no right to receive such an education at a school is a fundamental right and the state must ensure that this right is have to factors prescribed in section 36 of the Bill of Rights 50 In casu the court did not discrimination is in fact unfair or "fair" discrimination, taking into account the Primary School) Nevertheless, once a single medium school proves to be a and neither at a specific single medium public school (for example Mikro In sum, the right to freedom of choice in the language of instruction in a public apply the limitation clause because the case presented by Mikro

⁸⁷³

Carpenter 1905 SAPR/PL 260-263 le anupled to it ear upiror registrat de la upiror explaint de la upiror ex

<u>5</u> Malherbe 1997 TSMR 95-98, Le6emberg Interpreting Socio-economic Rights 33 17-25 UN Convention on the Rights of the Child 1991 a 26 and 29. African (Bargul) Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights 1981 a 17. Begins of the school must be able to provide proper place for the additional teamers – not be overcrowded – and there must be properly qualified educators and proper facilities to accommodate them.

³⁸³²⁸⁸

⁸

Constitution is 28(2)
Egint on the basis of race, colour or sex
Egint on the basis of race, colour or sex
See Malukane v. Leerstool Polypelestrus 1996 3 SA 223(T)
Egint applications to previously C Model English/Afrikaans medium schools
Egint medium content of single medium schools
Egitating into account the guidelines in the Norms and Standards and other factors
memboned in the content of single medium schools
See Harksen v Lane 1997 11 BCLR 1499 (CC)

EBRAY PER 2007(1)

counting against the state⁶⁰ was so strong that the question regarding unfair to properly fulfil its obligations in terms of education rights. 61 and therefore was not considered by the court. Sadly, though, this is just discrimination suffered here by the learners in question did not feature strongly any case not have served their best interests. So Consequently, the factor another example and reminder of the taxdiness (and incompetence) of the state Primary School was decisive and keeping the learners in the school would in

The legal status of a public school in education in South Africa

sphere of government is in terms of the Constitution in charge of school education legislation that applies nationally $^{\rm cc}$ and consequently deals with of government. The national government is, for example, responsible for powers⁹⁵ the national and provincial governments must work in a spirit of trust overall national standards. 64 In this relationship of co-operation and sharing of schools with the provincial government administering schools in the province education and all public schools therefore are regarded as provincial public national norms, standards and qualifications for education. 83 The provincial functional area shared (i.e. concurrently) by the national and provincial spheres must be built to provide better education services to the public and conflict mus and partnership and as 'equal' partners: resources must be shared, capacity according to the needs and priorities of the particular province, but subject to terms of the constitutional imperatives of power-sharing, education is a

E BRAY

constitutional prescriptions, the government spheres responsible for school governance (and management) of public schools. 69 persons and in charge of their own school governance, 67 In this spirit, an be avoided and resolved in the prescribed manner. 68 In education⁶⁸ through the practising of democratic values and norms in the democratic school education and, in essence, involve all stakeholders in undertake to fulfil their respective powers and obligations for the promotion of casu provincial government), with the understanding that both parties education partnership has been initiated between schools and the state (in autonomous self-governing powers - all individual public schools are juristic decentralised school education and vested provincial public schools with developing and democratic South African nation. To this effect, government has and uniform school education system in line with the needs of a newly education have to transform the education system and develop a democratic line with the

a language policy and admission policy for the school.70 These documents is to capacitate by means of statutory provisions the public school (a juristic provincial school education legislation.74 must be in line with relevant constitutional provisions and other national and code of conduct for the learners as well as specific policy documents, including person) via its functionary (the representative governing body) to, inter alia One of the important empowerment initiatives in this democratisation process adopt certain legal documents for the schoot, for example, a constitution, the

administrative actions in the day-to-day management and governance of the functions in terms of legistation, 72 In this public context they perform typical functionaries/institutions exercising public powers and performing public All public schools are also 'organs of state' in the sense that they are

^{59 &}quot;Beat interests" used here in the sense of its paramount importance, but not overniding, unfurtied importance – per 48. See Fredman and Partiazis Childran's Rogins 33-35.

50 Vz regisching to provide festilities to realise this fundamental right to education in a language of choice at a public education institution where reasonably practicable.

61 See Minister of Education v Harris 2001 11 BEUR 1157 (CC) on exhod-poing age and exhibition where reasonably practicable.

62 See Minister of Education v Harris 2001 11 BEUR 1157 (CC) on exhod-poing age and exhibition, where the exhibition is considered by Camelley 2005 SAPAPAT 128-153, and its slow pace in exhibition, step subject, discussed by Camelley 2005 SAPAPAT 128-153, and its slow pace in exhibition processed by Camelley 2005 SAPAPAT 128-154, and its slow pace in exhibition 1988 1 SA 765 (CC), in general (see Larbi-Odam v MEC for Education (five Zulu-Male) 1988 1 SA 765 (CC), in educational institutions at all levels of education throughout the country.

82 Teg the Markonal Education Policy Act 27 of 1990; the Schools Act.

83 Eg the Markonal Education Policy Act 27 of 1990; the Schools Act.

³²²²

²²²⁸⁸⁸⁸⁸

Bray 2002 JCRDL 520-523
Schools Act, s 15-16
le perents, educators, learners and the government
Schools Act, preamble, s 23 and 28.
Schools Act, preamble, s 23 and 28.
Schools Act, Norms and Standards, and Western Cape School Education Act
Eg Schools Act, Norms and Standards, and Western Cape School Education Act
Constitution, s 239(b)(ii): Moumbe 2005 SAPRAPL 22-24.

E BRAY

prescriptions.78 To fulfil its 'public domain' education functions properly, the democratic values and principles governing the public administration, 77 which governing the school (as an 'organ of state') It must adhere to the basic institution and without undue influence by the government. However, in governing body governs the school as an autonomous (self-governing) subject to, and must ultimately comply with relevant constitutional school?3 and their 'domestic' laws⁷⁴ and the conduct of their functionaries⁷⁵ are include principles such as transparency, representivity and accountability.

court a quo that the governing body (which should read the school - the legal It is trite that the public school, as an organ of state, does not form part of the public functionaries always will be subject to the Constitution?8 from which their but that the public school exercises public powers and performs public spheres of government or organs of government working within these spheres and also failed to reflect it in its final judgment on this point. reasoning by the count a quo was incorrect, if did not substantiate its stance powers and functions originate. Although the court in casu admitted that this determining its language and admission policy, is stretching it too far: these person) is acting free of national and provincial executive control when functions in the broader public education domain. However, the stance by the

school and all its learners. 79 The school remains subject to overall control by to it in terms of such legislation. These powers and functions are exercised by public education system. Its governing body is vested with statutory the national education government in that it has to comply with national norms the governing body in the name of the school and in the best interests of the powers/functions and may only exercise those powers and functions attocated In terms of legislation, the public school functions as a juristic person within the

15/20

EBRAY PER 2007(1)

of powers, and in charge of its own governance as statutorily provided for. autonomous (self-governing) entity, in line with the principle of decentralisation governance matters, the public school can at best be described as provincial norms and standards of a specific province. 41 With regard to school and standards that are binding on all public schools in the country. 80 as well as

in the context of school governance. It is a pity that the court did not rectify and perform) a public education function in the South African public education failing to exercise) a public education power and performing (or failing to the Constitution, without examining the legal consequences of exercising (or address this point, it simply exptained the concept 'organ of state' in terms of also represent government interest (professional education and management) fact, the principal and educators serving on the governing body (as governors) performance of its functions - be it governance or management functions. In does not function independently of state or government control in the an intergovernmental dispute. Mikro Primary School (via its governing body) and that the dispute between the school and the MEC (and department) is not fact that the term 'organ of state' has been extended in terms of the Constitution Constitution regarding the rendering of public services. Therefore, despite the Constitution, primarily to ensure that they comply with the provisions of the spheres of government, are also bound by the provisions of section 195 of the As mentioned before, all 'organs of state' functioning within or outside the

Schools Act. Nevertheless, to what extent the government would use its overall its own language and admission policy. The MEC and department had other uncertain. One way of achieving this is to change legislation - and the latest powers of controt to bring these public schools back into its 'controlling' fold is legal ways to deal with a recalcitrant governing body, as determined by the department had the power to usurp the power of Mikro Primary School to adopt Finally, the crucial issue of this case is the fact that neither the MEC nor the

²²²²²²

PAJA, s 1
le constitution, policy documents and code of conduct for learners
Eg educations and governing body
Constitution, eg s 2, 8 and 237.
Constitution, s 195(1) and (2)
Eg s 195, 33 and 39 the interpretation clause
Schoods Act, s 16, 16A and 20

4 6 70

²⁸

Eg the Schools Act Eg the Western Cape Provincial School Education Act

E BRAY PER 2007(1)

amendments to school legislation provides manifest proof of this authoritative (possibly authoritanan) trand where, slowly but surely, government is chipping away at the autonomous self-governing powers and functions of public schools ⁸². This surely encroaches upon the legal personality of a public school and, ultimately negates the spirit and purport of constitutional transformation, democratic education and participation of all stakeholders in education in South Africa.

62. Eg in the Schools Act (s.20) where the governing body's power to recommend and appoint educators has been restricted general media statements by the muristry proposing a stronger position for the principal on the governing body and the Educatori Law Amendment Act 24 of 2005 which is causing confusion and alarm about the role of the governing body and head of department in learner suspensions and exputsions.

E BRAY PER 2007(1)

Bibliography

Boshoff and Morkel Education Law and Policy Handbook

Boshoff E and Morkel P Juta's Education Law and Policy Handbook 3rd rev

(Juta Cape Town 2002)

Bray 2002 JCRDL 514-531

Bray E "The constitutional concept of co-operative government and its application in education" 2002 Journal of Contemporary Roman-Dutch Law 514-531

Bray Human Rights in Education

Bray W Human Rights in Education 2nd ed (CELP Pretona 2004)

Carnelley 2005 SAPR/PL 128-153

Camelley M "The decision-making power of the head of the Department of Education to expel learners" 2005 South African Publick Reg / Public Law 128-153

Carpenter 1995 SAPR/PL 260-282

Carpenter C "Internal modifiers and other qualifications in bills of rights.

Some problems of interpretation" 1995 South African Publick Reg / Public Law 260-282

De Waal and Curne Bill of Rights Handbook

De Waal J and Curne I The Bit of Rights Handbook (Juta Lansdowne 2005) 636

Friedman and Pantazis Children's Rights

Friedman A and Parilazis A "Children's Rights" in Chaskalson M et al Constitutional Law in South Africa 2nd ed (Jula Lansdowne 2004) 47 1

E BIRAY PER 2007(1)

Liebenberg Interpreting Socio-economic Rights

Constitutional Law in South Africa 2nd ed (Jula Lansdowne 2004) 33 2 Liebenberg S "Interpreting Socio-economic Rights" in Chaskalson M et al.

Malherbe 1997 TSAR 85-99

education clause in the South African Bill of Rights" 1997 TSAR 85-99 Matherba EFJ "Reflections on the background and contents of the

Mailherbe Education clause in the South African Bill of Rights

Matherbe EFJ "The education clause in the South African Bill of Rights Rights in South African Education (Acco Leuven 1997) 53-67 Background and contents" in De Groof J and Malherbe EFJ (eds) Human

Malherbe Perspectives in Education 9-28

in education" 2004 Perspectives in Education 9-28 Malherba R 'The constitutional framework for pursuing equal opportunities

Mdumbe 2005 SAPR/PL 1-28

Constitution" 2005 South African Publish Reg / Public Law 1-28 Mdumbe F "The meaning of 'organ of state' in the South African

Constitution of the Republic of South Africa 1996 Register of legislation

Government Gazette no 18546 of 19 December 1997 Education Law Amendment Act 24 of 2005

National Education Policy Act 27 of 1996

Promotion of Administrative Justice Act 3 of 2000

South African Schools Act 84 of 1996

Western Cape Provincial School Education Act 12 of 1997

Register of count cases

Directory Advertising Cost Cutters v Minister for Posts, Telecommunications and Broadcasting 1996 3 SA 800 (T)

Governing Body of Mikro Primery School v Western Cape Minister of Education

PER 2007(1)

E BRAY

Gradboom v Oostenberg Municipality and Others 2000 3 BCLR 277 (C)

2005 2 All SA 37 (C)

Harksen v Lane NO 1997 11 BCLR 1489 (CC)

Independent Electoral Commission v Langeberg Municipality 2001 3 SA 925

Larbi-Odam v MEC for Education (North-West Province) 1996 12 BCLR 1612

Matukane v Laerskool Potgretersrus 1996 3 SA 223 (T)

Mentzburg Callege v Diemini NO Unreported 27 May 2003 Case Number

finister of Education v Harns 2001 11 BCLR 1157 (CC)

Soobramoney v Maruster of Health (Kwa Zulu-Natal) 1998 1 SA 765 (CC)

Charters and conventions

United Nations Conversion on the Rights of the Child 1991 African (Banjul) Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights 1981

List of abbreviations

- antde(s)
- chapter(s)
- M C Member of the Executive Council
- paragraph(s)
- section(s)
- 충 schedule(s)
- subs subsection(s)
- PAJA Promotion of Administrative Justice Act
- United Nations