

# **Tutorial letter 201/1/2018**

## **Statistical Inference III STA3702**

**Semester 1**

**Department of Statistics**

**SOLUTIONS TO ASSIGNMENT 01,  
SOLUTIONS TO ASSIGNMENT 02,  
TRIAL PAPER AND SOLUTIONS**

## SOLUTIONS TO ASSIGNMENT 01

### Question 1

[13]

(a) (i)  $E(X) = \theta p$  and  $E(X^2) = Var(X) + [E(X)]^2 = \theta p(1-p) + \theta^2 p^2$ . (1+2=3)

(b)  $\bar{X}$  and  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  correspond to  $E(X)$  and  $E(X^2)$ , respectively. (1+1=2)

(c)  $\bar{X} = \tilde{\theta} \tilde{p}$  and  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 = \tilde{\theta} \tilde{p}(1-\tilde{p}) + \tilde{\theta}^2 \tilde{p}^2$  where  $\tilde{\theta}$  and  $\tilde{p}$  are method of moments estimators of  $\theta$  and  $p$ , respectively. (1+1=2)

(d)  $\tilde{p} = \frac{\bar{X}}{\tilde{\theta}} \implies \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 = \tilde{\theta} \frac{\bar{X}}{\tilde{\theta}} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{X}}{\tilde{\theta}}\right) + \tilde{\theta}^2 \frac{\bar{X}^2}{\tilde{\theta}^2} = \bar{X} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{X}}{\tilde{\theta}}\right) + \bar{X}^2 = \bar{X} - \frac{\bar{X}^2}{\tilde{\theta}} + \bar{X}^2 \implies$   
 $\frac{\bar{X}^2}{\tilde{\theta}} = \bar{X} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 + \bar{X}^2 \implies \tilde{\theta} = \frac{\bar{X}^2}{\bar{X} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 + \bar{X}^2}$ . (6)

### Question 2

[22]

(a) (4)

(i) The likelihood function of  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu, \lambda | \mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \mu, \lambda) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\pi x_i^3} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{x_i}}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_i}} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= (\lambda/2\pi)^{n/2} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-3/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\sqrt{x_i}}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_i}} \right)^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(2)

(ii) The log likelihood function of  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  is

$$\begin{aligned} l(\mu, \lambda) &= \ln L(\mu, \lambda | \mathbf{x}) \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \ln \lambda - \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i - \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\sqrt{x_i}}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_i}} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

(2)

(b) (16)

Differentiating  $l(\mu, \lambda)$  with respect to  $\mu$  and equating the derivative to zero obtains:

$$0 = l'(\hat{\mu}, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{\hat{\mu}^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\sqrt{X_i}}{\hat{\mu}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{X_i}} \right) \sqrt{X_i} = \frac{\lambda}{\hat{\mu}^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{X_i}{\hat{\mu}} - 1 \right)$$

where  $\hat{\mu}$  is the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\mu$ . The solution for  $\hat{\mu}$  in the equation is  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$ .

(8)

Differentiating  $l(\hat{\mu}, \lambda)$  with respect to  $\lambda$  and equating the derivative to zero obtains:

$$0 = l'(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\lambda}) = \frac{n}{2\hat{\lambda}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\sqrt{X_i}}{\hat{\mu}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{X_i}} \right)^2$$

where  $\hat{\lambda}$  is the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\lambda$ . The solution for  $\hat{\lambda}$  in the equation is 
$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\sqrt{X_i}}{\bar{X}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{X_i}} \right)^2}.$$
 (8)(c) By the invariance property of maximum likelihood estimators, the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  is

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\sqrt{X_i}}{\bar{X}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{X_i}} \right)^2.$$

(2)

## Question 3

[20]

(a) (6)

(i) From given,  $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2 \implies n-1 = E\left(\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}\right) = \frac{(n-1)}{\sigma^2} E(S^2) \implies E(S^2) = \sigma^2.$  (3)(ii) From given,  $\frac{n\bar{S}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2 \implies n-1 = E\left(\frac{n\bar{S}^2}{\sigma^2}\right) = \frac{n}{\sigma^2} E(\bar{S}^2) \implies E(\bar{S}^2) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 \neq \sigma^2.$  (3)

(b) (8)

(i) From given,  $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2 \implies 2(n-1) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}\right) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{\sigma^4} \text{Var}(S^2) \implies$   
 $\text{Var}(S^2) = \frac{2}{n-1}\sigma^4.$  (4)

(ii) From given,  $\frac{n\bar{S}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2 \implies 2(n-1) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{n\bar{S}^2}{\sigma^2}\right) = \frac{n^2}{\sigma^4} \text{Var}(\bar{S}^2) \implies \text{Var}(\bar{S}^2) =$   
 $\frac{2(n-1)}{n^2}\sigma^4.$  (4)

(c) (6)

That  $E(S^2) = \sigma^2$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(S^2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} = 0$  implies that  $S^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\sigma^2$ . (2)

That  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\bar{S}^2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2 = \sigma^2,$  (2)

and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(\bar{S}^2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2(n-1)\sigma^4}{n^2} = 0$  implies that  $\bar{S}^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\sigma^2$ . (2)

**Question 4**

**[35]**

(a) (16)

(i) The likelihood function of  $\theta$  is

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) = \theta^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^\theta \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{-1}$$

and the log likelihood function of  $\theta$  is

$$l(\theta) = \ln L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = n \ln \theta + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i - \ln \prod_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

Now

$$l'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i \text{ and } l''(\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2} \implies \mathbf{I}(\theta) = -l''(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta^2}.$$

**(8)**

(ii) The *MLE* of  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta}$  which solves the equation

$$0 = l'(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i.$$

$$\text{The solution is } \hat{\theta} = \frac{-n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i}.$$

$$\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{x}) = -l''(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}^2}.$$

(5)

(iii) The Cramer-Rao lower bound is  $\left[ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 / \mathbf{I}(\theta) = \frac{\theta^2}{n}$ .

(3)

(b)

(7)

From part (a)(i) above:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1} \theta^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^\theta \\ &= m_1(\mathbf{x}) \times m_2 \left( \theta, \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_1(\mathbf{x}) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1}$  and  $m_2(\theta, \prod_{i=1}^n x_i) = \theta^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^\theta$ . Hence  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  by the factorisation theorem. (3)

Now let  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_n$  be another random sample from the same distribution. Then

$$\frac{L(\theta|\mathbf{x})}{L(\theta|\mathbf{y})} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{y_i} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i}{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i} \right)^\theta$$

is independent of  $\theta$  if  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i / \prod_{i=1}^n y_i = 1$  equivalently if  $\prod_{i=1}^n y_i = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i$ . This means that  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . (4)

(c)

(12)

The maximum likelihood estimate of  $\hat{\theta}$  is  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{-10}{\sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i} = \frac{10}{-14.6230} = 0.6839$ . (4)

The standard error of  $\hat{\theta}$  is  $se(\hat{\theta}) = \sqrt{1/I(\mathbf{x})} = \sqrt{\hat{\theta}^2/10} = 0.2163$ . (4)

The 95% confidence interval of  $\theta$  is  $.6839 \pm 1.96 \times .2163 = [0.2700; 1.1077]$ . (4)

**TOTAL: [90]**

**SOLUTIONS TO ASSIGNMENT 02**

**Question 1**

**[30]**

**(a)**

**(5)**

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|\theta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2\theta}\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2\theta} - \ln\sqrt{2\pi} - \ln\sqrt{\theta}\right\} \\ &= \exp\{p(\theta)K(x) + S(x) + q(\theta)\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $p(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\theta}$ ,  $K(x) = -x^2$ ,  $S(x) = -\ln\sqrt{2\pi}$  and  $q(\theta) = -\ln\sqrt{\theta}$ .

**(b)**

**(3)**

$-\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  is the complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  - a property of the members of the exponential family of distributions.

**(c)**

**(8)**

$$p'(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2\theta^2}, \quad p''(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^3}, \quad q'(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2\theta}, \quad q''(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\theta^2}.$$

**(2)**

$$-E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right] = -n \frac{q'(\theta)}{p'(\theta)} = -n \frac{-1/(2\theta)}{-1/(2\theta^2)} = -n\theta.$$

**(2)**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\left[-\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right] &= \frac{n}{[p'(\theta)]^3} \{p''(\theta)q'(\theta) - q''(\theta)p'(\theta)\} \\ &= \frac{n}{[-1/(2\theta^2)]^3} \left\{(1/\theta)^3 \times (-1/(2\theta)) - (1/(2\theta^2)) \times (-1/(2\theta^2))\right\} \\ &= \frac{n}{[-1/(2\theta^2)]^3} \left\{-\frac{1}{2\theta^4} + \frac{1}{4\theta^4}\right\} = 2n\theta^2 \end{aligned}$$

**(4)**

**(d)** **(9)**

The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) = (2\pi)^{-n/\theta^{-n/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right\}$$

and the log likelihood function is

$$l(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln \theta - \frac{1}{2\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2. \tag{4}$$

Now,

$$l'(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2\theta} + 12\theta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \text{ and } l''(\theta) = \frac{n}{2\theta^2} - \frac{1}{\theta^3} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2. \tag{2}$$

The Fisher information about  $\theta$  in the sample is

$$I(\theta) = -E[l''(\theta)] = -\frac{n}{2\theta^2} + \frac{1}{\theta^3} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right) = -\frac{n}{2\theta^2} + \frac{n\theta}{\theta^3} = \frac{n}{2\theta^2}. \tag{2}$$

Hence the required Cramer-Rao lower bound is  $\frac{1}{I(\theta)} = \frac{2\theta^2}{n}$ . **(1)**

**(e)** **(5)**

From part (c) above,  $E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right] = \theta$ . Therefore,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  is a minimum variance unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  because it is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  and a function of a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . **(3+2=5)**

**Question 2** **[25]**

**(a)** **(5)**

The probability density function of  $Y$  is

$$\begin{aligned} g(y|\theta) &= \frac{\partial G(y|\theta)}{\partial y} = n[1 - F(y|\theta)]^{n-1} f(y|\theta) \\ &= \begin{cases} n \exp\{-(n-1)(y-\theta)\} \times \exp\{-(y-\theta)\} = n \exp\{-n(y-\theta)\} & \text{if } \theta < y < \infty, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**(b)**

**(6)**

The moment generating function of  $Y$  is

$$\begin{aligned}M_y(t) &= \int_{\theta}^{\infty} n \exp\{ty\} \exp\{-n(y - \theta)\} dy \\&= \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n-t} (n-t) \exp\{-(n-t)(y - \theta) + t\theta\} dy \\&= \frac{n}{n-t} \exp\{t\theta\} \int_{\theta}^{\infty} (n-t) \exp\{-(n-t)(y - \theta)\} dy = \frac{n}{n-t} \exp\{t\theta\}\end{aligned}$$

**(4)**

$$E[Y] = \left. \frac{\partial \ln M_y(t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = -\frac{1}{n} + \theta.$$

**(2)**

**(c)**

**(5)**

From part (b) above,  $E\left[Y + \frac{1}{n}\right] = \theta$ . Therefore,  $Y + \frac{1}{n}$  is a minimum variance unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  because it is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  and a function of a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . (3+2=5)

**(d)**

**(5)**

$$0.95 = P(\theta < Y < c) = \exp\{-n(c - \theta)\} \implies -n(c - \theta) = \ln(0.95) \implies c = \theta + \frac{0.05129}{n}.$$

**(e)**

**(4)**

From part (d)

$$\begin{aligned}\theta < Y < \theta + \frac{0.05129}{n} &\implies 0 < Y - \theta < \frac{0.05129}{n} \\&\implies -\frac{0.05129}{n} < \theta - Y < 0 \\&\implies Y - \frac{0.05129}{n} < \theta < Y\end{aligned}$$

is the 95% confidence interval for  $\theta$ .



**Question 3****[15]****(a)****(13)**

The likelihood function of  $\theta$  is:  $L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi\theta)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right\}$ . (2)

Hence for any  $\theta > 1$ ,  $r(1, \theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L(1|\mathbf{x})}{L(\theta|\mathbf{x})} = \theta^{n/2} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\theta-1}{2\theta}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right\}$ . (3)

According to the Neyman-Pearson theorem, the best test of the hypotheses rejects  $H_0$  if

$$\begin{aligned} r(1, \theta|\mathbf{x}) &= \theta^{n/2} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\theta-1}{2\theta}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right\} \leq k \in (0, 1] \\ &\equiv \text{if } \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\theta-1}{2\theta}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right\} \leq \theta^{-n/2} k = k^* \\ &\equiv \text{if } -\left(\frac{\theta-1}{2\theta}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \leq \ln k^* \\ &\equiv \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \geq -\left(\frac{2\theta}{\theta-1}\right) \ln k^* = c \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  solves the probability equation  $0.05 = P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\theta} \geq c | \theta = 1\right)$ . (8)

**(b)**  $c = 24.996$ .**(2)****Question 4****[25]****(a)****(13)**

The likelihood function of  $\theta$  is:  $L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{-10\theta} \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{10} x_i!}$ . (2)

Hence,  $r(0.1, 0.5|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L(0.1|\mathbf{x})}{L(0.5|\mathbf{x})} = e^{(-1+5)} \left(\frac{0.1}{0.5}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i} = e^4 (0.2)^{\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i}$ . (3)

According to the Neyman-Pearson theorem, the best test of the hypotheses rejects  $H_0$  if

$$\begin{aligned} r(0.1, 0.5|\mathbf{x}) &= e^4(0.2)^{\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i} \leq k \in (0, 1] \\ &\equiv \text{if } (0.2)^{\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i} \leq e^{-4}k = k^* \\ &\equiv \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i \geq \ln k^* / \ln 0.2 = c \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  solves the probability equation  $\alpha = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i \geq c | \theta = 0.1\right)$ . (8)

**(b)** (12)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \alpha &= P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i \geq 3 | \theta = 0.1\right) = 1 - P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i \leq 2 | \theta = 0.1\right) \\ &= 1 - (0.3679 + 0.3679 + 0.1839) = 0.0803. \end{aligned} \quad \text{(6)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad \text{The probability of the type II error is } \beta &= P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i \leq 2 | \theta = 0.5\right) \\ &= 0.006747 + 0.03369 + 0.08422 = 0.12465. \end{aligned} \quad \text{(5)}$$

Hence the power of the test is  $1 - \beta = 0.8753$ . (1)

**TOTAL: [95]**

# 1 Trial Examination Paper

## INSTRUCTIONS

1. Answer all questions.
2. Show intermediate steps.

<b>Abbreviations</b>	
<i>MLE</i>	Maximum Likelihood Estimator
<i>MSE</i>	Mean Square Error
<i>pdf</i>	probability density function
<i>cdf</i>	cumulative distribution function
<i>MVUE</i>	Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator
<i>MME</i>	Method of Moments Estimator
<i>CRLB</i>	Cramer Rao Lower Bound
<i>pmf</i>	probability mass function
<i>mgf</i>	moment generating function
<i>re</i>	relative efficiency

### Question 1

[33]

A distribution belongs to the regular 1-parameter exponential family if among other regularity conditions its *pdf* or *pmf* has the form:

$$f(y|\theta) = a(\theta)g(y) \exp\{b(\theta)r(y)\}, \quad y \in \mathcal{X} \subset (-\infty, \infty) \text{ and } \theta \in \Theta \subset (-\infty, \infty)$$

where  $g(y) > 0$ ,  $r(y)$  is a function of  $y$  which does not depend on  $\theta$ , and  $a(\theta) > 0$  and  $b(\theta) \neq 0$  are real valued functions of  $\theta$ . Furthermore, for this distribution (assuming the first and second derivatives of  $a(\theta)$  and  $b(\theta)$  exist)

$$E[r(Y)] = -\frac{a'(\theta)}{a(\theta)b'(\theta)}.$$

Suppose that  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  is a random sample from this distribution.

(a) Show that  $f(y|\theta)$  can also be expressed as:

$$f(y|\theta) = g(y) \exp\{b(\theta)r(y) - q(\theta)\}, \quad y \in \mathcal{X} \subset (-\infty, \infty) \text{ and } \theta \in \Theta \subset (-\infty, \infty).$$

(2)

(b) Prove that  $\sum_{i=1}^n r(Y_i)$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

(5)

(c) Justify that  $\sum_{i=1}^n r(Y_i)$  is also a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

(1)

(d) Write down a function of the complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  that is a minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE) of  $E[r(Y)]$ . **Justify your answer.**

(3)

(e) Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  is random sample from a distribution with pdf :

$$f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta}{(1+x)^{1+\theta}} & \text{if } x > 0 \text{ and } \theta > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(i) Show that  $f(x|\theta)$  belongs to the regular 1-parameter exponential family by showing that the pdf can be expressed as:

$$f(x|\theta) = a(\theta)g(x) \exp\{b(\theta)r(x)\}, \quad x > 0 \text{ and } \theta > 0.$$

(5)

(ii) What is the mean of the complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ ? **Justify your answer.**

(6)

(iv) What is the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of  $\theta$ ? **Justify your answer.**

(6)

(v) What is the method of moments estimator (MME) of  $\theta$ ? **Justify your answer.**

(2)

(vi) What is the minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE) of  $\frac{1}{\theta}$ ? **Justify your answer.**

(3)

**Question 2****[30]**

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a distribution with *pdf* :

$$f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} & \text{if } 0 < x \leq \theta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta > 0$ . Let  $Y_n = \max\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ . The probability density function of  $Y_n$  is

$$g(y|\theta) = \begin{cases} ny^{n-1}\theta^{-n} & \text{if } 0 < y \leq \theta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose that the ten independent observations,

23, 23, 24, 23, 23, 23, 24, 22, 24, 25,

are from a population with probability density function  $f(x|\theta)$ .

- (a) What **are** the method of moments **estimator** and **estimate** of  $\theta$ ? **(4)**
- (b) What **are** the maximum likelihood **estimator** and **estimate** of  $\theta$ ? **(8)**
- (c) Find the mean and the variance, in terms of  $\theta$  and  $n$ , of the maximum likelihood **estimator** of  $\theta$ . Is the estimator consistent? **(10)**
- (d) What is an estimate of the standard error of the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$ ? **(3)**
- (e) Find an approximate 95% confidence interval for  $\theta$ . **(5)**

**Question 3****[18]**

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the distribution with *pdf* :

$$f(x|\theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2^{\theta_1}} x^{\theta_1-1} & \text{if } 0 < x \leq \theta_2 \text{ and } \theta_1 > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(a) Find the likelihood function and the log-likelihood function of  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ . (6)

(b) Show that the respective maximum likelihood estimators (*MLE's*) of  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{n}{n \ln X_{(n)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i} \text{ and } \hat{\theta}_2 = X_{(n)} = \max\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\},$$

respectively. **Hint: First find the *MLE* of  $\theta_2$  and then that of  $\theta_1$ .** (12)

#### Question 4

[19]

A random variable  $X$  has probability density function

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{\exp\{x - \theta\}}{(1 + \exp\{x - \theta\})^2}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty \text{ and } -\infty < \theta < \infty,$$

and **cumulative** distribution function

$$F(x|\theta) = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \exp\{x - \theta\}}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty \text{ and } -\infty < \theta < \infty.$$

It is desired to test the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \theta = 0$  against the alternative  $H_1 : \theta = 1$  using one random observation  $X$ .

(a) Calculate the level of significance and the power of the test which rejects  $H_0$  if  $X > 1$ . (12)

(b) Consider the test which rejects  $H_0$  if  $X_1 \geq c$ . Find  $c$  for which the level of significance of the test is 0.1. (7)

**TOTAL [100]**

## 2 Trial Examination Solutions

### Question 1

[Total marks=30]

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} f(y|\theta) &= a(\theta)g(y) \exp\{b(\theta)R(y)\} \\ &= g(y) \exp\{b(\theta)r(y) - \ln[a(\theta)]\} \\ &= g(y) \exp\{b(\theta)r(y) - q(\theta)\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $q(\theta) = -\ln[a(\theta)]$ .

(2)

(b) The likelihood function of  $\theta$  is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta|y) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i|\theta) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n g(y_i) \right) \exp \left\{ b(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n r(y_i) - nq(\theta) \right\} \\ &= m_1(y) \times m_2 \left( \theta, \sum_{i=1}^n r(y_i) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_1(y) = \prod_{i=1}^n g(y_i)$  and  $m_2 \left( \theta, \sum_{i=1}^n r(y_i) \right) = \exp \left\{ b(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n r(y_i) - nq(\theta) \right\}$ . This means  $\sum_{i=1}^n r(Y_i)$  is sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  by the factorisation theorem.

Now let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be another random sample from the same distribution. Then

$$\frac{L(\theta|y)}{L(\theta|x)} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{g(y_i)}{g(x_i)} \exp \left\{ b(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n (r(y_i) - r(x_i)) \right\}$$

is independent of  $\theta$  if  $\sum_{i=1}^n (r(y_i) - r(x_i)) = 0$  equivalently if  $\sum_{i=1}^n r(y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n r(x_i)$ . This means that  $\sum_{i=1}^n r(Y_i)$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

(5)

(c) It is because  $f(y|\theta)$  belongs to the 1-parameter exponential family. (1)

(d)  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r(Y_i)$  because it is a function of the complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  and

$$E \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r(Y_i) \right] = E[r(Y)]. \quad (3)$$

(e) (i)

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|\theta) &= \frac{\theta}{(1+x)^{1+\theta}} \\ &= \theta (1+x)^{-1} (1+x)^{-\theta} \\ &= \theta (1+x)^{-1} \exp\{-\theta \ln(1+x)\} \\ &= a(\theta) g(x) \exp\{b(\theta) r(x)\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $a(\theta) = \theta$ ;  $g(x) = (1+x)^{-1}$ ;  $b(\theta) = \theta$ ; and  $r(x) = -\ln(1+x)$ . (5)

(ii) The complete sufficient statistic is  $\sum_{i=1}^n r(X_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+X_i)$  since  $f(x|\theta)$  belongs to the 1-parameter exponential family.  $E[r(X)] = -\frac{a'(\theta)}{a(\theta)b'(\theta)} = \frac{1}{\theta \times 1} = \frac{1}{\theta} \Rightarrow$

$$E \left[ -\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+X_i) \right] = -\frac{n}{\theta}. \quad (6)$$

(iii) The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) = \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^{-\theta}$$

and the log-likelihood function is

$$l(\theta) = n \ln \theta + \ln \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^{-1} \right] - \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+x_i).$$



The *MLE* of  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta}$  which solves the equation

$$0 = l'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + x_i).$$

The solution is  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + X_i)}$ . (6)

(iv)  $E[\ln(1 + X)] = \frac{1}{\theta} \implies \theta = \frac{1}{E[\ln(1 + X)]} \implies$  the *MME* of  $\theta$  is  $\tilde{\theta} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + X_i)}$ . (2)

(v) The minimum variance unbiased estimator (*MVUE*) of  $\frac{1}{\theta}$  is  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + X_i)$  because the estimator is a function of a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  and

$$E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + X_i)\right] = \frac{1}{\theta}. \quad (3)$$

## Question 2

[Total marks=30]

(a)  $E(X) = \int_0^\theta x/\theta dx \left[ \frac{x^2}{2\theta} \right]_0^\theta = \frac{\theta}{2} \implies \theta = 2E[X]$ . This means the method of moments estimate (*MME*) of  $\theta$  is  $\tilde{\theta} = 2\bar{x} = 2 \times 23.2 = 46.4$ . (4)

(b) The likelihood function of  $\theta$

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \theta^{-n} & \text{if } \theta \geq x_{(n)} = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

decreases as  $\theta$  increase. Thus the function attains its maximum at the smallest value of  $\theta$  which is  $x_{(n)}$ . This means the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$  is  $x_{(10)} = 25$ . (8)

$$(c) E [Y_n] = \int_0^\theta y n y^{n-1} \theta^{-n} dy = \left[ \frac{n}{n+1} y^{n+1} \theta^{-n} \right]_0^\theta = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta. \quad (2)$$

$$E [Y_n^2] = \int_0^\theta y^2 n y^{n-1} \theta^{-n} dy = \left[ \frac{n}{n+2} y^{n+2} \theta^{-n} \right]_0^\theta = \frac{n}{n+2} \theta^2 \Rightarrow$$

$$Var (Y_n) = E [Y_n^2] - (E [Y_n])^2 = \left( \frac{n}{n+2} - \frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2} \right) \theta^2 = \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} \theta^2. \quad (4)$$

That the bias of the estimate is  $\theta - E [Y_n] = \frac{1}{n+1} \theta \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Var (Y_n) = 0$  means  $Y_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$ . (4)

$$(d) \text{ The estimate is } \sqrt{Var (Y_n) |_{n=10, \theta=x(10)=25}} = \sqrt{\frac{10}{12 \times 11^2} \times 25^2 \tilde{\theta}} = 2.0747. \quad (3)$$

$$(e) \text{ The 95\% confidence interval is } 25 \pm 1.960 \times 2.0747 = [20.9334; 29.0664]. \quad (5)$$

### Question 3

[Total marks=18]

(a) The likelihood function of  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  is

$$L(\theta_1, \theta_2 | \mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1} \frac{\theta_1^n}{\theta_2^{n\theta_1}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{\theta_1} & \text{if } \theta_1 > 0, \theta_2 \geq \text{all the } x_i's, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Equivalently,

$$L(\theta_1, \theta_2 | \mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1} \frac{\theta_1^n}{\theta_2^{n\theta_1}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{\theta_1} & \text{if } \theta_1 > 0, \theta_2 \geq x_{(n)}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where  $x_{(n)} = \max \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$ . (3)

The log likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned}
 l(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= \ln L(\theta_1, \theta_2) \\
 &= \begin{cases} \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1} + n \ln \theta_1 - n\theta_1 \ln \theta_2 + \theta_1 \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) & \text{if } \theta_1 > 0, \theta_2 \geq x_{(n)}, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

(3)

(b) For any  $\theta_1 > 0$ ,  $L(\theta_1, \theta_2 | \mathbf{x})$  decreases as  $\theta_2$  increases. Thus the function attains its maximum at the smallest value of  $\theta_2$  which is  $x_{(n)}$ . This means the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta_2$  is  $X_{(n)}$ . (6)

Consider the log likelihood

$$l(\theta_1, x_{(n)}) = \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-1} + n \ln \theta_1 - n\theta_1 \ln x_{(n)} + \theta_1 \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)$$

whose derivative with respect to  $\theta_1$  is

$$l'(\theta_1, x_{(n)}) = \frac{n}{\theta_1} - n \ln x_{(n)} + \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) = \frac{n}{\theta_1} - n \ln x_{(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i.$$

The *MLE* of  $\theta_1$  is  $\hat{\theta}_1$  which solves the equation

$$0 = l'(\hat{\theta}_1, X_{(n)}) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}_1} - n \ln X_{(n)} + \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}_1} - n \ln X_{(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i.$$

The solution is

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{n}{n \ln X_{(n)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i} = \frac{n}{n \ln X_{(n)} - \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right)}. \quad (6)$$

**Question 4****[Total marks=19]**

$$(a) \alpha = P(X > 1 | \theta = 0) = 1 - P(X < 1 | \theta = 0) = 1 - F(1|0) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(1)} = 0.2689. \quad (5)$$

$$\beta = P(X < 1 | \theta = 1) = F(1|1) = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(0)} = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Hence the power} = 1 - \beta = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2)$$

$$(b) 0.1 = P(X \geq c | \theta = 0) = 1 - F(c|0) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(c)} \Rightarrow$$
$$10 = 1 + \exp(c) \Rightarrow 9 = \exp(c) \Rightarrow c = \ln 9 = 2.1972. \quad (7)$$

**TOTAL [100]**