When you answer a "Compliance to Corp Gov" question.

Unless the question state otherwise, you should <u>include both compliance and non-compliance</u> to the King Report.

These types of questions have 3 components:

- 1. What happened in the scenario? (application)
- 2. What does King III say? (theory)
- 3. Conclusion Do they comply? (state this clearly)

Take the following scenario:

Cluedo Limited is a large company that manufactures and sells board games. The board of directors meets once a year, for a two day conference.

The members of the board of directors are as follows:

Colonel Mustard - Chairman and independent non-executive director

Dr Black - CEO

Ms Scarlet (CA(SA))
Ms White
Financial Director
Operations director

Mr Green - Independent non-executive director
Prof Plum - Independent non-executive director

If we ask you to discuss compliance to King III your answer should look like this:

They <u>do not comply</u> with King (compliance?), as they only <u>meet once a year</u> (application), and King requires that the Board of Directors should <u>meet at least four times a year</u> (theory).

King III requires the <u>chairman of the board to be an independent non-executive director</u> (theory). Cluedo Ltd <u>complies</u> with King III (compliance?) as the <u>chairman</u>, Colonel Mustard, <u>is indeed a non-executive director</u> (application).