MAC2601

May/June 2013: Suggested solution

QUESTION 1

1.1 - C

FIFO Method of valuation

Date Receipts Issues

Balance

December	Quantity	Price	Amount	Quantity	Price	Amount	Quantity	Price	Amount
							-	R	R
1							300	6.50	1950
3	350	7.32	2562				300	6.50	1950
							350	7.32	2562
7				300	6.50	1950			
				100	7.32	732	250	7.32	1830

Cost of purchases of 350 units

350 units at R6.90 = R2 415 +Freight costs: R294*50%=R147

Total: 2562

R2 562/350 units = R7,32

Therefore option C is correct.

1.2 - B

1.3 - D

1.4 - A

	R
Fixed cost in opening stock (10 000 x R7.50)	75 000
Fixed cost in closing stock (8 000 x R9.00)	<u>72 000</u>
Difference	<u>3 000</u>

1.5 - A

1.6 - C

1.7 - B

	R
Direct material	238 500
Direct labour	143 100
Manufacturing overheads	95 400
Less: proceeds from by-product	<u>(6 000)</u>
	<u>471 000</u>

1.8 - A

R

Sales (25 000 x 90% x R15)	337 500
Less: Joint cost	(214 091)
Less: Further processing cost (25 000 x R4)	<u>(100 000)</u>
Profit	R23 409

¹R471 000 x 25 000/55 000 = R214 091

1.9 - D

1.10 - D

a. Labour rate variance	R
Actual hours @ actual rate (25*R12*500) Actual hours @ standard rate	150 000
(25*R8*500)	100 000
Unfavourable variance:	50 000
b. Labour efficiency variance	R
Actual hours @ standard rate (25*R8*500)	100 000
Standard hours @ standard rate (20*R8*500)	80 000
Unfavourable variance:	20 000
c. Overhead efficiency variance	R
Actual hours @ standard rate (25*R4*500)	50 000
Standard hours at standard rate (20*R4*500)	40 000
Unfavourable variance:	10 000
d. Purchase price variance for ste	el R
Actual quantity @ actual price (500*10*R12) Actual quantity @ standard price	60 000
(500*10*R16)	80 000
Favourable variance	20 000
e. True	

(a) Number of sales units per product (sales mix) to maximise budgeted profit

Refer to the "steps" on page 177 of the study guide:

Step 1 was already performed as part of the information given.

Step 2: Contribution per unit

	Vase	Cutting board
Selling price	30^	25^
Less: Total variable costs	(11) ^	(13) ^
Contribution per unit	R19	R12

Step 3: Contribution per limiting factor

	Vase	Cutting board
Contribution per unit	19^ ^{principle}	12
Multiplied by: Units per labour hour 3 000 / 1 500 = 2 4 000 / 1 000 = 4	2	4
	R38	R48

Step 4: Order in which limiting factor should be used in manufacturing:

Cutting boards (R48 per labour hour)
 Vases (R38 per labour hour)

Step 5: Allocate the available labour hours until none left:

	Labour hours
Labour hours available	1 600
1. Cutting boards	<u>1 000</u>
Remaining	600
2. Vases	<u>600</u>
	_

Step 6: Number of units to be manufactured

Cutting boards (1 000 x 4)
 Vases (600 x 2)
 4 000 units
 1 200 units

(b) (iv)

(a)

١,			
	Outcome value (effect on profit)	Probability (%)	Weighted value
ſ	-R200 000	10%	-R20 000
	-R100 000	20%	-R20 000
	0	20%	0
	+R100 000	35%	+R35 000
	+R200 000	15%	+R30 000
	Total	100%	R25 000

Therefore, the expected value is R25 000.

(b) +R100 000 (the outcome with the highest probability)

(c)
$$\frac{A2 - A1}{A1} \times \frac{100}{1}$$
= $(2\ 000\ 000 - 100\ 0000) - 2\ 000\ 000$

2 000 000

= -5%

= 5% decrease

OR SIMPLY

$$-100\ 000\ /\ 2\ 000\ 000 = -5\% = 5\%$$
 decrease

(d) Biased

- (e) (i) Uncontrollable event
 - (ii) Branch
 - (iii) Conditional profit

PART A

a. Variable cost per unit $= \frac{R2\ 247\ 500\ (145\ 000\ \times R15,50) - R1\ 911\ 000\ (98\ 000\ \times R19,50)}{145\ 000\ - 98\ 000}$ $= \frac{R336\ 500}{47\ 000}$ = R7,16

Total fixed costs (@ Highest observation) = $R2\ 247\ 500 - 1\ 038\ 200\ (145\ 000\ x\ R7,16)$ = $R1\ 209\ 300$

OR

Total fixed costs (@ Lowest observation) = R1 911 000 - R701 680 (98 000 x R7,16) = R1 209 320, say R1 209 300*

*rounded off

b. $y = R1 \ 209 \ 300 + R7,16x$

c. y = R1 209 300 + R7,16 (115 000) = R1 209 300 + R823 400 = R2 032 700

PART B

a.

Conversion costs = Direct labour + Manufacturing overheads

 $R215\ 000 = R120\ 000 + x$

 $x = R215\ 000 - R120\ 000$

x = R95 000

Variable man. overheads = Total man. overheads - Fixed man. overheads

Answer: $R95\ 000 - R55\ 000 = R40\ 000$

b. R
Sales 560 000 OR R35 – 19,25[#]
Less: Variable costs (R148 000 +R120 000 + R40 000) (308 000) =R15,75
Contribution 252 000

Contribution ratio = $\frac{\text{Contribution } \times 100}{\text{Sales}} \times \frac{100}{1}$

R252 000 x 100 OR R15,75 x 100 R560 000 1 R35 1 45% 45%

QUESTION 5 (continued)

C.	R
C.	R

Sales (20 000 x R35) Less: Variable costs	700 000 (385 000)¹
Contribution	315 000
Less: Fixed costs	<u>(55 000)</u>
Net profit	<u>260 000</u>

 $^{^{1}}$ R185 000 (20 000 x R9,25) + R150 000(20 000 x R7,50) + R50 000 (20 000 x R2,50*)

^{*} R40 000/16 000 = R2.50

_	
d.	
A	
	T T

Sales (18 000(20 000 x .90) x R40 (R35+R5)	720 000
Less: Variable costs	(346 500) ²
Contribution	373 500
Less: Fixed costs (R55 000 + R5 000)	<u>(60 000)</u>
Net profit	<u>313 500</u>

 $^{^{2}}$ R166 500 (18 000 x R9,25) + R135 000(18 000 x R7,50) + R45 000 (18 000 x R2,50*)

OR

Contribution: R40 - R19,25 = R20,75

R20,75 x 18 000 = R373 500 - R60 000 = R313 500

a. Budgeted cost per smart id card

9 places; 5 marks

R

Direct Materials (2.5m^ *R3.50^)	8,75
Direct labour (2 ^{^*} R8 [^])	16,00
Variable Manufacturing overhead (2 ^{^*} R1.50 [^])	3,00
Variable selling costs (not part of product costs) ^for not including VSC	-
Fixed cost per unit (2 ^ *13,75√)	<u>27,50</u>
Total budgeted smart ID card cost per unit	55.25

If they have not shown the zero for the VSC, you can still award the mark for the "^for not including VSC" if it is clear that the VSC has not been included anywhere and they have totalled/attempted to total their costs (which does not include the VSC). This also applies to the alternative methods.

Fixed cost per labour hour

Calculation of the fixed overhead recovery rate

550 000/ (20 000*2) =R13.75 per labour hour

Possible alternative 1: 9 places; 5 marks

8,75
16,00
3,00
-
<u>27,50</u>
55,25

Possible alternative 2: 9 places; 5 marks

1 105 000 / 20 000 000 = 55,25

There could be more alternatives – give marks accordingly, please.

b. Total budgeted profit if Gidima Ltd manufactures and supplies 9000 smart ID cards

10 places; 6 marks limited to a max of 5

	R
Sales (9000^* R125^)	1 125 000
Less:	
Variable cost of smart ID cards (9000^*R27.75^principle)	249 750
Variable selling costs (9000 [^] *R6.25√)	56 250
Fixed costs allocated to production (9000^*13.75^principle*2^)	247 500
Fixed costs under-recovered (550 000 – 247 500)	<u>302 500</u> √
Total profit	<u>269 000</u>
Possible alternative 1 of many	
Sales (9000 ^{^*} R125 [^])	1 125 000
Less:	
Variable costs (9 000 \sqrt{x} 34 $^{\text{principle}}\sqrt{)}$	
Fixed costs	<u>550 000</u> √√^
Total profit	269 000

The marks for the 34 or whatever the student has, is made up as follows:

^principle for using the <u>variable</u> manufacturing costs from (a), even if wrong in (a) $\sqrt{}$ for adding the 6,25 to their <u>variable</u> manufacturing costs from (a) ^^ for multiplying by 9 000 (a full mark, as they have effectively both the 9 000 for variable manufacturing costs and the 9 000 for variable selling costs combined into one

Possible alternative 2 of many	
Sales (9000 ^{^*} R125 [^])	1 125 000
Less:	
Costs (61,50 $\sqrt{\text{principle}}\sqrt{} \times 9 000\sqrt{}$)	553 500
Underrecovery (550 000 – 247 500)	<u>302 500</u> √
Total profit	269 000

The marks for the 61,50 or whatever the student has, is made up as follows:

 $^{\text{principle}}$ for using the <u>variable</u> manufacturing costs from (a), even if wrong in (a) $^{\text{principle}}$ for using the <u>fixed</u> manufacturing overhead rate per hour from (a), even if wrong in (a)

 $^{\wedge}$ for incorporating multiplication of the fixed overhead rate per hour by two $\sqrt{}$ for including the 6,25 in the total cost per unit in (b)

^^^ for multiplying by 9 000 (one and a half marks, as they have effectively all three the required 9 000's (for variable manufacturing costs, variable selling costs and fixed costs combined into one)

Possible alternative 3 of many

Sales (9000^* R125^)

Less:

Manufacturing costs (9 000√ x 55,25√principle^) Non-manufacturing costs (9 000^ x 6,25√) Underrecovery (550 000 – 247 500) Total profit

302 500√ 269 000

The marks for the 55,25 or whatever the student has, is made up as follows:

^principle for using the <u>variable</u> manufacturing costs from (a), even if wrong in (a) ^principle for using the <u>fixed</u> manufacturing overhead rate per hour from (a), even if wrong in (a)

^ for incorporating multiplication of the fixed overhead rate per hour by two ^^ for multiplying by 9 000 (one mark, as they have effectively both the required 9 000's (for variable manufacturing costs and fixed costs combined into one)

There could be more alternatives – give marks accordingly, please.

a. General Ledger

01/04/2013 Opening balance b/d 01/05/2013 Balance b/d 200 + R32 400 + 18 700 + R2 900 Manufa 30/04/2013 Bank	90 000 90 000 10 800 acturing ove	Work-in-process Balance c/d rheads	R 79 200 10 800 90 000
01/05/2013 Balance b/d 200 + R32 400 + 18 700 + R2 900 Manuf a	90 000 10 800 acturing ove	Balance c/d	90 000
200 + R32 400 + 18 700 + R2 900 Manufa	10 800 acturing ove		90 000
200 + R32 400 + 18 700 + R2 900 Manufa	10 800 acturing ove	rheads	
200 + R32 400 + 18 700 + R2 900 Manufa	ncturing ove	rheads	
Manufa	R	rheads	
30/04/2013 Bank			
30/04/2013 Bank			R
	72 600	Work-in-process	71 280
		Cost of sales	1 320
	72 600		72 600
9 200 x 90%	72 000		72 00
	Work-in-p		

		R		R
01/04/2013	Opening balance b/d	-	Finished goods	191 290
	Material	79 200	Balance c/d	5 510
	Wages	46 320³		
	Man. Overheads	71 280	_	
		196 800	_	196 800
01/05/2013	Balance b/d	5 510	_	
01/03/2013	balance by u	3 310		

³ R16 800 + R20 160 + R9 360

Fin.Goods

	_	R		R
30/04/2013	Work-in-process	191 290	Cost of sales	109 570⁴
			Balance c/d	81 720
		191 290		191 290
01/05/2013	Balance b/d	81 720	_	

⁴ Khali: R64 680 (25 200+16 800 + 22 680) + Lusiko: R44 890 (18 700+9 360+ 16 830) = R109 570

b. Profit and loss for the month of April

K
164 355
<u>(110 890)</u> #
53 465
(3 600)
49 865

^{*}R109 570 + R1 320 = R110 890

BONTEBO (PTY) LTD. Quantity statement for March 2013

Physical units			Equivalent units			
Input		Output	Raw materials Conversion			n cost
(units)	Details	(units)	Units	%	Units	%
12 000	WIP - opening					
38 000	Put into production					
	Completed from:					
	Opening inventory①	12 000	-	-	4 800	40
	- Current production[®]	23 000	23 000	100	23 000	100
	Completed and transferred	35 000	23 000		27 800	
	Normal loss ^②	2 800	22 800	100	②840	30
	Abnormal loss®	2 200	2 200	100	\$660	30
	WIP - closing	10 000	10 000	100	©2 000	20
50 000	_	50 000	38 000		31 300	

b. **BONTEBO (PTY) LTD.**

Production cost statement for March 2013

	Total	ſ	Material	Conversion cost
	R			
Opening WIP	83 520			
Current production cost	327 940		209 000	118 940
Total	411 460			
Equivalent units per quantity statement			38 000	31 300
Equivalent cost per unit	9,30	=	R5,50	+ R3,80

c. Calculate the rand value of the normal loss in terms of material only.

NLM

- $= 2800 \times R5,50$
- = R15 400

d. Closing WIP for cost allocation statement

		n
Closing WIP		62 600
Material	(5,50 x 10 000)	55 000
Conversion cost	(3,80 x 2 000)	7 600
Normal loss		⑦0

Dr		Cr	
WIP			
	R		R
1/3 Opening balance	83 520	31/3 Production account	83 520
31/3 Production account (55 000 + 7 600 + 0)	62 600	Closing balance	62 600
	146 120		146 120
1/4 Opening balance	62 600		

Explanatory notes

- ① 12 000 (no adjustment, as 60% is not smaller than 30%)
- ② (50 000 12 000 10 000) = 28 000.

28 000 x 10% = 2 800.

Opening WIP passed the wastage point in the previous period and closing WIP will only pass the wastage point in the next period; therefore we deduct both of these in the normal loss unit calculation. Abnormal losses occur at the normal wastage point, as no information was given to the contrary, and therefore no adjustment for these units should be made.

2 800 x 100% = 2 800

2 800 x 30% = 840

- 3 Balancing figure
- 4 (100% 60%) x 12 000 = 4 800
- ⑤ 2 200 x 30% = 660
- © 10 000 x 20% = 2 000
- ② No portion of the normal loss will be allocated to closing WIP, as closing WIP has not yet passed/reached the wastage point [20% < 30%].