QUESTION 1A

Orders to be placed based on current EOQ: 38 000 kg / 855 kg

44.444 orders

∴ 45 orders

Discount: (R50 - R49) x 38 000 kg			R	38 000.00
Savings on orders (45 orders - 38 orders) x R120			R	840.00
TOTAL SAVINGS			R	38 840.00
Extra Holding costs (R600 x 12 months)			R	7 200.00
Current holding costs				
(R50 x 14% + R3 + R50 x 5%) x (855kg / 2)	R	5 343.75		
New holding costs				
(R49 x 14% + R3 + R49 x 5%) x (1 000 kg */ 2)	R	6 155.00	R	811.25
TOTAL COSTS			R	8 011.25
* 38 000 kg / 38 orders = 1 000 kg per order				

NET SAVINGS R 30 828.75

The company should accept the special order, the savings exceed the costs.

QUESTION 1B

Cumulative average time per unit

Learing curve = Previous cumulatve average time per unit

= (50 000 hours + 46 000 hours) / 2

50 000 hours

= <u>48 000 hours</u>

50 000 hours

= **96.0%**

TOTAL TIME FOR THE FIRST 32 UNITS:

 $y = ax^b$

 $y = 50\ 000\ x\ 32^{(log0.96/log2)}$

 $y = 50\ 000\ x\ 32^{-0.05889}$

y = <u>40 768 .34 hours</u>

x 32 units

TOTAL TIME: 1 304 587 hours

TOTAL TIME FOR THE FIRST 16 UNITS:

 $y = ax^b$

 $y = 50\ 000\ x\ 16^{(log0.96/log2)}$

y = 50 000 x 16^{-0.05889}

y = <u>42 467.33 hours</u>

x 16 units

TOTAL TIME: 679 477 hours

TOTAL HOURS REQUIRED FOR 17-32 UNITS

= (1 304 587 hours - 679 477 hours

<u>625 110 hours</u>

QUESTION 2:

OVERHEAD	CO	ST	TOTA	L ACTIVITY		(COST DRIVER PER	DUFF ACTIVITY	CC	OST	BUFF ACTIVITY	CC	ST
Material handling	R	150 000	150	movements	R 10	00	movements	100	R	100 000	50	R	50 000
Material procurement	R	50 750	350	orders	R 1	45	orders	250	R	36 250	100	R	14 500
Set-up costs	R	150 000	100	set-ups	R 15	00	set-ups	60	R	90 000	40	R	60 000
Quality control	R	250 700	230	inspections	R 10	90	inspections	130	R	141 700	100	R	109 000
Processing	R	600 000	50 000	hours	R :	12	hours	40 000	R	480 000	10 000	R	120 000

R 1 201 450		R 847 950	R 353 500
	Annual production	80 000	20 000
	Overhead cost per unit	R 10.60	R 17.68

QUESTION 3:

	QUESTION 3:									
		Fixed costs								
2)	Breakeven volume =	Contribution per unit								
a)	breakeven volume –	Contribution per unit								
	=	45 250								
		(410 000 - 78 750 - 94 57	75 - 43 000) / 10 000 necklaces							
		(410 000 70 730 34 37	45 000 // 10 000 necklaces							
	=	<u>45 250</u>								
		19.3675								
	=	2336.39	necklaces							
	=	<u>2 337</u>	necklaces							
		<u> 2 337</u>	neckidees							
b)										
	OPERATING STATEMENT (UNDI	ER								
				WORKINGS						
	Budgeted profit		[10 000 x (R40 - R6 - R10 -R4 - R5]	W1					R	150 000
			[CN - PN- 01N - 04-1 X 000 01]	AAI						130 000
	Sales volume profit variance								R	-
	Sales price variance		[R410 000 - 10 000 necklaces x (R40)]						R	10 000 (F)
								•	R	160 000
					F		A .l	(4)		100 000
					Fa	vour (F)	Aav	erse (A)		
	Cost variances									
	Materials	Price	[R78 750 - 2 500kg x R30]	W2			R	3 750		
			[W3			R	1 500		
		Usage		VVS			N.	1 300		
	Labour	Rate	[94 575 - (4 850 x R20)]		R	2 425				
		Efficiency	(W4	R	3 000				
		Linciency		VV-7	IX.	3 000				
	Variable o/h	Expenditure	[43 000 - (2 050 x R20)]				R	2 000		
		Efficiency	(250 necklaces x R4)	W3			R	1 000		
		Lineitricy	(250 Necklaces x N+)	****				1 000		
	Fixed overheads	Expenditure	(R50 000 - R45 250)		R	4 750				
		Volume			R	_				
					R	10 175	D	0.350	D	1.025 (5)
					<u> </u>	10 175	K	8 250	K	1 925 (F)
								_		
	Actual profit							-	R	161 925
	•							•		
	Sales								R	410 000
	Cost of sales								R	-248 075
		Material						Г	R	-78 750
		Direct labour							R	-94 575
		Variable overheads							R	-43 000
		Fixed overheads							R	-45 250
			(2.500, 2.050							
		Closing stock	(2 500 - 2 050 kg x R30)					L	R	13 500
	Profit							-	R	161 925
								=		

10 000 necklaces x 0.5 hours

WORKINGS

Fixed overhead cost per unit:

W1

	= =	R50 000 / 5 000 hours <u>R 10</u> R 5	per hour per unit	
W2	1 necklace = 200g of material at F So 1 kg of material = R6 x (1 000g			
	=	<u>R 30</u>	per kg of material	
W3	2 050 kg should produce:	(2 050kg / 200g)	10 250 necklaces	
	Did produce	(333 67 336)	10 000 necklaces	
	DIFFERENCE		250 necklaces	(A)
	Standard material cost per neckla	ce	R 6	
	VARIANCE		R 1 500	(A)
	ALTERNATIVELY:			
	10 000 necklaces should use	(10 000 x 200g)	2 000 kg	
	Did use	(3 3 3 3 3 5 6)	2 050 kg	
	DIFFERENCE		50 kg	(A)
	Standard material cost per kg		R 30	
	VARIANCE		R 1 500	(A)
W4	4 850 hours should produce	(4 850 hours / 0.5 hours)	9 700 necklaces	
	Did produce		10 000 necklaces	
	DIFFERENCE		300 necklaces	(F)
	Standard labour cost per necklace	9	R 10	(F)
	VARIANCE		R 3 000	(F)
	ALTERNATIVELY:			
	10 000 necklaces should take	(10 000 x 0.5 hours)	5 000 hours	
				/r\
		(R10 / 0 5 hours)		(F)
	•	(NIO / 0.5 HOUIS)		(F)
		(10 000 x 0.5 hours) (R10 / 0.5 hours)	5 000 hours 4 850 hours 150 hours R 20 R 3 000	

Did produce
DIFFERENCE
Standard material cost per necklace
VARIANCE

QUESTION 4:

a) Material

Material	Material needed	Inventory quantity	Current price	Need to purchase	Purchase price	TOTAL
Α	2 200	400	R 6.00	1 800	R 10.00	R 20 400
В	300	300	R 30.00	-	R 34.00	R 9 000
С	900	600	R 48.00	300	R 35.00	R 39 300
D	400	800	R 15.00	-	R 18.00	R 12 000

				R	80 700
	Direct labour				
	Builders:	R60 000 / 12 months x 6 months x 2 worke	rs + R1 000 x 2 workers	R	62 000
	Casuals:	R5 000 x 4 workers		R	20 000
	TOTAL VARIAE	BLE COST		R	162 700
	MARK-UP 100	%		R	162 700
	CONTRACT PR	RICE		R	325 400
b)	Material A	Value at Replacement value	(2 200kg x R10)	R	22 000
	Material B	Value at Replacement value	(300kg x R34)	R	10 200
	Material C		(300kg x R35 + 600kg x R27)	R	26 700
	Material D	Opportunity costs	(R16 x 400)	R	7 600
	Labour	Replacement labour		R	49 000
	Casual labour		(R5 000 x 4)	R	20 000
	Equipment	Already owned	Sunk costs	R	-
	Equipment	Specialised equipment	(R25 000 - R18 000)	R	7 000
	Premises		Sunk costs	R	-
	Admin expens	es	Relevant to project	R	7 000
	TOTAL RELEVA	ANT COSTS		R	149 500

c) The potential of future business from other schools?

The ability for casual labourers to complete the project at the required quality level?

The effect of the short term order on future prices to other customers

Employee morale - will they complete the project in the "quiet" time? If not?

Potential bid price by competitors?

QUESTION 5A:

a)

Controllable "operating" profit

Return on investment (ROI) = Controllable investment

DIVISION 1 DIVISION 2

= \frac{R}{R} \frac{105 000}{450 000} \frac{R}{R} \frac{210 000}{975 000}

= \frac{23.3%}{R} \frac{21.5%}{R}

Division 1 has a higher return then Division 2. Division 1 is utilizing their controllable investments better than Division 2.

Residual income (RI) = Controllable profit - Cost of capital of controllable investments

DIVISION 1 R105 000 - R 450 000 x 15% R 37 500

DIVISION 2 R210 000 - R975 000 x 15% R 63 750

Division 2 has a higher RI then Division 1.

b) Return on investment would be the better measure √ when comparing divisions as it is a relative measure √ (i.e. based on percentage returns) (Drury 2012:749)

or

To overcome some of the dysfunctional consequences of ROI, the residual income approach can be used... \lor

...Residual income suffers from the disadvantages of being an absolute measure, which means that it is difficult to compare the performance of a division with that of other divisions... $\sqrt{\text{(Drury 2012:491)}}$

c) If the manager invest in a project with a lower ROI than his current division, the Division ROI will decrease. The project's ROI is 22%, which is slight lower than the Division's ROI of 23.3%, therefor the manager will not invest in the project.

The manager is only acting on the best interest of his/her division and not Nkosi holdings, because the ROI of the project is higher than Division 2, (21.5%) thus improving the Group's total ROI.

UNISA MAC3701 MAY/JUNE 2014 SOLUTIONS PREPARED BY: DRIES MARAIS STUDY GUIDE PAGE 247

QUESTION 5B:

a)	Coefficient of variation	Standard deviation in profits Expected outcome/Mean profit					
		TE	LEVISION		RADIO		
	=	R	55 446	R	231 737		
		R	810 432	R	960 305		
	=		6.84%		24.13%		

b) On the surface, it seems if the Radio marketing plan is the most profitable. However, the uncertainty is higher, as evidenced by the higher standard deviation.

The range of outcome for the Television marketing plan is group closely together (smaller standard deviation). The coefficient of variation is also smaller. Because the outcome of the Television marketing is more certain (less risky), management should accept this plan. However the risk appetite of the management should also be taken into account. A risk seeking management team might be prepared to take a chance on a campaign with a 24.13% coefficient of variation

QUESTION 5C:

Monthly sales = 15 000 / 3 months a)

5 000 units

Selling price per unit = R 2 250 000 / 15 000 units

150.00

Sales volume Selling price per unit

Jul-14 Aug-14 Sep-14 TOTAL 5 000 5 000 5 250 15 250 R 150 R 150 R 165 866 250 R 2 366 250

Sales value R 750 000 R 750 000 R

+ Sales

+ Closing inventory**

- Opening inventory

Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14
5 000	5 000	5 250
500	525	525
1 500	500	525

PRODUCTION 4 000 5 025 5 250

^{**} Assumption is that closing inventory should cover 10% of the following month's sales!